

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Pritchard, M., Hilari, K., Cocks, N. & Dipper, L. (2017). Reviewing the Quality of Discourse Information Measures in Aphasia. International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders, 52(6), pp. 689-732. doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12318

This is the supplemental version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/17105/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1111/1460-6984.12318

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/ publications@city.ac.uk/

APPENDIX: Summary of psychometric properties of measures (studies=76; measures= 58)

			Measure		Accepta	bility		Reliability			Validity	
Study					Distribution +1 to -1	Missing data	Interrater reliability	Intrarater reliability	Internal consistency	Theory	Known	groups
Study	Discourse genre & elicitation context	Туре	Description	Value type							Group	Genre
Albright & Purves (2008)	Two different varieties of narrative discourse: 'the	Single word/ phrase level information	% Correct Information Units, (CIUs) (Nicholas & Brookshire, (1993)	%	-		100%	-	-	√	-	-
	three little pigs', (familiar), and the 'cowboy story',	Single word/ phrase level information	# Correct Information Units, (CIUs) (Nicholas & Brookshire, (1993)	#				-	-	~	-	-
	(unfamiliar 8- picture unfamiliar story	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ minute	#				-	-	√	-	-
	sequence (Joanette & Goulet, 1990))	Main concepts	# 'core propositions', as identified in previous work	#	-		-	-	-	√	-	-
Altman et al. (2012)	Personal narrative discourses: personal (recent vacation, company founded by speaker, sign language	Story grammar	# of clauses representing Storyline, Setting/Background, Irrealis (Longacre, 1996), and Evaluation (Labov & Waletzky, 1967)	#	-	-	80 -96%	-	-	V	-	-

	project)											
Altman et al. (2014)	Descriptive discourse (2 x Norman Rockwell picture	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993)	#	-	-	Cronbach's a = .91	-	-	√	-	√
	description); expository discourse (answering 2 x open-ended questions)	utterance/ propositional level information	# utterances that were coherent and relevant (del Toro et al., 2008; Doyle et al., 1995), while adding novel information a listener could identify as new. Grammaticality not required.	#	-	-	Cronbach's a = .98	-		√	-	×
		utterance/ propositional level information	Utterances with interpretable meaning isolated and extracted as 'proposition set' (Turnery & Greene, 1977).	#			Cronbach's a = .98	-	-	~	-	ж
Andreetta et al. (2012)	Descriptive discourse (Picture description: Picnic (Kertesz, 2006) and	utterance/ propositional level information	% global coherence errors (# utterances with errors/ total number of utterances). Utterances segmented based	%	-	-	K≥0.80 (implied for all measures)	-	-	√	✓	-

	narrative discourses (2 x cartoon picture sequences (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993))	utterance/ propositional level information	on a combination of criteria: acoustic, phonological, semantic, and grammatical criteria. % local coherence errors (% utterances with errors / total number of utterances). Utterances defined	%	-	-		-	-	V	×	-
		Main concepts	as above. # main ideas or detail in the story	#	-	-		-	-	✓	×	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	% Lexical information units (LIUs), defined as words that are phonologically well formed, and appropriate from a grammatical and pragmatic perspective	%	-	-		-	-	V	~	-
Antonucci (2009)	Descriptive discourse (complex picture description),	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs	#	-	-	Average 88.6% (min-max = 82.99% - 93.93%)	-	-	~	-	-
	narrative discourse (picture sequence);	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs	%	-	-		-	-	✓	-	-
	procedural discourse (e.g., making a sandwich); and expository discourse (answering	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/min	#	-	-		-	-	~	-	-

	open-ended personal questions)											
Armstrong (2011)	Narrative discourse	Topic use	# thematic units& subtopics	#	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-
	(stroke experience)	Topic use	# C- units per subtopic, (C-unit = a main clause any subordinate clauses (Miller & Iglesias, 2008).	#	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-
Armstrong et al. (2007)	Procedural discourse (light bulb and cheese	Topic use	# topics, subtopics, sub-subtopics	#	-	-	_ 1	-	-	√	-	-
	sandwich); descriptive discourse (cookie theft picture	Topic use	# Topics, subtopics, sub-subtopics	%	-	-	-	-	-	·	-	-
	description, Goodglass et al. (2000))	Main Concepts	# Main concepts (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1995) that are accurate/ complete	#	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-
			# accurate/ incomplete	#	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	=

¹ For both intra-rater and inter-rater reliability of the transcription-based analysis there were no statistically significant differences found between the original and second analysis for the subset of samples re-analysed, nor between the original analysis and that carried out by the second rater for the subset of samples analysed (data not shown here, but available on request from the second author).

