

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Franks, S. (2014). Inserting political understanding into the humanitarian narrative. Sociologia Della Comunicazione, 45(45), pp. 22-36. doi: 10.3280/sc2013-045003

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/12165/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.3280/sc2013-045003

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/ publications@city.ac.uk/

Humanitarian Narratives - missing out on the politics?

Abstract

Western media reporting of disasters in faraway countries (especially in Africa) frequently follows a template which fails to take account of political circumstances. Very often journalism relies upon familiar stereotypes – using frames such as 'primitive tribal hatreds' or resorting to explanations based upon 'natural disaster,' when there are in fact complex underlying social and political causes to many crises and complex emergencies. This paper will analyse the way that so called 'humanitarian reporting' has failed to take account of political explanations with reference to key case studies and explain why this is a matter of vital concern. It will highlight the powerful and consciously apolitical position of international aid agencies and examine the many layered and interrelated factors which contribute to the absence of political analysis in the way that distant crises are described and understood.

Keywords; humanitarian, politics, disaster, reporting, crisis, narratives.

Suzanne Franks is Professor of Journalism at City University London. She is a former BBC TV journalist and has written widely on the history of broadcasting and the coverage of international news. Her latest book is *Reporting Disasters*. *Famine, Aid, Politics and the Media*, published by Hurst.

Introduction

The way that Western media have communicated to audiences about crises in the developing world has morphed into a particular style of coverage. The emphasis is upon reporting which focuses in detail upon the humanitarian dimension of the crisis, often to the exclusion of other aspects. This article will examine how it is that this coverage has evolved and discuss the extent to which

the frame of 'humanitarian reporting' is closely connected with the way many NGOs seek to interpret and portray faraway suffering, which in turn has a relationship to the journalism which reports such crises. It will also highlight the distinct contrast with the manner in which crises in developed nations are interpreted; where there is a more rounded and nuanced understanding of the origins and effects of the problem. The article will further discuss how the focus of attention upon the humanitarian dimension in the reporting means that there is in particular diminished evidence of a political understanding of the nature of such crises in faraway places.

There is a well rehearsed argument that it is media coverage which constructs a faraway crisis because without the media in most cases there would be no awareness or knowledge of a crisis.(Benthall, 2010) Indeed many serious crises are hidden from view and the wider world is barely aware they exist.(Humanitarian Practice Network, 2002)(Hawkins, 2008)(Sen A., 2011)Yet even when there is reporting, the nature of the coverage is not necessarily well informed enough to give audiences an adequate picture. According to Ian Smillie what we experience is 'random, fickle and incomplete' ... because reporting and understanding faraway places and in particular 'news about the developing world is authorless, anchorless and impossible to understand or follow.'(Smillie, 1995)

All too often the reporting of humanitarian crises in the developing world and particularly in Africa follows a familiar trope. Its emphasis is upon distant suffering of helpless civilians, who are usually awaiting some kind of salvation from external forces. This has been well examined, originally by (Boltanski, 1999)in *Distant Suffering* and more recently by Chouliaraki in *The Spectatorship of Suffering* (Chouliaraki, 2006). It is apparent that the tone and focus of this coverage of humanitarian crises in the developing world is very often disconnected from wider explanations and understanding.

Unlike the coverage of crises in more developed regions, the suffering is often highlighted in exclusion from and not linked to causes, explanations and even possible solutions. Invariably this simplification and intense focus upon suffering – usually in the form of shocking visual images – leaves out so much else. In particular there is no emphasis upon political causes underlying the problem or political processes as a means to solve the issues. Or at best these are dealt with in a superficial and stereotypical manner – or sometimes they are misconceived and inaccurately described. The pattern of this kind of reporting cannot be viewed in isolation and as a specific failing of the media. Sometimes it is in the particular interests of the political players both in the recipient and donor countries to minimize the role of politics. This framing is also part of a narrative conveyed by NGOs involved in fundraising for humanitarian crises, who may wish to downplay contradictory and complicated factors at the expense of a clear story which requires outside assistance.

