

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Susen, S. (2018). The Economy of Enrichment: Towards a New Form of Capitalism?. Berlin Journal of Critical Theory, 2(2), pp. 5-98.

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/19511/

Link to published version:

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online:

http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/

publications@city.ac.uk

The Economy of Enrichment: Towards a New Form of Capitalism?

Simon Susen¹

Abstract: The main purpose of this paper is to provide a critical overview of the key contributions made by Luc Boltanski and Arnaud Esquerre in *Enrichissement*. *Une critique de la marchandise* (Paris: Gallimard, 2017).² With the exception of one journal article, entitled 'The Economic Life of Things: Commodities, Collectibles, Assets'³, their collaborative work has received little attention in Anglophone circles.⁴ This paper aims to demonstrate that Boltanski and Esquerre's *Enrichissement* contains valuable insights into the constitution of Western European capitalism in the early twenty-first century. In order to substantiate the validity of this claim, the subsequent inquiry focuses on central dimensions that, in Boltanski and Esquerre's view, need to be scrutinized to grasp the nature of major trends in contemporary society, notably those associated with the consolidation of the enrichment economy. In the final section, attention will be drawn to several noteworthy limitations of Boltanski and Esquerre's analysis.

¹ Simon Susen is Reader in Sociology at City, University of London. He is the author of The Foundations of the Social: Between Critical Theory and Reflexive Sociology (Oxford: Bardwell Press, 2007), The 'Postmodern Turn' in the Social Sciences (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2015), Pierre Bourdieu et la distinction sociale. Un essai philosophique (Oxford: Peter Lang, 2016), and The Sociology of *Intellectuals: After 'The Existentialist Moment'* (with Patrick Baert, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2017). Along with Celia Basconzuelo and Teresita Morel, he edited Ciudadanía territorial y movimientos sociales. Historia y nuevas problemáticas en el escenario latinoamericano y mundial (Río Cuarto: Ediciones del ICALA, 2010). Together with Bryan S. Turner, he edited The Legacy of Pierre Bourdieu: Critical Essays (London: Anthem Press, 2011), The Spirit of Luc Boltanski: Essays on the 'Pragmatic Sociology of Critique' (London: Anthem Press, 2014), and a Special Issue on the work of Shmuel Noah Eisenstadt, which appeared in the Journal of Classical Sociology 11(3): 229–335, 2011. In addition, he edited a Special Issue on Bourdieu and Language, which was published in Social Epistemology 27(3-4): 195-393, 2013. He is Associate Member of the Bauman Institute and, together with Bryan S. Turner, Editor of the Journal of Classical Sociology.

² Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a).

³ Boltanski and Esquerre (2016). Cf. Boltanski and Esquerre (2014b).

⁴ See Fraser (2017) and, in response, Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b). See also Outhwaite (2018).

1. Commodities and Commodification: Between States and Markets

Tn capitalist societies, actors 'are constantly immersed in the *universe* **L**of commodities'⁵. Caught up in this universe, their lives are impacted by the systemic imperatives of capitalism, to such an extent that its underlying logic of functioning permeates 'their experience of what they conceive of as reality'⁶. A commodity 'finds its unity in the operation by which a price is assigned to things, every time it changes hands, against monetary means'⁷. Capitalist processes of production, distribution, circulation, and consumption are unthinkable without the social construction of commodity exchanges. Notwithstanding its ubiquity, 'the universe of commodities presents itself not as an opaque totality'8, which would make its modus operandi incomprehensible and 'impenetrable'9, but as 'a struc*tured whole*^{'10}, whose fetishizing spirit – owing to its pervasive power – is capable of colonizing virtually every aspect of social reality. Firmly situated in '[t]he age of the "commodity fetish" '11, all behavioural, ideological, and institutional dimensions of capitalist formations are dominated by the instrumental telos of profit maximization.