			# inaccurate	#	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-
			# absent	#	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-
Ballard et al., 1999	Narrative discourse (Cinderella & Red Riding	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ min	#			Means of 99.9 and 96.5, but lower limit of 78.6%- 100%	87.1 to 99.5% (M = 96.0%, SD = 3.5)		√	-	-
	Hood)	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUS	%				,		√	-	-
Behrns et al. (2009)	Personal narrative discourse ('I have never been so afraid')	Overall listener judgement	Rating aspects such as general impression; language and vocab; form; impressions of the narrator	Scale	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-
Boo & Rose (2011)	Descriptive discourse (picture description); and	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs	#	-	-	>95% >95%	-	-	-	-	-
	narrative discourse (Cinderella)	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs	%	-	-	>95%	-	-	√	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ min	#	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
Boyle (2014)	Descriptive discourse (2x complex pictures),	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs, (Nicholas and Brookshire, 1993)	#	-	-	>88%	-	-	√	-	-
	narrative discourse (1x picture sequence, 1x	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUS	%	-	-		-	-	√	-	-

	request for biographical narrative), procedural	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUS/ minute	#	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
	discourse	Main concepts	# accurate- complete, accurate- incomplete, and absent main concepts	#	-	-	>80%	-	-	√	-	-
		Main concepts	accurate- incomplete,	#	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
		Main concepts	Inaccurate	#	-	-	_	-	-	✓	-	-
		Main concepts	absent main concepts	#	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
Breennaise- Sarshand et al. (1991)	Narrative discourse (Picture sequences 'bear' and 'hippo', Mayer, 1974, 1976).	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs (Nicholas &Brookshire, 1988).	#	-	-	range from 79% (for lexical repetition) to 98% (for number of words), with an mean agreement of	-	-	V	V	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs	%	-	-	88%²	-	-	√	√	-
		Single word/ phrase level	Mean CIUs per t-unit	#	-	-		-	-	√	√	-

² Percent agreement ranged from 79 (for lexical repetition) to 98 (for number of words), with an average percent agreement of 88.

		information										
		Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs in lexical repetition, conjunction, pronominal, and definite article cohesive ties (Halliday & Hasan, 1986)	%	-	-		-	-	~	×	-
Brodsky et al (2003).	Narrative discourse (story retell using pictures)	Single word/ phrase level information	Information unit, A word or word string that is intelligible, informative, and provides accurate content relevant to the stimulus story. They are coded as specific words reproduced from a story (direct IUs) or legitimate synonyms (alternate IUs).	#	-	-	-	-	-	~	×	1
Brookshire & Nicholas (1994)	Descriptive discourse (picture descriptions "cookie theft" & picnic"); narrative discourse (2x picture	Single word/ phrase level information	%CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993)	%	-	-	>90%	>95%	-	√	-	-

	sequences; 2x personal narratives e.g., "Tell me what you usually do on Sundays); 2x procedural discourses "Tell me how you	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs	#	-	-			-	V		-
	would go about writing and sending a letter."	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ minute	#	-	-			-	√	1	-
Cameron et al. (2006)	Narrative discourse (story retell, Doyle et al., 2000);	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs / min (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993)	#	-	-	95.54% - 100%	-	-	√	-	-
	narrative discourse (5- minute personal	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993)	%	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
	narrative (Wambaugh & Martinez, 2000); 10 discourse tasks from Nicholas and Brookshire (1993).	Single word/ phrase level information	% IUs	%	-	-		-	-	V	-	-
Cameron et al.	Ten discourses elicited using	Single word/ phrase level	CIUs (Nicholas &	#	-	-	90.27% (range	94.39% (range	-	-	-	-