Origins of Humanitarian Reporting

The birth of what has become known as humanitarian reporting in the modern era began with the western coverage of the Biafran war in the 1960s. The consequence of the fighting between the Igbo secessionists and the Nigerian state was widespread starvation and suffering amongst the civilian Igbo population. During the course of that conflict a new form of crisis reporting was born and this became the dominant influence on the way that western media came to interpret disasters in the developing world for the decades that followed. Shocking visual images of civilian suffering became headline news on mainstream international television ouput and very unusually even in the tabloid press. It was a confluence of several factors which led to this new form of coverage; developments in technology which made the mass media use of such images a daily possibility, a developing awareness amongst NGOs of the potency of such images, a highly media literate group of advisers who surrounded the rebel forces and journalists who were interested in a new way of reporting which gained them extraordinary visibility. (Harrison & Palmer, 1986)

Colonel Ojukwo leader of the rebel Biafrans had used the services of a PR agency Markpress, based in Geneva to promote his cause. He also attracted some high profile and media literate supporters, such as Frederick Forsyth (the future thriller writer), who left his post as a BBC reporter covering the war in order to become a cheerleader for the Biafran cause. A number of key aid agency workers – in particular those working for the Irish based Catholic relief mission- became passionate advocates for the suffering Biafran civilians. (Harrison & Palmer, 1986)And other relief workers such as Bernard Kouchner(the future French foreign minister) were so moved by the suffering that they founded the relief agency Medicines sans Frontiers in response to their desire to offer solidarity with the Biafran cause. The shocking images of Biafran children starving became a cause célèbre for radical protest in western capitals. And for years to come the very word 'Biafran' was associated with these images of extreme starvation.

This support for the Igbo cause mediated through the use of shocking images of hunger and suffering translated into a huge flow of aid and assistance provided by independent NGOs prepared to challenge the Nigerian blockade. There were dramatic night time flights into Biafra conveying food and medical aid (and it eventually transpired, arms and ammunition were also being smuggled aboard). More cynically the rebel regime charged 'landing fees' and an unrealistic exchange rate which became its prime source of foreign currency and was in turn used for purchasing arms. (Barrow & Jenkins, 2001) This 'back story' to the Biafran crisis only emerged much later and became a complicated twist to the dominant narrative conveyed by the terrible images. Yet it was an important and political context because it eventually emerged that the use of the pictures of hungry children had unintentionally enabled the rebels, whose cause was ultimately a hopeless one, to continue fighting for months if not years longer than they would otherwise have been able, inevitably leading to far more suffering and death. In this way the aid, which was raised internationally and donated to Biafra as a result of the propaganda effort, could be viewed as having done 'more harm than good.' The over riding imperative became the cause of the suffering, starving civilians whose plight was plastered across popular media print and television – rather than a nuanced understanding of the complicated

political context to the situation, requiring hard headed assessment. One of the journalists who had covered the story, Colin Legum, later commented that 'Your head was telling you one thing but your heart was telling you something very different.' (BBC, 1995)

Some years after Biafra there was another world wide transmission of a report of African suffering when the 1984 story of the Ethiopian famine broke on BBC Television.(Harrison & Palmer, 1986)The reporter Michael Buerk (and the Visnews cameraman Mo Amin) travelled to Tigray in northern Ethiopia and broadcast a news report containing harrowing pictures of widespread starvation which was then transmitted on over 400 stations worldwide and won countless awards. Buerk memorably used the phrase 'biblical famine' to describe the grim scenes he witnessed there. (Buerk, 2004)Once again this was a complicated and unpleasant story of competing military and political actors who were largely indifferent to civilian suffering.(Rieff, 2002; Buerk, 2004) But this uncomfortable dimension to the suffering was largely absent from the ensuing media coverage. Despite the work of AmartyaSen and others on the invariably social and political origins of famine, this barely resonated in the way the story was portrayed, as it reverberated around the world including mainstream tabloid outlets. (Sen A., 1981)(de Waal, 1997)Instead the international attention, on a scale that was without precedent, with its accompanying powerful images focused upon what was apparently a 'natural disaster', whereby an unfortunate population was starving due to lack of annual rains. The accompanying celebrity involvement and in particular the birth of 'compassion through rock music'under the aegis of Band Aid and Live Aid reinforced this simple and uncomplicated narrative. (Harrison & Palmer, 1986)

