

# City Research Online

# City, University of London Institutional Repository

**Citation:** Walker, S., Scamell, M. & Parker, P. M. (2018). Deliberate acquisition of competence in physiological breech birth: A grounded theory study. Women and Birth, 31(3), e170-e177. doi: 10.1016/j.wombi.2017.09.008

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://city-test.eprints-hosting.org/id/eprint/18282/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2017.09.008

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online: <a href="http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/">http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</a> <a href="publications@city.ac.uk/">publications@city.ac.uk/</a>

1 **Structured Abstract** 2 Deliberate acquisition of competence in physiological breech birth: a grounded theory study 3 4 **Problem:** Research suggests that the skill and experience of the attendant significantly affect 5 the outcomes of vaginal breech births, yet practitioner experience levels are minimal within 6 many contemporary maternity care systems. 7 8 Background: Due to minimal experience and cultural resistance, few practitioners offer vaginal 9 breech birth, and many practice guidelines and training programmes recommend delivery 10 techniques requiring supine maternal position. Fewer practitioners have skills to support 11 physiological breech birth, involving active maternal movement and choice of birthing position, 12 including upright postures such as kneeling, standing, squatting, or on a birth stool. How 13 professionals learn complex skills contrary to those taught in their local practice settings is 14 unclear. 15 16 Question: How do professionals develop competence and expertise in physiological breech 17 birth? 18 19 Methods: Nine midwives and five obstetricians with experience facilitating upright physiological 20 breech births participated in semi-structured interviews. Data were analysed iteratively using 21 constructivist grounded theory methods to develop an empirical theory of physiological breech 22 skill acquisition. 23 24 Results: Among the participants in this research, the deliberate acquisition of competence in 25 physiological breech birth included stages of affinity with physiological birth, critical awareness,

intention, identity and responsibility. Expert practitioners operating across local and national boundaries guided less experienced practitioners. Discussion: The results depict a specialist learning model which could be formalised in sympathetic training programmes, and evaluated. It may also be relevant to developing competence in other specialist/expert roles and innovative practices. Conclusion: Deliberate development of local communities of practice may support professionals to acquire elusive breech skills in a sustainable way. Keywords breech presentation, clinical competence, physiological birth, sustainable models of care, constructivist grounded theory, communities of practice 

42 Deliberate acquisition of expertise in physiological breech birth: a grounded theory study 43 44 Statement of Significance 45 **Problem** 46 Although the skill and experience of the attendant significantly affect outcomes of vaginal 47 breech births, experience levels are minimal within many contemporary maternity care systems. 48 What is Already Known 49 Most mainstream practices recommend supine delivery or caesarean section for breech presentation at term. Some professionals have proposed understanding physiological breech 50 birth as a variation of normal, and advocate the use of upright maternal birthing position. How 51 52 practitioners develop competence in non-standard practices is unknown. 53 What this Paper Adds 54 This paper offers a learning model through which practitioners could be supported to develop 55 skill and expertise in physiological breech birth. 56 57 1. Introduction 58 59 Approximately 1:25 women pregnant at term will carry a fetus presenting breech, bottom- or 60 feet-first. Although debates about the safety of vaginal breech birth compared to elective caesarean section have run for decades.<sup>2</sup> research and advocacy literature indicates that there 61 is a demand for vaginal breech birth. 3,4 that women have difficulty accessing this service. 5,6 and 62 that providers experience cultural resistance when attempting to facilitate breech births. <sup>7,8</sup> Some 63 64 experienced midwives and obstetricians have advocated a change towards innovative, physiologically compatible practices for vaginal breech birth, 8-10 commonly involving upright 65

maternal birthing positions, such as kneeling, standing, squatting, or sitting on a birth stool.

66

Recent research has suggested that the safety of physiological breech birth is comparable to methods involving supine maternal birthing positions, and it may afford some maternal benefits. <sup>11,12</sup> But implementing the option of physiological breech birth requires professionals to learn complex skills not readily available or supported within their local practice settings, with minimal opportunity to practice under the guidance of experienced mentors.

In a large randomised controlled trial, <sup>13</sup> the attendance of "a clinician who considers him or herself to be skilled and experienced at vaginal breech delivery, with confirmation by the individual's Head of Department" (p. 742) reduced the risk of adverse perinatal outcome at breech births to a 0.30 odds ratio compared to births where a clinician meeting this definition was not present (*p*=.004). Yet studies from around the world indicate that obstetric training programmes do not necessarily provide new consultants with the experience and confidence to support vaginal breech births. <sup>14–18</sup> A recent systematic review <sup>19</sup> reported no evidence that current training programmes improve maternal and/or neonatal outcomes. The review also suggested teaching breech skills as part of an obstetric emergencies training programme may reduce the likelihood of actually attending a breech birth in practice. The aim of this study was to explore how professionals acquire physiological breech experience and skill over the courses of their careers, in order to develop an empirical model which might explain and/or predict how clinicians move towards physiological breech birth competence.