(2010)	stimuli described by	information	Brookshire, 1993)				72.41–97.40%)	81.37– 100%)				
	Nicholas and Brookshire (1993).	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs (Nicholas and Brookshire, 1993)	%	-	-			-	-	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ minute (Nicholas and Brookshire, 1993)	#	-	-			-	-	-	-
Capilouto and Wright (2009)	Descriptive discourse (10x pictures)	Story grammar	# information components: who, is doing, what, when, where, why	#	-	-	>80%	-	-	✓	-	-
Capilouto et al. (2006)	Descriptive discourses (2x picture descriptions: 'birthday cake' and 'cat in the tree'), narrative discourses (2x picture sequences: 'fight' and 'direction' taken from Nicholas and Brookshire (1993))	Main concepts	% main events	%	<u>-</u>	-	85%	<u>-</u>	-	Y	~	V
Cherney (2010a)	Descriptive discourse (2x composite pictures), narrative discourses (2x picture	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUS/ min	#	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	sequences)											
Cherney (2010b)	Descriptive discourse (2x composite pictures), narrative discourses (2x picture sequences)	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ min	#	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cherney et al (2012)	Descriptive discourse (2x composite pictures),	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, (1993)	Unclear	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Christiansen (1995)	Narrative discourse (4x cartoon stories of 4-5 pictures each, Wechsler, 1949)	utterance/ propositional level information	# propositions. Discourse rewritten into a text base, and propositions identified and tallied.	#	-	-	89.6% - 99%	-	-	~	√	-
		utterance/ propositional level information	% Propositions that were events, states, and elaborations	%	-	-		-	-	~	√	-
		utterance/ propositional level information	% propositions that were story relates and interation related	%	-	-		-	-	√	√	-

		utterance/ propositional level information	% propositions that were information gaps, repetitions, and irrelevant	%	-	-		-	-	V	~	-
Christiansen (1999)	Narrative discourse (4x cartoon stories of 4-5 pictures each, Wechsler, 1949)	utterance/ propositional level information	Relevance. Errors removed, and discourse rewritten as a 'proposition base'. Propositions rated (relevance to characters and plot of story). Mean score calculated for each participant.	Scale	-	-	-	•	÷	√		-
Coelho et al. (1994)	Narrative discourse (retell, generation)	Story grammar	# complete episodes in a story (initiating event + action+ direct consequence)	#	-	-	96%	-	-	√	-	-

Coelho and Flewellyn (2003)	Narrative discourse (story retell: the bear and the fly, Winter, 1976)	utterance/ propositional level information	Global coherence. Discourse divided into t-units, defined as a sentence plus all its dependent and relative clauses. T-units then rated for global coherence, on a 1-5 scale, and a mean global coherence score calculated.	Scale	-	-	94%	100%	-	✓	-	-
		utterance/ propositional level information	Local coherence. Discourse divided into t-units (sentence + all its dependent and relative clauses). Tunits rated on 1 1-5 scale for local coherence, mean local coherence score calculated.	Scale	-	-	90%	96%	-	·	-	-
Correia et al (1990)	Descriptive discourse (pictures from BDAE, MTDDA, WAB, and six	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993).	#	-	-	93%	-	-	-	×	-
	pictures representing male-biased or female-biased	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs	%	-	-		-	-	-	~	-
	daily-life situations).	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs that were nouns or adjectives	%	-	-		-	-	-	×	-
Craig (1993)	Descriptive discourse (Cookie theft), narrative	Single word/ phrase level information	Total content Units (Yorkston & Beukelman (1980), number of	#	-	-	92% (+ or – 1 CU)	-	-	-	-	-

	discourse (Cinderella retell)		predefined content units									
		Single word/ phrase level information	Content Units/ minute	#	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Cupit et al. (2010)	Narrative discourse (Cinderella, Saffran et al., 1989)	Overall listener judgement	Whole discourses rated (information content, message transmission, ease, word finding). Ratings collapsed to form a composite score	Scale	-	-	NR	-	-	×	-	-
		Main concepts	# Key propositions/ minute included in the story of Cinderella, from a totoal of 48	#	-	-	NR	-	-	~	-	-
Doyle et al. (1995)	10 Structured discourses from Nicholas &	Main concepts	% accurate/ complete main concepts included	%	-	=	79% -98%	-	-	✓	-	-
	Brookshire, 1993)	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs	#	-	-	81-97%	-	-	√	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs	%	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
Doyle et al. (1998)	12 stories from the Discourse Comprehension Test (Brookshire and Nicholas	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993)	#	-	-	91 %	-	-	~	-	-
	1993), narrative discourse (oral and picture	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUS/ min	#	-	-		-	-	√	-	-