Ethiopia in 1984/5 thereby became understood as a story of 'pure humanitarian suffering' which required straightforward humanitarian assistance. It was even characterized as 'a golden age of humanitarianism' which referred to the apparent nature of the suffering and the world wide compassionate response. (Robinson, 2002) This became the dominant frame both at the time and in popular memory, largely through the collective experience of Live Aid and the

associated fund raising. Yet there is clear evidence that even as the famine unfolded there was a wider political dimension that was being conveniently sidelined, both internally in the causes of the famine and externally in the international response.

The original report of the famine which became such a momentous news sensation was treated as a 'sudden event' yet self evidently famine is a long and slow process. This sense of a breaking news story is what propelled the issue into the international headlines. However it is clear that Western governments had been aware of the famine for many months, so it is rather strange that the day after the BBC report there was an emergency statement to the UK Parliament reacting to the revelations in the news and promising emergency relief. For the diplomats, Foreign Office officials and Ministers this was not new news. Yet they reacted as if the images were a shocking revelation of an unknown and humanitarian crisis, a natural disaster. The internal group within the UK government set up to monitor the public response was called the 'Ethiopian Drought Group.' (Franks S. , 2013)Yet in fact the causes of the famine were well beyond lack of rains – but this title ignored the social and political origins of the crisis.

Paradoxically it could be argued that at the time it was specifically becausethere were wider political reasons that the international response was largely limited to dealing with what was framed as a non-political 'humanitarian crisis.' The dominant cold war ideology still infused much of western foreign policy. As Ethiopia was firmly within the Soviet sphere, this meant that long term engagement in development was out of the question for Western countries. They were prepared to send emergency aid, but even in doing this they were determined to use evidence of the donations as a means of criticizing the 'other' side. Both President Reagan and Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher made much of the contrast between the generosity of the Western nations providing humanitarian relief, compared with the Eastern bloc that were only interested in supplying arms. (Franks S. , 2013)And they were determined that the aid they supplied should be high profile: so for example there was a determined priority

encouraging Royal Air Force air drops of food which made for great television pictures, even though aid workers criticized this for being expensive and inefficient way of providing relief. The over riding message was that this was a natural disaster and the aid kindly supplied by generous Western donors would feed the suffering and solve the problem.

It is a further paradox that the same attitude towards the crisis was apparent from within the Ethiopian regime, despite their very different ideological alignment. The problem was only ever described as a drought. (Article 19, 1990) For obvious reasons there was no willingness to acknowledge the political background to the famine in Tigray and Eritrea. The war against the secessionists despite being on a huge scale was conducted largely in secret from both the national and international media. (Bertschinger, 2005, p. 126) Similarly the deliberate policies against the civilians in those areas were not being acknowledged and this included the brutal villagization or resettlement policy that caused many thousands of deaths. (Brauman, 1988) In fact some assessments claim that it was as deadly as the famine itself. (Clay, 1989) So there was a convenient labeling of the crisis as solely attributable to lack of rainfall, rather than the vanishing entitlements of a vulnerable population. (Giorgis, 1989) Crucially this again played into the convenient and simple narrative of humanitarian suffering devoid of political causes and which could therefore be relieved by the transfer of aid.

The preference of the Ethiopian regime – as in many other similar crises from Myanmar to Somalia – was that they distrusted international NGOs and therefore wanted where ever possible to keep the delivery of aid within their own ambit. This was not just for straightforward political reasons of control but also because aid could then sometimes be diverted elsewhere -something which inevitably takes place to a greater or lesser extent, against a background of conflict. (Polman, 2011) It was particularly significant in this crisis because the Ethiopian government was seeking to use the aid for its forced resettlement programme of moving the population away from the northern areas affected by the famine.(Clay & Holcomb, 1986)This became highly controversial when

Medecins Sans Frontiers (MSF) spoke out publicly against this policy and the way that international aid was being used to support it and were subsequently expelled from the country. (Brauman, 1988)Other agencies including the UN were accused of being complicit by not preventing this use of aid to fulfill such a policy, in circumstances the Ethiopian government insisted on making the supply of food to hungry children contingent upon their parents' agreement to being resettled.