# 2. Participants, Ethics and Methods

# 2.1 Research design

This study followed a constructivist grounded theory methodology.<sup>20</sup> Grounded theory is ideally suited to exploring processes and new understandings of social interaction, grounded in

empirical data, and expressed in the form of a theory which can be tested further.<sup>21</sup> A constructivist approach acknowledges the inevitable influence of personal experience and social network activity in the co-construction of shared realities, and provides a reflexive framework to maintain awareness of these influences throughout the research process.<sup>22</sup> The research team included a clinically active midwife, a Senior Lecturer in midwifery, and a Professor of Educational Development who is a nurse. The first author had qualitative research experience and breech experience at a level similar to the participants. The second and third authors, who had previously conducted grounded theory studies, provided methodological familiarity and professional distance from breech practice, which balanced reflexive discussions. Ethical approval was obtained (City, University of London, SHSREC Ref: PhD/15-16/06), and all participants gave consent to participate via an on-line form.

### 2.2 Sampling and Participants

This research sought to conduct in-depth interviews with midwives and obstetricians who had attended between 3-20 upright breech births. This range was chosen to capture the experiences of professionals who are still in the process of acquiring competence and proficiency.<sup>23</sup>

According to Benner,<sup>24</sup> professionals in earlier stages of developing competence and proficiency can be expected to engage in more conscious and deliberate planning and reflection, potentially revealing more data about the learning process, than professionals who have reached the level of expertise, wherein analytic processes have been incorporated into more intuitive grasp of complex situations.

Recruitment involved purposive, network, and social media sampling.<sup>23</sup> Although ability to participate in an interview in English was required, recruitment was international. Information about the research and the researcher (first author) was sent via e-mail to practitioners whose

involvement with breech birth was publicly known, eg. through publications or conference activities. Those responding to an expression of interest were also invited to nominate experienced colleagues, who were each sent information about the research. A call for expressions of interest was also posted on social media sites related to breech birth, with permission of the moderators. This process resulted in 52 expressions of interest from professionals who indicated they had the desired range of experience for this study, and 32 were invited to participate [Figure 1]. If a potential participant did not respond to a request to schedule an interview, the next suitable participant was approached, until saturation was achieved. <sup>25</sup> Participants were selected to represent a heterogeneous range of experience levels, geographical areas and both the midwifery and obstetric professions, in order to distill common elements resonant across diversity through the constant comparative method used in grounded theory research. All participants gave consent via an on-line form. Recruitment stopped when saturation was reached, as described below.<sup>25</sup>

A total of 14 professionals were interviewed, including nine midwives and five obstetricians, working in Australia, Brazil, Canada, the Netherlands, New Zealand, the Philippines, the United Kingdom, and the United States. All but one of the midwives described attending breech births in both home and hospital settings. Five midwives and three obstetricians had worked in multiple geographical locations, including the developing world. Some of the participants, especially obstetricians, had significantly more experience with vaginal breech births where the woman births in a supine or lithotomy position but were beginning to change their practice to include upright positions. Three participants had attended over 20 upright breech births by the time the interview took place. The experience level among those interviewed ultimately ranged from five breech births to approximately 30 upright breech births, and this range of experience provided sufficient comparative insight to meet the objectives of this study.

Eleven of the professionals who expressed an interest in participating were professionally acquainted with the researcher conducting the interviews, through conferences and other networking activities. The potential for bias in sampling was recognised, and the first nine interviews were conducted with participants with whom the researcher had little or no previous contact. However, in the final interviews, participants were theoretically sampled in order to achieve saturation of the emerging categories; this included one participant whose background experience was known to the researcher and particularly relevant to areas requiring deeper exploration at this stage.

#### 2.3 Data collection

Individual in-depth interviews were conducted by the first author with all 14 participants, using a semi-structured interview schedule, below.

Semi-structured interview schedule(s): \*= added/modified in second round of interviews

How did you gain experience with upright breech birth?

Please describe some/one\* of your significant learning experiences.

\* Have you had any difficult breech births? Please describe what happened.

\* Have you ever experienced a head entrapment?

\* Do you consider yourself skilled and experienced in breech birth? Why?

What does 'upright breech expertise' mean to you?

The first nine interviews took place between June and September 2014, and the final five took place between December 2015 and February 2016. Interviews ranged in length from twenty to ninety minutes; one interview was cut short due to clinical activity, with some follow-up

exchange via e-mail. Five interviews were done via telephone (audio recording), eight via Skype (audio-visual), and one in person (audio). Consent was verbally confirmed prior to the start of the interview. Notes were made during the interviews. All were recorded and transcribed by the first author, and a transcript was returned to the participant as a courtesy where requested. Only one participant came back with a clarification, correcting the initials of a colleague mentioned in a narrative. Anonymity was maintained with pseudonyms, and data were stored on a password-protected, encrypted laptop and networked university drive, in line with the ethics approvals obtained.