	retell: free retell)	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUS	%	-	-		-	-	*	-	-
		Main concepts	% 'story propositions' accurate, complete, absent	%	-	-	85%	-	-	√	-	-
Doyle et al. (2000)	Narrative discourse (story retell task)	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ min	#	-	-	95%	-	-	✓	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUS	%	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
		Main Concepts	% accurate and complete story propositions	%	-	-	91%	-	-	√	-	-
Edmonds & Babb (2011)	10 discourse elicitation materials from Nicholas and Brookshire (1993)	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993)	%	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-
Edmonds et al (2014)	10 discourse elicitation materials from Nicholas and Brookshire	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs, defined as which words which were relevant to the topic and novel	%	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-
	(1993)	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ minute	#	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	-
Falconer et al (2012)	10 discourse elicitation materials from	Single word/ phrase level	% CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993)	%	-	-	Mean = 88.6% (range 82.99%	-	-	√	-	-

	Nicholas and Brookshire	information					to 93.37%)					
	(1993)	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs	#	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs /min	#	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
Fergadiotis et al. (2015)	Expository discourse (Free speech following questions about stroke speech, or important life events), descriptive discourse (descriptions of complex pictures); narrative discourse (descriptions of sequential pictures and Cinderella retelling, Grimes, 2005)	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ total words (Nicholas & Brookshire (1993)	%	-		92-94%	-	-	V	-	-
Fink et al. (2008)	Descriptive discourse (Responses to 2x ANELT	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993).	%	-	-	81.8% – 100%	-	-	√	-	-
	situations: 'the lost glove', and 'broken	Single word/ phrase level	# CIUS	#	-	-		-	-	√	-	-

	glasses')	information										
Furnas (2003)	Descriptive discourse (half the pictures from Nicholas and	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993).	%	-	-	96%	-	-	√	-	-
	Brookshire, 1993))	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ min	#	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
Georgeadis (2004)	Narrative discourse (2x randomly selected story sets from Brookshire & Nicholas, 1993).	Single word/ phrase level information	% IUs. (Information Units = a word, phrase, or acceptable alternative from the story stimulus that is intelligible and informative and conveys accurate and relevant information about the story' (McNeil et al., 2000).	%	-	-	92.8% (range = 81.1±100%).	-	-	V	-	-
Gleason et al. (1980)	Narrative discourse (picture sequence retell)	Main concepts	# main 'themes' in each discourse.	#	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	-
Glosser and Deser (1990)	Narrative discourse (their family, a work experience from their past)	utterance/ propositional level information	Global coherence. First 20 'verbalisations' in a participant's discourse scored for global coherence on a 5-point scale. Verbalisations defined using syntactic criteria, but incomplete	Scale	-	-	79%	-	-	√	×	-

	1	1	Landa - Para Carana a sa	ı								
			verbalisations were									
		/	still scored.	Caala			700/			<i></i>		
		utterance/	Local coherence.	Scale	-	-	79%	-	-	*	*	-
		propositional	Utterances scored									
		level	for local coherence.									
		information	Verbalisations were									
			defined using									
			syntactic criteria, but									
			incomplete									
			verbalisations were									
0 1 (0000)	D	0: 1 1/	still scored.			_	00.00/			√		
Gordon (2008)	Descriptive	Single word/	% CIUs (Nicholas &	%	=	-	89.3%	-	-	· ·	-	-
	discourse (10x	phrase level	Brookshire, 1993).									
	Norman	information										
	Rockwell											
	pictures)											
Hickin &	Narrative	Story	# story grammar	#	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-
Dipper (2015)	discourse	grammar	elements,									
	(Cinderella)		(Ulatowska et al,									
			1983)									
		utterance/	Global coherence.	#			_			✓	_	_
		propositional	Utterances scored	#	-	-	=	-	-	ľ	-	-
		level	using a 1-4 scale									
		information	(Wright, 2010), and									
		IIIIOIIIIatioii	mean calculated									
			mean calculated									
		utterance/	Local coherence.	#	-	-	-	-	=	✓	-	-
		propositional	Utterances scored									
		level	using a 1-5 scale									
		information	(Glosser &									
			Deser,1991) and									
			mean score									
			calculated									
Hoover (2015)	Descriptive	Single word/	% CIUs, (Nicholas &	%		_	_	_	-	√	_	-
	discourse	phrase level	Brookshire, 1993)	,,								
	('birthday' and	information	2.001(011110, 1000)									
	'rescue' from											
	Nicholas &											
L		1	1	I						1		