The argument that MSF used was that the NGOs and the UN needed to engage with the political realities of relief rather than allowing the Ethiopian government to manipulate them. But by trying to keep the agenda to a simple one of looking after the needy and not enquiring further or avoiding confrontation, many of the agencies and of course the government of Mengistu were limiting the problem to a pure humanitarian crisis, with potentially devastating consequences for the population of the affected areas. The Article 19 report *Starving in Silence* highlighted this dilemma and concluded that 'it is essential that the coverage is politically informed. Over-hyped naively "humanitarian" reporting can be as bad as no reporting at all' and goes on to criticise 'the Band Aid factor distracts attention from the need to address the political causes underlying the famines in Ethiopia.'(Article 19, 1990)

A further and very prominent example of how a story of humanitarian suffering became disconnected from a wider political context occurred in the aftermath of the Rwandan genocide, when millions of refugees fled across the border to Eastern Congo in 1994. There was a well reported crisis in the camps dealing with this huge influx of refugees which was linked to a major aid appeal to Western nations. Hundreds of NGOs descended upon the area to minister to those who had arrived in the camps. Yet it was only some time later that it emerged that many of those receiving succor were in fact from the Interahamwe, the Hutu genocidaires and their families who had left Rwanda when they feared that they might be sought out by the invading RPF armies. David Rieff makes the following comparison 'It is as if two hundred thousand SS soldiers had taken

their families out of Nazi Europe as it fell to the Allies, to somewhere they could hope to be sheltered from retribution, by sympathetic NGOs.'(Rieff, 2002, p. 53)

The fault for this misinterpretation and misunderstanding of the refugee crisis in and around Goma in 1994 lay both with the NGOs and with the media. (Terry, 2002) The aid agencies who arrived in unprecedented numbers were caught up with the immediate challenge of caring for a huge volume of refugees. There was plenty of competitive posturing in this as it was also a valuable fundraising opportunity because of the focus of attention. (Dowden, 2004) Yet they failed to ask the right questions of who was being helped. Meanwhile the media too were failing to understand and to probe into what was going on. It is well known that journalists failed to report the Rwandan genocide, partly because it coincided with a major story in South Africa, so in the aftermath of the genocide few journalists had sufficient understanding of what was really happening. George Alagiah of the BBC for example admits that for a week he (along with many others) failed to comprehend and properly report the story of the refugee crisis (Alagiah, 2001, p. 120)

Pure Humanitarianism

The problem that had arisen in this case and others was the simplistic view of accepting an apparent humanitarian crisis at face value without delving further, led to unintended consequences. It is unthinkable that in other parts of the world, in Europe or the US, that there could be such a wholesale misinterpretation of a major story, but because it was Africa there is a sense that delving into the complexities matters less and this kind of inaccuracy about the real context of what was happening is more inclined to happen. The reporting of difficult confrontations in other parts of the world such as the long drawn out Northern Irish crisis or the fighting in the Balkans in the 1990s were subject to different standards of reporting. (Myers, 1996)The humanitarian suffering in the Balkans in the 1990s was never encapsulated solely as a story of hopeless victims engaged in an inexplicable suffering. There was a repeated explanation of the background to the suffering images, well understood causes for the fighting

between the groups involved and ultimately an explicable political process. At no point was this simply an impossible story of 'warring tribes.' On the other hand the shorthand and frequently lazy formulation of 'ethnic conflict' is used all too often in African crises (Allen & Seaton, 1999), from Darfur to Rwanda to Kenya. The contrast between the explanation of the Bosnian and Rwandan crises makes clear how the reporting differs and how this in turn gives a very different frame. (Myers, 1996)

The hopelessness of reporting a humanitarian crisis without any political context has been characterized by the film maker Adam Curtis as the phenomenon of 'O Dearism.' (Curtis, 2009)He describes this pure humanitarian version of events where the focus is upon faraway victims and inexplicable suffering to a disconnected exclamation of 'O Dear' an attitude of helplessness and inability to deal with the problem. His film makes a plea to reinsert the political understanding necessary to engage with so many apparently 'humanitarian' crises. Curtis links the end of the Cold War as the origins of O dearism because of the disappearance of an over arching framework of ideology, but in fact the disconnected framing of humanitarian suffering had begun well before this point.