## 2.4 Data analysis

Data analysis was facilitated by QSR International's NVivo 10 for Mac software (version 11), which provided flexibility to sort, consider, rearrange, and recode as required throughout the analytic process. <sup>29</sup> Analysis began following transcription of the first interview and continued in an iterative fashion throughout the conduct of the research. <sup>26</sup> Interviews were first coded line-by-line by the first author, using action-oriented descriptors, <sup>27</sup> and over 300 initial codes were identified. As connections and resonances between the codes became apparent, related codes were grouped and arranged into a coding tree in order to focus the analysis. Memos were created and linked to significant codes, chronicling the abductive reasoning behind the groupings, <sup>27</sup> and identifying gaps in the data. Tentative analytic categories were built up through this process, and earlier interviews were continually revisited to interrogate the emerging categories further. Following the first nine interviews, an initial framework was developed, which organised the emerging categories into stages. The interview schedule was revised, driven by the emerging theory, and a further five interviews were then conducted using a modified interview schedule. At this point, theoretical sampling of participants with minimal and maximal experience levels within the identified range allowed for testing and saturation of the categories,

particularly relating to the trajectory of competence development through stages as experience increased.

Saturation was judged to have occurred when theoretical categories were sufficiently dense and fully resonant across the diverse sample of participants, with no further insights or dimensions emerging through further analysis.<sup>25</sup> Saturation was also observed objectively, by recording the diminishing number coding and category changes during analysis of the later interviews, as they gradually ceased to reveal new properties within the categories under consideration.<sup>28</sup>

#### 2.5 Trustworthiness

We employed a number of verification strategies throughout the research, including an audit trail, reflexive discussions, member checking, and network testing. Throughout the research, the team met monthly to review coding activity, discuss the emerging analysis, and resolve inconsistencies. The audit of the iterative decision-making process was maintained through memos, including snapshots of coding trees as emerging categories were built up into theoretical categories, and changes to the tentative theoretical framework. Reflexive awareness of network influences and personal experience was facilitated through memo writing and team discussion.<sup>22</sup>

In order to check for resonance and recognisability, each of the later five interviews ended by sharing a brief summary of the emerging theoretical framework with the participant at the conclusion of the interview. This activity functioned as a form of member checking<sup>30</sup> and enabled reciprocal shaping of the theoretical framework in line with constructivist methodology.

Throughout the analytic process, the emerging theory was also shared informally with other professionals in the first author's international network, and formally at the 11<sup>th</sup> Normal Labour

and Birth Conference in Sydney, Australia, in October 2016. Peer scrutiny and feedback in the early stages of analysis helped shed light on nuances which had not previously been noticed within the data, and later reassured us of the credibility of the results,<sup>32</sup> as fewer nuances emerged within and outside of the interviews. Public engagement also prompted consideration of the practical implications and transferability of the model.<sup>33</sup>

#### 3. Results

Analysis of participants' narratives indicated that these professionals engaged in a process of deliberate acquisition of competence in physiological breech birth, involving five iterative stages:

1) affinity with physiological birth, 2) critical awareness, 3) intention, 4) identity and 5) responsibility. Figure 2 depicts these stages as spheres which grow as experience increases, and overlap to illustrate the recursive nature of the trajectory. **Key elements** of each stage are listed in a box alongside each stage, and highlighted in bold in the text below. *Participant quotes* are in italics. Any names used are pseudonyms.

#### 3.1: Affinity with physiological birth

The midwives and obstetricians who participated in this research shared an affinity with physiological birth. This stemmed in some cases from personal predispositions, in others from early exposure to mentors and practice settings oriented towards physiological birth, although both influences appeared to enhance the other. *My own philosophy has always been very pro normal birth. Even in cephalic births, I don't do a lot of interventions. (OB4)* The obstetricians particularly reported training in settings where vaginal breech births were perceived as *a normal thing* (OB3).

254	Their perceptions of breech birth as a physiological process were enhanced by <b>understanding</b>
255	the mechanisms of normal breech birth.
256	I went to the pre-conference workshop that [Midwife and Obstetrician Breech
257	Experts] taught together and I really understood the mechanisms of normal
258	breech birth, and I really understood how to identify when there was a problem and
259	what to do about it. (MW5)
260	They contrasted physiological breech strategies to training in their local practice settings which
261	focused on performing interventions.
262	They only explain what to do, like how to remove the arms. But you need to
263	understand the mechanism, otherwise you don't recognise anything. (MW3)
264	Several participants described repeatedly watching and simulating breech birth videos in
265	order to familiarise themselves with the normal mechanisms.
266	
267	These midwives and obstetricians demonstrated <b>flexibility</b> in their practice that enabled them to
268	work to the rhythm of physiological births, particularly by being available.
269	Our section rate was down towards 10%. So we did everything vaginally, and it
270	was just a matter of being available and being there to do 'em. (OB2)
271	This type of flexibility was a matter of both character and circumstance, which participants
272	identified as unique in their settings.
273	The reason that myself and my colleagues are able to do it is because we have
274	family set-ups that allow us to drop everything at a moment's notice and come.
275	(MW8)
276	Participants in all settings described diverse ways they created availability for breech births
277	which occurred unpredictably, and were continually trying to increase this availability. These
278	included: on-call working; offering to come if available; responding to colleagues' requests for
279	help, even when not on duty; setting up innovative continuity-based teams within maternity care

systems where the majority of care was provided by professionals working shifts; negotiating the ability to work across employment borders in collaboration with other breech colleagues.