The worldwide influence of 'European industrial powers' cannot be properly understood without examining 'the distribution of commodities between different forms of valorization' attributed to objects within

⁵ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 9 (italics added): 'sont constamment plongés dans l'univers de la marchandise'.

⁶ Ibid., p. 9: 'leur expérience de ce qu'ils conçoivent comme la réalité'.

⁷ Ibid., p. 9: 'trouve son unité dans l'opération par laquelle un prix échoit à ces choses, chaque fois qu'elles changent de mains, contre des espèces monétaires'.

⁸ Ibid., p. 9 (italics added): 'l'univers de la marchandise se présente non comme une totalité opaque'.

⁹ Ibid., p. 9: 'impénétrable'.

¹⁰ Ibid., p. 9 (italics added): 'un ensemble structuré'.

¹¹ Ibid., p. 10: '[l]'âge de la « marchandise-fétiche »'.

¹² Ibid., p. 10 (translation modified): 'la puissance industrielle européenne'.

¹³ Ibid., p. 11: 'la distribution de la marchandise entre différentes formes de mise en valeur'. In the English editions of Boltanski's writings, the most common translation of the notion 'mise en valeur' is 'valorization'. See, for

networks of economic exchange. In the most general sense, a commodity can be defined as 'anything to which a price is assigned when it changes its owner'14. Hence, every commodity has a monetary value, which fluctuates across diverging transactional contexts. Granted, the 'commercial dexterity'15 with which actors may, or may not, be equipped can vary considerably between them, depending on 'their level of market-specific socialization'16. Without 'a minimal competence'17 facilitating their participation in the construction of the economy, however, 'actors would be simply lost and incapable of making their way in the world'18 of capitalism, which is profoundly shaped by 'market transactions'19. In historical formations whose societal developments are largely driven by business and trade, 'actors are expected to know how to negotiate'20, thereby positioning themselves in relation to others.

The task of uncovering the 'structures of the commodity'²¹ is essential to shedding light on the *specificity of capitalist reproduction*. Just as the structures of the commodity are marked by their 'historical nature'²² and, therefore, by spatiotemporal contingency, so are the capitalist systems in which they are

instance, Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), pp. 67, 68, 69, 70, 72, 73, 74, 75, and 76. Please note, however, that an alternative (and, in some cases, preferred) translation of this concept is the English term '*valuation*'. See, for example: Boltanski, Esquerre, and Muniesa (2015); Lamont (2012).

- 14 Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 12 (italics added): 'toute chose à laquelle échoit un prix quand elle change de propriétaire'. On *Boltanski and Esquerre's conception of 'commodity'*, see also Boltanski and Esquerre (2014b) as well as Boltanski and Esquerre (2016). Furthermore, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), esp. pp. 60 and 70–76. Cf. Fraser (2017), pp. 60 and 64.
- 15 Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 12: 'dextérité commerciale'.
- 16 Ibid., p. 12: 'leur niveau de socialisation marchande'.
- 17 Ibid., p. 12: 'une compétence minimale'.
- 18 Ibid., p. 12 (translation modified): 'un acteur serait simplement égaré et incapable de faire son chemin dans le monde'.
- 19 Ibid., p. 12: 'des transactions marchandes'.
- 20 Ibid., p. 108: 'les acteurs sont supposés savoir négocier'. On this point, see also Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), p. 70: '[...] actors are supposed to know how to negotiate commercially and are encouraged to become sellers themselves [...].'
- 21 Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 12 (italics in original): 'structures de la marchandise'.
- 22 Ibid., p. 13: 'caractère historique'.

embedded and in which they exert their hegemonic power.²³ 'The diversification of commodity structures'24 emanates from varieties of capitalism25, united by a common mode of production and separated by diverging ways of sustaining it. Different types of capitalism generate, and depend on, different degrees of commodification. Key differences between capitalist regimes manifest themselves in diverging regional traditions²⁶ (for instance, Anglo-Saxon, continental European, Latin-American, Asian, and African models) and in diverging national traditions²⁷ (in Europe, for example, Great Britain's neoliberal 'spectator state', Germany's neocorporatist 'facilitative state', and France's neostatist 'developmental state'). Unsurprisingly, these traditions are marked by varying degrees of commodification: the more market-driven and the less state-interventionist a particular type of capitalist reproduction, the more intense and the more extensive its processes of commodification. Irrespective of the historical specificities of economic forms of governance, the 'condition of the commodity'28 is built into the architecture of capitalism: there are no dynamics of marketization without processes of commodification.