A further twist has developed since Curtis's film in the way that social media is able to give the superficial illusion of caring and engaging with compassion into distant crises. The 'Kony 2012' campaign which became a worldwide viral sensation with the film 'Invisible Children' demonstrated this very clearly.(Invisible Children, 2012)It was supposed to demonstrate that a new generation were now informed about and engaged with a crisis that had hitherto been relatively hidden but had affected huge populations in Uganda and neighbouring countries who were terrorized by the Lord's Resistance Army and its reign of terror. But ultimately this became not much more than an example of the so-called 'clicktivism', as described by Evgeny Morozov, very far from the genuine political activism which would demonstrate a real engagement with the problem.(Morozov, 2005)'Liking' something on Facebook for the vast majority remains an apolitical gesture, it is something very different from articulating a new vision and acting to change things.

So who is at fault for the omission of politics and for the problem of the purely humanitarian interpretations? There are three very substantial and interconnected sets of causes behind this, which are extrapolated at greater length below. Firstly it is the reporting that allows the political dimension to be left out - the reliance upon images and the unwillingness to explain the complexities and confront the stereotypes which have far reaching consequences.

Secondly there is the complex role of the NGOs, many of which are now global multi- million dollar brands. As a consequence what are now relatively powerful institutions may seek a consciously apolitical position in many of these situations - which is particularly significant given their increasing direct use of media to report upon events in the field.(Cooper, 2011)NGOs are seeking to preserve a role for themselves and their own brand and their relations with the media are influenced by this imperative. (Nieman, 2009) It may not therefore be acknowledged that the uncomfortable reality is that on occasion it could be better not to intervene or even to withdraw. There are crises where if relief agencies take on a burden that should be shouldered locally – that may allow the responsibility to be absolved by those who are intent on fighting.(Holmes, 2013)

This links to the third set of causes for the absence of politics, which derives from the intentions of those who are participants. There are many reasons why certain actors involved in a crisis both directly as protagonists or indirectly, for example as donor nations, would prefer to limit the interpretation to a humanitarian issue, preferring wherever possible that disasters remain 'natural'. At a global level parts of the international communitymight wish to preserve thestatus quo – not having to engage with complex inequities and balances of power. (Chouliaraki L., 2012) In many cases where aid is required the recipients are even in 'normal times' living very close to the edge of extreme poverty and potential hunger. Addressing the overall causes for such huge disparities would itself be viewed as destabilizing and a challenge to the hegemony which governs theinternational distribution of power. Meanwhile participants on the ground, in

particular competing violent groups, may have their own agendas. LindaPolman's argument is that many want aid as a tool for their own ends and to exploit as apotential powerful commodity, rather than a resource for helping needy civilians, as an end in itself. (Polman, 2011)In other crises protagonists want above all a lack of interference – insisting that the international community

should just provide the aid and keep at arms length -such is the case that has

played out for some years in Somalia for example. (Holmes, 2013)

John Holmes was the UN under secretary general for humanitarian affairs and in this capacity between 2007 and 2010 dealt with a range of devastating crises, ranging from Darfur to Haiti. (Holmes, 2013) In the account of this period 'The Politics of Humanity' Holmes, became convinced of the overwhelming need to understand the nuance and complexity of the political dimensions of these problems, arguing that 'media coverage is not interested in nuance and the experts are not listened to because what they say is not black and white enough to conform to our prejudices.' It is apparent from so many of the crises he describes, such as the Democratic Republic of Congo or the Sri Lankan Tamils, how instead of the good and bad or black and white- so sought after by observers- there are only multiple juxtaposed shades of dark grey. That does not provide a ready narrative either for media or public because it is too complex and indecipherable. As a consequence, once again the focus then becomes the overwhelming scale of humanitarian suffering in these crises – but that frame is disconnected from complicated political causation. In this way even crisis like the Haitian earthquake in 2010 which are by all appearances purely 'natural' disasters, also have profound political elements. The ongoing chaos of the relief effort in Haiti and the failure after years to satisfactorily rebuild despite huge scale of aid that was being promised indicates the profound political background to this crisis.