Personal flexibility was also evident in participants' **openness to innovation** based on physiological principles, often before such practices had gained acceptance in their local practice settings. For example, several participants discussed initiating resuscitation with the umbilical cord intact. Leave the cord attached and they do so much better ... But our big universities haven't quite caught onto that. (OB2) Despite participants' personal openness, cultural resistance around breech created barriers to innovation. One participant contrasted the ease with which other specialists were able to introduce new surgical techniques which had not yet been rigorously tested, based on experienced professional judgement, with the resistance faced when trying to introduce upright maternal position for breech births.

I think when you find a new operating way, or a new technique, you do it also. And my colleague who is very good in laparoscopy, does not ask, "Hey, Lilith, can I try this on Monday? Shall I call you?" You have some experience and you want to advance techniques. And [upright breech birth] is a good technique in which I really believe, and I cannot make it from a randomised controlled trial clear to my colleagues, but I want to try it, yes. (OB5)

#### 3.2: Critical Awareness

For these participants, **critical awareness** initiated a turn away from local practice settings to explore different understandings about breech birth. This turn often involved witnessing less-than-optimal breech practice. Several participants expressed criticism of the actions and responses of professionals they observed managing breech births, but also felt keenly aware of the inadequacy of their own preparation.

306	No one in the entire hospital knew what to do. A very old guy attended the birth
307	in a very awful, awful, awful way. And the baby was completely with bruises on the
308	entire body. And I felt that something was wrong about that. (MW9)
309	Early formative events involved recognising incoherence in behaviour which undermined the
310	successful physiology they observed.
311	It was obvious she was cracking on, she was kneeling up, she was beginning to
312	feel pressure And the consultant just came in and was like, "Right I need an
313	epidural put in" She started pushing as the epidural went in, and then she was
314	numb they struggled with the head, and the consultant pulled and pulled and
315	pulled (MW1)
316	
317	Recognising the negative effects of fear on professional decision-making, these participants
318	began consciously distancing fear.
319	It was my first breech, I was alone. My colleague, the [senior] midwife, she told me,
320	"I won't do it because I'm too scared. You need to do it because you are the brave
321	one." (MW3)
322	Participants were aware of how communicating about breech as an emergency impacted the
323	behaviour of their colleagues, and consciously chose to communicate about breech as normal,
324	a choice some had also observed in their mentors.
325	I was like, "Oooh, what do I do? It's coming, but chaos will ensue if I pull that
326	[emergency] bell so I just pulled the bell as in I was just calling somebody"
327	(MW1)
328	They also reflected on the effect of fear on their own actions.
329	In that birth, the baby was fine, the baby was coming along I think I did
330	something, I did an episiotomy and I did the manoeuvre because I was scared.
331	(MW3)

332

333

334

335

337

339

341

344

345

349

352

353

355

357

336

338

340

342

343

346

3.3: Intention

347

348

350

351

354

356

professions, but were aware that colleagues did not share this view.

14

Participants expressed academic doubt about the research and education underpinning

While I was compiling this data [from a local audit], the Term Breech Trial was

published suggesting we were killing or maiming 1:20 babies, and I had in my

my critical interest, so it became an academic interest as well. (OB1)

hands data from 400 [breech births] that showed that was nonsense. That piqued

They began to read more widely around the research base concerning breech presentation, and

It feels like there's a whole generation of obstetrics that has taken us back to the

vaginal breech, which sounds like it's just recited out of textbooks rather than

Participants' critical awareness catalysed an **intention** to develop personal skill with breech

birth. So I decided to go search for courses and things like that. (MW9) Only one participant

described having received support from their employers to undertake additional learning in this

area, but some participants' efforts to gain experience were supported by individual, like-minded

colleagues. What we do is we call each other. We do these births together. (M2) Some viewed

That word, "brave," I hear that said to me all the time, and I find that quite insulting.

It's nothing to do with being brave. I mean, I wouldn't be able to go and look after

somebody on HDU [High Dependency Unit]. I would need to have extra training.

their self-determined intention as similar to other areas of advanced practice within their

emerging out of the depths of lots of personal experience of people. (OB4)

dark ages in terms of breech. We've now got this cookie-cutter recipe for how to do

mainstream practice for breech presentation.

questioned the legitimacy of mainstream training methods.

358 And if for some reason or other, I suddenly woke up tomorrow and thought, "All I 359 ever wanted was to be is an HDU obstetric nurse," then I would seek that training. 360 If you want to do something and you want to be something, the buck stops with 361 you. (MW8) 362 363 Participants specifically sought out **contact with experts**, professionals regarded as having 364 genuine expertise in both breech practice and teaching skills to others. 365 During the conference, people would come up to him over and over again and say, 366 "Can you show me again?" And I kinda stalked him a little bit and watched him 367 doing it again and again 'cause I really wanted to get it down. (MW5) 368 In Figure 2, Breech Experts are depicted independently due to their important and on-going role 369 in guiding participants' deliberate acquisition of competence and the trajectories of their careers: 370 So I would say that he changed my life in my career, something like this. (OB3) The influence of 371 Breech Experts operated across multiple practice settings, and a few were mentioned by 372 multiple participants working in different geographic areas, sometimes with reverent language, eg. guru of breech birth (OB4). Simulations performed with Breech Experts appeared 373 particularly meaningful. 374 375 She put her hands on my hands. And it was minute, minute traction. But it was 376 there, and that's what I needed. In a way, that single act taught me absolutely the 377 most of what I understand. (MW8) 378 379 At this stage, participants were working outside boundaries of geography, practice and 380 standard training, in various ways. All participants in this study described travelling beyond their 381 local practice settings, sometimes internationally, to attend breech workshops and conferences. 382 Some travelled to work with Breech Experts, or to settings where breech births were common. I 383 was at a conference and saw his name there so tracked him down and asked if I could come