	Brookshire, 1993)											
Hula (2003)	Narrative discourse (story retells)	Single word/ phrase level information	% direct Information Units, defined as an identified word, phrase, or acceptable alternative from the stimulus story that is intelligible and informative and conveys accurate and relevant information about the story	%	-	-	ICC 0.86- 0.99	-	-	·	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	Total information units	#	-	-		-	-	·		-
		Single word/ phrase level information	% alternate Information Units	%	-	-		-	-	√		-
		Single word/ phrase level information	rate IU/min	#	-	-		-	-	~	-	-
Jacobs (2001)	Narrative discourse (Cinderella	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993).	%	-	-	Mean 92%, range 77-99%	Mean 92%, range 80- 97%	-	~	-	-

	retells)	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ min	#	-	-			-	√	-	-
		Overall listener judgement	Rating effectiveness, informativeness, and listener comfort of whole discourses using Direct Magnitude Estimation.	Scale	-	-	-	-	-	×	-	-
Kendall (2008)	Procedure from Nicholas & Brookshire, (1993).	Single word/ phrase level information	ClUs	Unclear	-	-	ICC- 0.7	-	-	~	-	-
Knoph et al (2015)	Narrative discourse (Personal narrative- a	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs	#	-	-	82-97%	-	-	√	-	-
	movie description/ book description/ a trip/ a happy moment)	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs	%	-	-		-	-	V	-	-
Li (1995)	Procedural discourse (10x procedural tasks), narrative discourse (10x story retells)	Story grammar	% essential and optional steps for procedural discourse tasks, where a step reflects an event, and needs to be in correct sequence.	%	-	-	95%	-	-	√	✓	-
		Story grammar	% correct setting, action, and resolution elements.	%	-	-		-	-	√	√	-
Linebarger	Narrative discourse	Single word/ phrase level	% CIUs (Nicholas &	%	-	-	89-99%	-	-	✓	-	-

(2007)	(retelling silent videos)	information	Brookshire, 1993)									
		Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ minute	#	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
Marini et al. (2011)	Descriptive discourse (Cookie theft (Goodglass et al., 2000) and picnic scene (Kertesz, 2006)); narrative discourse (2x picture sequences-	utterance/ propositional level information	Global coherence. % global coherence errors, (% utterances with errors/ total utterances). Utterances identified using phonological, acoustic, semantic, and syntactic criteria.	%	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-
	flowerpot and quarrel (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993))	utterance/ propositional level information	Local coherence. % local coherence errors (% utterances with errors/ total utterances). Utterances identified using phonological, acoustic, semantic, and syntactic criteria.	%	-	-		-	-	*	-	-
		Main concepts	Thematic Units	%	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
Marshall, et al (2015).	Descriptive discourses (2x picture descriptions (the kite picture from Minnesota Test for Differential Diagnosis of Aphasia; fireman picture from Nicholas &	Single word/ phrase level information.	CIUs/ minute	#	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-

	Brookshire, 1993)), narrative discourse (an imaginary vacation trip to New York City)											
McNeil (2001)	Samples collected in the Doyle et al. (2000) study	Single word/ phrase level information	% IUs (IUs= a word, phrase, or acceptable alternative from the stimulus that is intelligible and informative and that conveys accurate and relevant information about the story, based on a pre-defined list)	%	-	-	91% mean 81- 100%	-	-	*	~	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1995)	%	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	% IUs/ minute	%	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs/ minute	%	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
		Main concepts	% accurate and complete story propositions	%	-	-		-	-	√	-	-