The Evolution of Afro-Pessimism

The absence of a political message and the need to understand a messy political reality in many African crises has led to a particular dilemma. For some years there has been a debate about the persistent negative reporting of Africa. (Hawk, 1992) (Wainaina, 2005) The term 'Afro-Pessimism' has been coined to convey the lack of positive news in the way that Africa is depicted in the international news media, with the continual emphasis upon unpleasant suffering rather than the news frames that are used in reporting other areas of the world, where the diet of news is far more balanced. One outcome is that this has led on to a determined effort to try and highlight 'good news stories' in the reporting of Africa.(Gault, 2007) to try and counter Afro-Pessimism. But the origins of this negative framing in the coverage can also be traced back to the same absence of political understanding. (Pawson, 2007) Since those original powerful images which were used in the Biafran crisis a key characteristic of reporting from Africa was a disconnected story without a context of politics and the ability to politically solve anything - so the reporting became a parade of misery, a succession of wars, famines and disasters – punctuated by the occasional celebrity story of someone famous coming to 'help.'

If the frame of the story is terrible suffering, conveyed by such images and little means to engage with how the crisis could be understood and potentially solved then there is inevitably a degree of hopelessness, which has thus been characterized as 'Afro-pessimism.'In many way this is a direct result of the power of images to over ride a complex and difficult to interpret narrative. The saying goes that 'a picture is worth a thousand words' but equally so there are many cases where a picture can obscure and distort the words that a journalist might be seeking to convey.(Luyendijk, 2010)And in the new media and social media environment there are even more ways in which pictures can be misleading. (Cooper, 2011)

David Clark has written in particular about the production of the contemporary famine image (Clark, 2004) and the immense (global) resonance which surrounds these pictures. But it was already twenty years or more earlier in the wake of the coverage of the Ethiopian famine that this transformation took place in the images of distant suffering. And most significantly it was the NGOs that were experiencing a significant impact from these new form of global communication. '1984/5 was a crucial moment in the trajectory of NGOs. It brought their work prominence and assured them greater prestige and a much larger income than they had experienced previously. These benefits were achieved by virtue of a hyper-inflation in images of Africa.'(Lidichi, 1993, p. 107) The images are shocking and powerful but they also may result in a paradox. If the result is that they obscure the awkward and complicated message of political realities – where for example there are multiple unpleasant protagonists vying for control rather than a good and bad side – and reduce a message to a simple one of suffering victims in need of physical relief, that may in turn result in worse harm.

The images surrounding the coverage of the Ethiopian famine were able to yield such extraordinary reactions that it prompted some thinking by a group of aid agencies about the potency and power of such pictures. In particular it led to soul searching about the ways that Africa was being depicted through this kind of suffering biblical visual style of portrayal.(van der Gaag & Nash, 1987) This deliberation led eventually to agreements and codes of conduct by NGOs on the use of images, starting with Article 10 of the Red Cross Code in 1992. Yet many years later it is still apparent that many of the images used regularly by some (but not all) NGOs in their marketing and fund raising are far from the 'responsible.' In 2013 there are still the same tropes in the publicity and fundraising material of the some NGOs – nameless, helpless victims linked to a plea for money. (Save the Children, 2011) The agendas of many NGOs is to highlight suffering and achieve donations and this 'commodification of suffering' remains the most effective way to achieve those goals, even if it reduces the message to an oversimplified and distorted one.