and work at his unit. (OB1) Some remained within the same local geographical area but worked outside normative boundaries in other ways. One midwife and one doctor reported significant early learning experiences while caring for women whose babies had died in utero. For the midwife, attending stillbirths meant practising autonomously within an environment where midwives usually did not attend unsupervised breech births. For the obstetrician, it meant freedom to be slow and careful when applying forceps to an aftercoming head for the first time, knowing the baby could not end up, as she described, deader than dead (OB4). For another midwife, gaining breech experience involved working outside local regulation boundaries.

So I asked this OB-GYN to be with me, and here ... the medical board is very against home births, so we were illegal midwife and also our illegal OB-GYN attending breech home birth. (MW9)

Having set their intention and broadcast it in various ways, participants began **attracting breeches**. Combinations of accident, attention, receptiveness and word of mouth meant they found themselves attending more breech births than they previously expected or thought possible. So one woman told the other one, and suddenly a lot of breech births were appearing from everywhere! (MW9) Some participants attributed clusters of early experiences to chance; others actively created conditions that made it more likely that they would be involved in breech births, particularly by discussing their interest and extra training with their colleagues. That basically came about from talking to the staff of my interest and pure luck that I was on shift when the women came in. (MW1)

3.4: Identity

As colleagues in their local practice settings became aware of the participants' interest, association with breech birth became part of these participants' professional **identity**, even

before the participants owned such an association as part of their personal identity. I had a phone call in the middle of the night when I wasn't on call ... someone had decided I was the breech expert that night [laughing]. (OB4) Despite some having attended a relatively modest number of births, participants were already beginning to operate recognisably as specialists. This term was used by some participants when referring to experienced mentors who were known for their skill with breech within the participants' local practice settings. I had the luck to be resident where breech positions were accepted and especially because two gynaecologists were specialised in it because they had a lot of experience. (OB5) But awareness of this special association with breech was not always positive. Lots of people think we're mavericks. (MW8) While all participants in this research demonstrated an affinity for physiological birth, critical awareness and intention to develop breech skills, these later stages in the deliberate acquisition of competence featured more frequently in the narratives of more experienced participants. In data from less experienced participants, the same stages were recognisable, but in the form of shadow data <sup>34</sup>, where participants speak about others, rather than themselves, eg. [She] is well-known for her breech. (MW6) A core feature of sustaining breech identity and practice was establishment of a community of **practice** with other supportive breech-experienced professionals. By e-mail or occasionally by phone and sometimes just serendipitously when we catch up with one another ... we review cases, more out of interest than ... some critical appraisal format. (OB1) They forged relationships with like-minded colleagues within their practice settings. Then another consultant came along [here], who was really open to midwifery as a skill, and we'd just naturally found each other, like you do. (MW8)

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435 These collaborative professional associations enabled them to grow and change, acquiring 436 additional clinical flexibility. 437 Especially one [colleague] ... she is really progressing and pushing me in a new 438 way to see things from another point of view. And she supports me and I her to do 439 things differently. Because you need support. (OB5) 440 However, sometimes cultural resistance meant they could not access support locally. 441 I think the last 20 years, if you've been prepared to stand up and be counted as an 442 obstetrician who does vaginal breech births, you were painted as a bit of a feral 443 risk taker ... It wasn't the sort of thing that you walked into the tea room and said, 444 "Ahh, I just did a fabulous breech!" (OB4) 445 Therefore, they also maintained connections with the Breech Experts and peers they had 446 encountered outside their local practice environment. Some of the other midwives were really 447 scathing ... I ended up ringing up [a Midwife Breech Expert] and talking through to her. (MW6) 448 As their experience and understanding grew, the participants found increasing confidence. 449 450 Unexpectedly, this seemed to occur along with, or as a consequence, of the establishment of 451 breech identity, rather than preceding it. Participants were often receiving referrals from other 452 professionals before feeling fully confident as specialists themselves. Self-confidence increased 453 following successfully resolving complications. 454 I did the [manoeuvre] for the very first time, and it worked like a charm and this 10 455 1/2 pound baby just popped right out. It was very affirming that what I had learned 456 actually worked in practice. (MW5) 457 Confidence to trust their own experience, intuition and problem-solving ability also grew as they 458 learned in practice that the rules they had been taught to follow do not always work. 459 It gives you a new perspective when you realise it isn't quite the way that you were 460 taught and that the sky won't fall in if the woman isn't flat on her back with her legs