McNeil (2002)	Narrative discourse (retelling 12 stories from the Discourse Comprehension Test (Brookshire & Nicholas, 1997))	Single word/ phrase level information	% IUs (IUs= a word, phrase, or acceptable alternative from thce stimulus that is intelligible and informative and that conveys accurate and relevant information about the story, based on a pre-defined list	%	-	-	-	-	-	V	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	% IUs/ minute	%	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-
McNeil (1997)	Narrative discourse (retelling 12 stories from the Discourse Comprehension Test (Brookshire & Nicholas, 1997))	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs /min (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993)	#	-	-	-	-	-	V	-	-
McNeil (2007)	Narrative discourse (story Retell Procedure	Main concepts	% main concepts	%	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-
	(SRP), , Cinderella discourse)	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs, (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993)	#	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs	%	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level	CIUs/min	#	-	-		-	-	√	-	-

		information										
		Single word/ phrase level information	% IUs/ min	%	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
Murray (2004)	Descriptive discourse (picture descriptions from the Western Aphasia Battery, Kertesz,1982), ADP (Helm-Estabrooks, 1992), Brief Test of Head Injury (Helm-Estabrooks & Hotz, 1991), and ABA (Dabul, 1979), and Nicholas and Brookshire (1993))	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ min (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993)	%	-		90%	95-96%		V	-	
Murray (2007)	Descriptive discourse (3x 5minute picture description on	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire (1993)	#	-	-	Mean 97% (92- 100)	Mean 97%, range 92- 100%	-	√	-	-
	Norman Rockwell & 'Fishing')	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ min	#	-	-			-	✓	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs	%	-	-			-	√	-	-

Murray (1998)	Descriptive discourse (picture descriptions (including Helm-Estabrooks,	Single word/ phrase level information	# CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993)	#	-	-	92% (range = 83–99%) for CIUs	88-100%	-	√	-	-
	1992), Brief Test of Head Injury (Helm- Estabrooks & Hotz, 1991), and ABA (Dabul, 1979), Nicholas and Brookshire (1993)).	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993)	%	-	-			-	~	-	-
Murray (2000)	Narrative discourse (Cinderella) and procedural discourse (scrambled eggs).	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs (Nicholas & Brookshire,1993)	%	-	-	89%	-	-	~	-	-
Nicholas & Brookshire (1993)	Descriptive discourse (4x complex pictures), narrative discourse (2x picture sequences, 2x requests for personal	Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs, defined as accurate, relevant, and informative relative to the eliciting stimulus. Words did not have to be used in a grammatically accurate manner to be counted as CIUs.	#	-	-	90%	95%	-	V	√	-
	information), procedural discourse (2x requests for	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUs/ minute	%	-	-			-	√	√	-

	procedural information).											
Nicholas& Brookshire (1995)	Descriptive discourse (4x complex pictures),	Main Concepts	# accurate complete main concepts	#	-	-	Mean= 86%, range= 74-94%	80-84%	-	√	√	-
narrative discourse (2x picture sequences, 2x requests for personal information), procedural	Main Concepts	# accurate incomplete main concepts	#	-	-			-	~	√	-	
	personal information),	nation), Main Concepts dural	# Inaccurate main concepts	#	-	-			-	~	~	-
	requests for procedural information).	Main Concepts	# Absent main concepts	#	-	-			-	√	✓	-
Olness & Englebretson (2011)	Narrative discourse (a frightening experience)	utterance/ propositional level information	Discourse segmented into propositions. Propositions then coded regarding whether they occurred on or off the main event line, and whether their evaluative content was on or off main event line (Labov & Waletzky, 1967).	%	-	-	-	-	-	V	×	-
Olness et al. (2010)	Narrative discourse (A frightening experience)	Story grammar	Basic story structure present or absent.	#	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-

Purdy (2002)	Procedural discourse: (pouring a glass of soda, opening and closing a padlock, lighting a candle, changing a lightbulb, making a sandwich, preparing a letter for mailing, preparing instant coffee, preparing scrambled eggs)	Story grammar	Each step identified, and analysis of the sequence was made. One point given for each activity in which the proper sequence was maintained, and enough detail was provided so the task could be accurately completed if the steps were carried out. Scores summed	#	-	-	93%	-	-	V	~	-
Ross (1999)	Descriptive discourse (picture	Main concepts	% accurate and complete main concepts	%	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-
	descriptions from the WAB, PICA, CADL)	Single word/ phrase level information	CIUS/ minute	#	-	-		-	-	✓	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	% CIUs	%	-	-		-	-	√	-	-
Stark (2010)	Narrative discourse (Cinderella, Saffran et al., (1989))	Story grammar	# story grammar elements: Superstructure (orientation, development (episode 1, 2a, 2b, 3), complication (= 4), solution (episode 5), coda, and evaluation of the	#	-	-	90%	-	-	V	-	-