2. Price and Value: Between Justification and Critique

In capitalist economies, things have 'their *price* and *value*'²⁹. Far from categorically accepting monetary arrangements as if they were incontestable,

²³ On this point, see, for example: Braudel (1967); Braudel (1979a); Braudel (1979b). See also, for instance: Bonefeld, Gunn, Holloway, and Psychopedis (1995); Holloway (2005 [2002]); Holloway (2010); Holloway and Susen (2013); Susen (2012a).

²⁴ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 14: '[l]a diversification des structures de la marchandise'.

²⁵ On varieties of capitalism, see, for instance: Hall and Soskice (2001); Hancké (2009); Hancké, Rhodes, and Thatcher (2007); Miller (2005); Soederberg, Menz, and Cerny (2005); Susen (2012a), p. 306; Susen (2015a), pp. 134 and 310n380.

²⁶ On this point, see Weiss (1997a), pp. 16–17.

²⁷ On this point, see Dunning (1997), pp. 244–282 (on Great Britain), pp. 335–358 (on Germany), and pp. 313–334 (on France).

²⁸ See Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 107–111: 'La condition de marchandise'.

²⁹ Ibid., p. 9 (italics added): 'leur prix et la valeur'. See also Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), esp. pp. 68–72 and 76. Cf. Fraser (2017), esp. pp. 57, 59, and 60 (on 'price') and pp. 57–64 (on 'value').

actors – owing to their 'polysemic'³⁰ dispositions – are able to *criticize* and, if required, to *justify* prices and values.³¹ By doing so, they confirm the ineluctable contingency permeating the social structures of capitalist economies. Broadly speaking, prices are monetary expressions of values attributed to objects, subjects, and/or states of affairs. In *Enrichissement*, we are presented with several competing conceptions of value, two of which are particularly worth mentioning:

- In Marxist accounts of economic relations, value is regarded as 'a simultaneously *substantial* and *mysterious* property of things'³². On this view, value is not only real and genuine but also, paradoxically, imagined and fake. This assumption lies at the heart of Marx's critique of commodity fetishism.³³ In capitalist societies, things take on a life of their own, insofar as their *exchange value*³⁴ is elevated to a quasi-metaphysical status of ontological preponderance, whereas their *use value*³⁵ is degraded to a praxeological element of subordinate relevance.
- In Boltanski and Esquerre's account of economic relations, value is interpreted as 'a device of *justification* or of the *critique* of the price of things'³⁶. On this view, value can be sustained only to the extent that it can be *justified* because, in principle, it can be *criticized*. More specifically, value is established as a combination of objective, normative, and subjective dimensions:
 - (a) it exists as an *objective* part of reality, since it has a tangible impact upon the empirical constitution of social relations;

³⁰ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 12: 'polysémique'.

³¹ See ibid., p. 12: 'de critiquer ce prix ou de le justifier'.

³² Ibid., p. 12 (italics added): 'la valeur pour une propriété à la fois substantielle et mystérieuse des choses'. Cf. Fraser (2017), p. 60.

³³ See, for example: Marx (2000/1977 [1857–1858/1941]); Marx (2000/1977 [1859]); Marx and Engels (2000/1977 [1846]); Marx and Engels (1987/1945 [1848]); Marx (2000/1977 [1867]). For an excellent overview, see Marxhausen (1999).