461 in stirrups. It's okay if you don't cut an episiotomy, and it's okay if you don't put 462 forceps on and ... you know, all that high intervention stuff we were taught as 463 trainees. (OB4) 464 Confidence also grew as they successfully applied transferable knowledge of physiological 465 cephalic birth to their breech practice. 466 My colleague wanted at first to do it the way she learned it, so asked the woman to 467 lie down on the bed, and then after two times pushes, she said, "Well, no, this is 468 not going to work," and asked her to sit on the birthing chair. (MW2) 469 470 3.5: Responsibility 471 472 Increased responsibility, and awareness of that responsibility, characterised the final stage in 473 the deliberate acquisition of competence. 474 When you learn breech skills and you get to the point where others consider you 475 experienced ... with that, for me and my colleague, has come a massive sense of 476 responsibility. (MW8) Participants sensed others' increased expectations of their abilities, and their colleagues' 477 478 doubts. 479 Well, it's complicated because everybody thinks it's complicated, so you get real 480 sore on your shoulders doing the birth. So everyone is a little bit shaky, and 481 everybody says, "She's doing it." So that makes me sometimes a little bit more 482 nervous than it should be. (OB5) 483 Participants at this stage exhibited noticeable markers of experience, which distinguished 484 them as the most breech experienced practitioners in their local settings, even amongst 485 professionals with comparatively more years of experience. They were able to make 486 comparisons between experiences: What I had found to work with larger babies [at home] did

not work for that one. (MW5) Their familiarity with the mechanisms and patterns of breech labours underpinned an ability to anticipate complications occurring. I've seen so many normal breeches as well ... so I know when I need to intervene now. (MW7) These more experienced practitioners also described being able to improvise solutions in particularly complex situations, where simpler methods proved inadequate.

I did what felt instinctively right to me, and I ... turned it posteriorly. It wasn't a conscious decision to do that ... just felt which way it felt like it would go ... and then as I turned it the other way, it was already delivering its own arm. (MW8)

Participants exhibiting markers of experience had all attended at least 10 breech births and had managed multiple complications successfully.

Participants became increasingly involved in **supporting others** to develop breech knowledge and skills within their local services. *I've also been at [other births], trying to encourage other midwives, just by being in the room. (MW4)* Their capacity to describe physiological patterns, problems and solutions enabled them to teach others, which they did both formally and informally. *Then afterwards, I'm like, "I'm really not an expert in this, but I know the theory, so let's do it all together." (MW7)* Supporting colleagues' up-skilling involved continued flexibility and availability to support breech births clinically to ensure the safety of the service. *And then I have to be there because I think a lot of trouble comes from people who don't know how to do breeches and they want to pull. (OB2)* 

Some participants also became involved in **leading change** at local levels and beyond. They organised conferences and training days similar to those they had attended when they first set their intention to develop breech competence. Leading change often required them to become aware of institutional politics.

It was about teaching the managers. I actually think that trying to start from the bottom up in this particular instance, with lost skills, is not helpful. You have got to engage the consultants and the senior management. (MW8)

Critical awareness also expanded with experience, and some discussed access to skilled support for a vaginal birth as a human right. We understand breech birth as a reproductive right. So the women have the right to have a vaginal birth if they have a bottom-first breech. (MW9) They also understood the need to think strategically beyond their local situation, although this sometimes attracted additional cultural resistance.

I can't get enough volume for other people to learn at my private hospital. So I went to the university, thinking people could just refer 'em there. The problem is that their paediatricians, they're all hyperventilating when the baby comes out. (OB2)

Finally, the evidence indicated that some participants were beginning to be regarded as specialists with expertise valued beyond their local practice settings. On the back of [the conference], we've had so many requests, "Will you come and talk to us about what you've done, how you've done it?" (MW9) This suggests that, for some practitioners, iterative engagement in this model develops into the deliberate acquisition of expertise, and an expanded professional identity as a Breech Expert.

#### Discussion

In this study, the deliberate acquisition of competence in physiological breech birth involved five iterative stages: affinity with physiological birth, critical awareness, intention, identity and responsibility. The findings lend further support for the development of specialist breech teams within each maternity care setting, as suggested by the consensus of experienced breech professionals in previous research.<sup>23</sup>

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

Unique to this research is the finding that specialist identity association with physiological breech practice does not appear to be a linear progression following achievement of a certain number of births, a prescribed training programme, or formal recognition. All but one of the participants, the least experienced, received referrals and requests to assist other professionals with aspects of breech care. This suggests the demand for breech specialists exists across very disparate maternity care environments, and is felt by professionals as well as service users. The participants' regard as somewhat specialised among their peers was evident, despite in most cases a modest amount of actual breech experience. In this model, the notion of specialist practice is reconceptualised, from an association with lengthy clinical experience, to one of engagement within a community of practice. This model resonates with Ericsson's theories of expert performance.<sup>35</sup> According to Ericsson, observed expert performance correlates with active engagement in deliberate practice, including feedback and guidance from teachers, time for problem-solving and evaluation, and opportunities for repeated performance to refine behaviour, rather than greater professional experience.<sup>35</sup> The deliberate acquisition of competence model presented in this paper also has the potential to be refined and tested in other areas where specialist skill and greater continuity might enhance safety and service provision, such as home birth, physiological twin birth and vaginal birth after caesarean section.