It is therefore evident that there is a problem with many of the NGOs themselves and their interpretation of crises which has received some attention in the recent literature. (Kalcsics, 2011) At the most basic level they want simplicity, a message that will enhance and not impede fund raising. A humanitarian crisis which is caused by a complex emergency where multiple unpleasant warring factions are in a protracted conflict is not a good message to distill to the charity giving public. (Smillie & Minear, 2004) Interestingly the ongoing conflict in the Congo which since the mid 1990s has seen more loss of life than any other war since 1945 is a prime example of all these difficulties. And it has been a cause that has remained relatively unreported, referred to as Africa's hidden world war(Benn, 2004) and as a consequence notoriously difficult for which to raise funds. There have been specific aspects of the conflict, in particular the repeated incidents of mass rape, which have managed to highlight sporadic attention but that again has been rather disconnected from the inevitable political process that would be the only way to curtail this situation for good. (Holmes, 2013, pp. 148-155) There are plenty of stories and fundraising for rape crisis centres and medical assistance but as Holmes argues there is insufficient wider political will to engage with the underlying causes of the suffering.

Urs Boegli a former head of the ICRC media services made the observation "Far too many disasters with political causes and for which there can only be political solutions are today labeled 'humanitarian crises'... After all, rape is rape...no one would describe it as a 'gynecological disaster.' Yet conflicts which are referred to as 'humanitarian disasters' are often much more than that. This steers the international response in the wrong direction, towards purely humanitarian action in cases where political action is required." (Boegli, 1998)

The implication is that there is a need for a narrative which is not a distinct 'humanitarian story' set apart from other analysis and concerns. In this way we

should look for a unified narrative, which is parallel to the way that we report from within our own societies – rather than a particular humanitarian narrative which is about the 'other' and adds up to reporting without politics.

The message which many aid professionals have unthinkingly used and which has coloured the way that the media report is that 'A starving child knows no politics'.¹ The assumption is that it should be a straightforward matter of providing help for such a (blameless) victim and that there is somehow a neutral zone where that is achievable, without having to engage with the surrounding political realities oropt to take sides in a conflict. The role of the relief workers is simply to cut through the middle and provide the aid which should unquestionably then improve thing. Yet in the context of many complex emergencies this now appears both naïve and simplistic and conversely as Alex de Waal has argued 'A hungry child is created by politics'(de Waal, 1997)It is important that the media too engages with that reality.

References:

Alagiah, G. (2001). A Passage to Africa. London: Little Brown.

Allen, T., & Seaton, a. J. (1999). The Media of Conflict. London: Zed Books.

Article 19. (1990). Starving in Silence.

Barrow, O., & Jenkins, M. (2001). *The Charitable Response. NGOs and development in East and North East Africa.* Oxford: James Currey.

BBC. (1995). *Nigerian War Against Biafra 1967-1970*. Retrieved July 22, 2013, from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J3ReFoFp0Gs.

Benn, H. (2004, 11 24). *BBC-World Service Trust/DFID conference 'The Media and Development; Communication and the Millennium Development Goals.'*. Retrieved 09 20, 2011, from

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/http://www.dfid.gov.uk/news/files/speeches/bennmedia24nov04.asp

Benthall, J. (2010). Disasters, Relief and the Media. London: Sean Kingston.

Bertschinger, C. (2005). *Moving Mountains*. London: Doubleday.

Boegli, U. (1998). A Few thoughts on the relationship between humanitarian agencies and the media. *Dispatches from Disaster Zones*.

www.icrc.org/Web/Eng/siteeng/html/57JPJG.

Boltanski, L. (1999). Distant Suffering. Cambridge: CUP.

Brauman, R. (1988). 'Refugee Camps, Population Transfers and NGOs'. In J.

Moore, *Hard Choices: Moral Dilemmas in Humanitarian Intervention.* Maryland: Rowman and Littlefield.

 $^{^1\!}For$ example Michael Priestly (former head of UN Special Office in Ethiopia) in interview for Tourists of the Revolution BBC 2 2000.

Buerk, M. (2004). The Road Taken. London: Hutchinson.

Chouliaraki, L. (2012). *The Ironic Spectator. Solidarity in an age of post-humanitarianism.* London: Polity.

Chouliaraki, L. (2006). The Spectatorship of Suffering.