		Main concepts	narrative). Specific information components coded as present or absent # key 41 propositions were present in the discourses that speakers produced	#	-	-		-	-	V	-	-
Ulatowska et al. (1981)	Narrative discourse (memorable experience, cat	Story grammar	# clauses to establish setting, action, resolution, and evaluation	#	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-
	story picture sequence, retelling a 'rooster' story,	Story grammar	Occurrence of elements of super structure in narratives	#	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-
	and a summary and moral for the 'cat' and 'rooster' story) and procedural discourse (brushing teeth, combing hair, cutting bread, making sandwiches, bowling, changing a tyre)	Story grammar	Occurrence of elements of super structure in procedures	#	-	-	-	-	-	√	•	-
Ulatowska, Freedmam Stern, et al. (1983)	Narrative discourse (memorable experience, cat	Story grammar	# clauses used for setting, action, resolution, and evaluation	#	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	-
	story picture sequence, retelling a	Main concepts	# key propositions for cat and rooster stories speakers	#	-	-	-	-	-	✓	√	-

	'rooster' story,		included									
	and a summary and moral for the 'cat' and 'rooster' story.	Overall listener judgement	Ratings on factors such as completeness, awareness of what was going on, on a 3 or 4-point scale	Scale	-	-	ICC: 0.71-0.95	-	-	×	√	-
Ulatowska, Weiss Doyel, et al. (1983)	Procedural discourse (Changing a lightbulb, making a	Story grammar	# Steps (total number, essential steps, target steps, optional steps, auxiliary steps)	#	1	-	-	-	-	~	~	-
	sandwich, making scrambled eggs, instructing a person how to shop in an American supermarket)	Overall listener judgement	Judgements regarding the clarity, actions, amount of information of the discourse on a 1-4 scale	Scale	-	-	ICC: 0.64-0.86	-	-	×	√	-
Ulatowska et al. (2003)	Narrative discourse (Fable retell, picture sequence	Overall listener judgement	Discourse rated for coherence and clarity	Scale	-	-	75%	-	-	×	-	-
	description, frightening experience), descriptive discourse (complex pictures)	utterance/ propositional level informational information	# of propositions in a discourse	#	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	-
Ulatowska et al. (2001)	Narrative discourse (Frightening experience)	Overall Listener judgement	Discourse rated (e.g., global structure, temporal sequence, reference, suspense, coherence, and	Scale	-	-	-	-	-	×	√	-

			clarity)									
		utterance/ propositional level informational information	# of propositions in a discourse	#	-	-	÷	-	-	√	-	-
Ulatowska et al. (2004)	Narrative discourse (Frightening experience)	Story grammar	Basic narrative structure (setting, complication, and resolution)	Scale	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-
		utterance/ propositional level information	# locations of direct expressions of fear	#	-	1	-	-	-	*	-	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	Verbs which form the narrative backbone	#	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-
Ulatowska et al. (2013)	Narrative discourse (Frightening experience)	Story grammar	# temporal-causal sequences, reference, and evaluation used.	#	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-
	, ,	Overall listener judgement	Coherence and clarity on a 3-point scale	Scale	-	-	99-95%	-	-	×	-	-
Yorkston & Beukelman (1980)	Descriptive discourse (Picture description, Cookie Theft)	Single word/ phrase level information	# Content Units (grouping of information always expressed as a unit by normal speakers)	#			-	-	-	√	√	-
		Single word/ phrase level information	Content units/ minute	#	-	-		-	-	√	√	-

Whitworth (2010)	Narrative discourse (Cinderella story, Saffran et al., 1989)	Story grammar	# Story grammar elements	#	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-
Whitworth (2015)	Narrative discourse (recount of past injury/ previous weekend/ previous Christmas or other event, and Cinderella), procedural discourse (scrambling eggs, changing a light bulb, planning an event/meal), and expository discourse (providing opinions on bullying, obesity and global warming).	Story grammar	# orientation elements, body elements, conclusion elements	#	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	-