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

Lave and Wenger describe how members of a community of practice acquire an identity association by virtue of successfully navigating and negotiating participation in that community, within which learning and development continually occurs. Through their engagement with a breech community of practice, participants in this research acquired a professional identity association with breech specialist practice, often through the eyes of their non-participating or more peripheral colleagues in the first instance. The model suggests that formal identification of a multi-disciplinary breech team may be sufficient within many contexts to initiate the attraction

of enough breech births to develop and maintain the team's expertise, although the practicalities of how this occurs will inevitably vary between settings. If implementing a breech team model, services should be aware of a window of vulnerability. Despite early professional identity association, in this research only participants who had attended approximately 10 or more births exhibited the markers of experience associated with taking on increased responsibility, due to having successfully encountered and resolved multiple complications. This corresponds to consensus research indicating that professionals gain competence to practice autonomously after attending approximately 10-13 breech births, <sup>23</sup> and appropriate support mechanisms should be in place as individuals within the team approach this level of experience.

With time and flexibility, the presence of a clearly identified group of experienced practitioners may enable further members of the local maternity care team to engage in situated learning with internal specialists or external breech experts. Such models of training and care should be rigorously monitored and evaluated if implemented. Many of the participants felt a heavy burden of responsibility, which in several cases was made heavier by feelings of professional isolation and cultural resistance to vaginal breech births in general. Team and workplace conflict has been shown to have a detrimental effect on safety, 38 and may furthermore reduce professional resilience, 39 leading to a reduction in the necessary flexibility and affinity required to facilitate physiological breech births.

This study has a few limitations. The in-depth interviews with a broad international sample of fourteen midwives and obstetricians practicing in a variety of settings enabled the discernment of similar stages across settings, but the heterogeneous nature of the participants' practice settings may have obscured other important aspects because they were not able to be expressed in certain contexts; this may affect transferability of the model. The results describe general principles of breech specialist skill development, but lacks specific practical detail

necessary for implementation in individual organisations. While the results suggest deliberately organising breech training and services to involve flexible specialist teams may be fruitful, they do not present evidence that such a strategy will be effective, nor do they provide safety data concerning the impact of any changes on outcomes for mothers and babies. These questions should be explored in future research.

#### Conclusion

The results of this research suggest that institutions wishing to implement the option of physiological breech birth may begin by identifying a multi-professional team of individuals with aptitude and flexibility, who may be supported to develop into breech specialists within a local community of practice, with guidance from internal and/or external breech experts. The five stages of deliberate competence acquisition identified were distinct enough across a variety of contexts to inform training and organisational development programmes based on this empirical model. Institutions may also consider implementing policies which reduce the burdens of isolation and disproportionate responsibility on those who attend breech births. Training models based the stages described in this research may enable more sustainable provision of vaginal breech birth support within contemporary maternity services. The impact and safety of such models should be explored in further research and evaluation.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This research was partially funded by a grant from the Iolanthe Midwifery Trust. This organisation played no role in the collection of data, its analysis and interpretation, nor in the right to approve or disapprove publication of the finished manuscript. The authors wish to acknowledge Dr Kenda Crozier of the University of East Anglia for her guidance at the start of

616 this project and Mariamni Plested for her review of the final manuscript. 617 618 References 619 620 1 Ferreira JCP, Borowski D, Czuba B, Cnota W, Wloch A, Sodowski K, et al. The evolution 621 of fetal presentation during pregnancy: a retrospective, descriptive cross-sectional study. 622 Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2015;94(6):660–3. 623 van Roosmalen J, Meguid T. The dilemma of vaginal breech delivery worldwide. Lancet 2 624 2014;383(9932):1863-4. 625 Kok M, Gravendeel L, Opmeer BC, van der Post JAM, Mol BWJ. Expectant parents' 3 626 preferences for mode of delivery and trade-offs of outcomes for breech presentation. 627 Patient Educ Couns 2008;72(2):305–10. 628 4 Angood PB, Armstrong EM, Ashton D, Burstin H, Corry MP, Delbanco SF, et al. Blueprint 629 for action: steps toward a high-quality, high-value maternity care system. Womens Health 630 Issues 2010;20(1 Suppl):S18-49. 631 5 Petrovska K, Watts NP, Catling C, Bisits A, Homer CSE. Supporting Women Planning a Vaginal Breech Birth: An International Survey. Birth 2016;43(4):353-7. 632 633 Petrovska K, Watts NP, Catling C, Bisits A, Homer CS. 'Stress, anger, fear and injustice': 6 634 An international qualitative survey of women's experiences planning a vaginal breech 635 birth. *Midwifery* 2017;**44**(0):41–7. Catling C, Petrovska K, Watts N, Bisits A, Homer CSE. Barriers and facilitators for vaginal 636 7 637 breech births in Australia: Clinician's experiences. Women Birth 2015;29(2):138-43. 638 Walker S, Scamell M, Parker P. Principles of physiological breech birth practice: A Delphi 8 639 study. Midwifery 2016;43:1-6. Epub 2016 Sep 13. 640 Krause M. Der Vierfüßlerstand - eine optimale Gebärhaltung bei Beckenendlage. Die 641 Hebamme 2007;20:164-7. German.