Clark, D. (2004). The Production of a Contemporary Famine Image. *Journal of International Development*, 693-704.

Clay, J. (1989). Ethiopian Famine and the Relief Agencies. In B. Nicols, & a. G.

Loescher, The Moral National: Humanitarianism and US Foreign Pokicy Today.

Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.

Clay, J., & Holcomb, B. (1986). *Politics and the Ethiopian Famine*. Cambridge MA: Cultural Survival Inc.

Cooper, G. (2011). From their own Correspondent.New Media and the Changes in Disaster Coverage. Lessons to be Learnt. Oxford: Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism .

Curtis, A. (2009). O Dearism. Retrieved July 23, 2013, from

http://archive.org/details/AdamCurtis-OhDearism.

de Waal, A. (1997). Famine Crimes. Oxford: James Currey.

Dowden, R. (2004). 'War Reporting in Africa' Private seminar at St Anthony's College, Oxford. 04/11/04.

Franks, S. (2013). *Reporting Disaster. Famine, Aid, Politics and the Media.* London: Hurst.

Gault, C. H. (2007). New News out of Africa. London: Yale University Press.

Giorgis, D. W. (1989). *Red Tears War, Famine and Revolution in Ethiopia*. New Jersey: Red Sea Press.

Harrison, P., & Palmer, R. (1986). *News out of Africa. From Biafra to Band Aid.* London: Hilary Shipman.

Hawk, B. G. (1992). Africa's Media Image. New York: Prager.

Hawkins, V. (2008). *Stealth Conflicts. How the World's Worst Violence is ignored.* Aldershot, Hampshire: Ashgate.

Holmes, J. (2013). *The Politics of Humanity. The Reality of Relief Aid.* London: Head of Zeus.

Humanitarian Practice Network. (2002, March). Silent Crises. *Humanitarian Exchange*.

Invisible Children. (2012). http://invisiblechildren.com/kony/. Retrieved July 25, 2013

Kalcsics, M. (2011). *A Reporting Disaster? The interdependence of media and aid agencies in a competitive compoassion market.* Oxford: Reuters Insitute Fellowship Paper.

Lidichi, H. (1993). *All in the Choosing Eye: Charity, Representation and the Developing World*. (Open University: Unpublished PhD thesis,).

Luyendijk, J. (2010). *Hello Everybody. One Journalist's Search for Truth in the Middle East.* London: Profile Books.

Morozov, E. (2005). *The Net Delusion. How not to liberate the world.* . London: Penguin.

Myers, G. T. (1996). The Inscription of Difference: News Coverage of the Conflicts in Rwanda and Bosnia. *Political Geography*, 15 (1).

Nieman. (2009). http://www.niemanlab.org/ngo/.

Pawson, L. (2007). Reporting Africa's Unknown Wars. In S. Maltby, & R. Keeble, *Communicating War: Memory, Media and Military.* Arima.

Polman, L. (2011). *War Games: The Story of Aid and War in Modern Times.* London: Viking .

Rieff, D. (2002). *A Bed for the Night. Humanitarianism in Crisis.* London: Vintage. Robinson, P. (2002). *The CNN Effect: : The myth of news, foreign policy and intervention .* London: Routledge.

Save the Children. (2011). http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lTyuJEyXquU. Retrieved July 24, 2013

Sen, A. (2011). Marginal on the Map: Hidden Wars and HIdden Media. Conflict in India's Northeastern states, the response of the State, how it affects people and how it is reported in the media. *Media in Conflict and Peacebuilding*. Oxford Peace Conference.

Sen, A. (1981). Poverty and Famines. An Essay on Entitlement. OUP.

Smillie, I. (1995). The Alms Bazaar. London: ITP.

Smillie, I., & Minear, L. (2004). *The Charity of Nations Humanitarian Action in a Calculating World*. Bloomfield CT: Kumarian Press.

Terry, F. (2002). *Condemned to Repeat. The Paradox of Humanitarian Action.* Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

van der Gaag, N., & Nash, C. (1987). *Images of Africa: The UK Report.* . Oxfam. Wainaina, B. (2005, Winter). How to Write about Africa. *Granta 92* .