642 10 Reitter A, Daviss B-A, Bisits A, Schollenberger A, Vogl T, Herrmann E, et al. Does 643 pregnancy and/or shifting positions create more room in a woman's pelvis? Am J Obstet 644 *Gynecol* 2014;**211**(6):662.e1-662.e9. 645 11 Bogner G, Strobl M, Schausberger C, Fischer T, Reisenberger K, Jacobs VR. Breech 646 delivery in the all fours position: a prospective observational comparative study with 647 classic assistance. J Perinat Med 2015;43(6):707–13. 648 12 Louwen F, Daviss B, Johnson KC, Reitter A. Does breech delivery in an upright position 649 instead of on the back improve outcomes and avoid cesareans? Int J Gynecol Obstet 650 2017;**136**(2):151–61. 651 Su M, McLeod L, Ross S, Willan A, Hannah WJ, Hutton E, et al. Factors associated with 13 652 adverse perinatal outcome in the Term Breech Trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003;189(3): 653 740-5. 654 Chinnock M, Robson S. Obstetric trainees' experience in vaginal breech delivery: 14 655 implications for future practice. Obstet Gynecol 2007;110(4):900–3. 656 Devarajan K, Seaward PG, Farine D. Attitudes among Toronto obstetricians towards 15 657 vaginal breech delivery. J Obstet Gynaecol Can 2011;33(5):437–42. 658 16 Dhingra S, Raffi F. Obstetric trainees' experience in VBD and ECV in the UK. J Obstet 659 Gynaecol (Lahore) 2010;30(1):10-2. 660 17 Gratius E, Bourgain A, Carcopino X. Accouchement du siège par voie basse : 661 l'expérience des internes en gynécologie obstétrique français. J Gynécologie Obs Biol la 662 Reprod 2010;39(2):144–50. French. 663 18 Shaaban MM, Sayed Ahmed WA, Ahmed WS, Khadr Z, El-Sayed HF. Obstetricians' 664 perspective towards cesarean section delivery based on professional level: experience 665 from Egypt. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2012;**286**(2):317–23. 666 19 Walker S, Breslin E, Scamell M, Parker P. Effectiveness of vaginal breech birth training 667 strategies: An integrative review of the literature. Birth 2017;00:1-9. Epub 2017 Feb 17.

668	20	Charmaz K. Constructing Grounded Theory: A Practical Guide Through Qualitative
669		Analysis. London: SAGE;2006.
670	21	Glaser BG, Strauss AL. The discovery of grounded theory: New strategies for social
671		research. New Brunswick: AldineTransaction;1967.
672	22	Steier F. Research and Reflexivity. London: SAGE;1991.
673	23	Walker S, Scamell M, Parker P. Standards for maternity care professionals attending
674		planned upright breech births: A Delphi study. Midwifery 2016;34:7–14.
675	24	Benner PE. From novice to expert: excellence and power in clinical nursing practice.
676		Menlo Park, CA: Addison-Wesley;2001.
677	25	Hennink MM, Kaiser BN, Marconi VC. Code Saturation Versus Meaning Saturation. Qual
678		Health Res 2017; <b>27</b> (4):591–608.
679	26	Bryant A, Charmaz K. The SAGE Handbook of Grounded Theory. London: SAGE;2007.
680	27	Charmaz K. Grounded Theory: Methodology and Theory Construction. In: Wright JD,
681		editor. International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioural Sciences. 2nd ed.
682		Amsterdam: Elsevier;2015.
683	28	Mason M. Sample Size and Saturation in PhD Studies Using Qualitative Interviews.
684		Forum Qual. Sozialforsch. / Forum Qual. Soc. Res. 2010;11(3):Art 8.
685	29	Bazeley P, Jackson K. Qualitative data analysis with NVivo. 2nd ed. London:
686		SAGE;2013.
687	30	Morse JM. Critical Analysis of Strategies for Determining Rigor in Qualitative Inquiry.
688		Qual Health Res 2015; <b>25</b> (9):1212–22.
689	31	Mills J, Bonner A, Francis K. Adopting a constructivist approach to grounded theory:
690		Implications for research design. Int J Nurs Pract 2006;12(1):8–13.
691	32	Lincoln Y, Guba E. Naturalistic Inquiry. Newbury Park, CA: SAGE;1985.
692	33	Kennedy HP, Cheyney M, Lawlor M, Myers S, Schuiling K, Tanner T. The development of
693		a consensus statement on normal physiologic birth: a modified delphi study. J Midwifery

694		Womens Health 2015; <b>60</b> (2):140–5.
695	34	Morse JM. Determining Sample Size. Qual Health Res 2000;10(1):3–5.
696	35	Ericsson KA. Deliberate practice and acquisition of expert performance: a general
697		overview. Acad Emerg Med 2008; <b>15</b> (11):988–94.
698	36	Lave J, Wenger E. Situated learning: legitimate peripheral participation. Cambridge:
699		Cambridge University Press;1991.
700	37	Wenger E. Communities of practice: learning, meaning and identity. Cambridge:
701		Cambridge University Press;1999.
702	38	West MA, Lyubovnikova J. Illusions of team working in health care. J Health Organ
703		Manag 2013; <b>27</b> (1):134–42.
704	39	Howe A, Smajdor A, Stöckl A. Towards an understanding of resilience and its relevance
705		to medical training. <i>Med Educ</i> 2012; <b>46</b> (4):349–56.
706		