³⁴ See Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 111: 'valeur d'échange'.

³⁵ See ibid., p. 111: 'valeur d'usage'.

³⁶ Ibid., p. 12 (italics added): 'un dispositif de justification ou de critique du prix des choses'.

- (b) it exists as a *normative* part of reality, since it acquires different meanings in different cultural settings;
- (c) it exists as a *subjective* part of reality, since actors confirm its presence by making it an integral part of their imaginaries when participating in both the material and the symbolic construction of society.

For Boltanski and Esquerre, then, a critical sociology of economic exchanges needs to explore the multiple ways in which, within 'the universe of commodities' 77, prices are justified and/or criticized. 38 These processes of justification and critique illustrate that capitalist modes of socialization are contingent upon 'different forms of valorization' 99, without which there would be no symbolically mediated dynamics of market-driven profit maximization.

Proposing a 'distinctive *pragmatics of value-setting*'⁴⁰, Boltanski and Esquerre distinguish *four forms of valorization*⁴¹, whose 'relationships can be articulated as a set of *transformations*'⁴²:

(a) the 'standard form'⁴³, which is vital to industrial economies and which allows for the possibility of mass production;

³⁷ Ibid., p. 9: 'l'univers de la marchandise'. See also ibid., p. 111.

³⁸ On this point, see ibid., p. 13: 'différentes façons d'en justifier (ou d'en critiquer) le prix'.

³⁹ See ibid., p. 13: 'différentes façons de les mettre en valeur'. On *Boltanski and Esquerre's conception of 'valorization'*, see also, for instance, Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), esp. pp. 67–70 and 72–73.

⁴⁰ Fraser (2017), p. 59 (italics in original).

⁴¹ For a useful summary of these four 'forms of valorization', see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), esp. pp. 69–70. See also ibid., pp. 72–76. On the notion of 'forms of valorization' ['les formes de mise en valeur'], see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), Chapter IV.

⁴² Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), p. 68 (italics in original). Boltanski and Esquerre spell out that they conceive of this 'set of transformations' in Claude Lévi-Strauss's sense of the term. On this point, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 164–165 and 196. See, in particular, Lévi-Strauss (1962). See also Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 586n12, and Maniglier (2002), pp. 55–56. On the relevance of Lévi-Strauss's work to Boltanski and Esquerre's argument, see, for example: Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 120, 164–165, 196, 242, 282, 494, 582, 586, 594, 598, and 609; Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), pp. 68–69. Cf. Lévi-Strauss (1962); cf. also Lévi-Strauss (1949) and Lévi-Strauss (1971).

⁴³ On *the 'standard form'* ['forme standard'], see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), esp. Chapter V. More specifically, see ibid., pp. 21, 129, 157, 159, 165, 166, 173, 178, 179, 181, 182–183, 187, 201–242, 234, 295, 357, 394, 395, 429, and 524–526.

- (b) the 'collection form'44, which prevails in enrichment economies and which is based on a narrative attached to an object's past;
- (c) the 'trend form'45, which is crucial to fashion economies and whose principal reference points are appealing discourses, which are often linked to contemporary high-profile figures and present-day celebrities;
- (d) the 'asset form'46, which is preponderant in financial economies and which is driven by the incentive to re-sell objects for a profit at some point in the future.

Despite the considerable differences between these four forms of valorization, the 'specific arenas of transaction' to which they are attached share one significant feature: the prices of the commodities by which they are sustained 'can be justified or criticized according to a range of *different arguments*' The co-articulation of these four forms of valorization is central to the rise of a new form of capitalism:

To mark the specificity of the form of capitalism that takes advantage of all four forms of valorization, we will speak of *integral capitalism*.⁴⁹

The secret of success underlying this type of economic organization consists in 'exploiting new lodes of wealth and *interconnecting different ways of valorizing things*'50, thereby securing that these are put into circulation for acquiring maximum profit.

⁴⁴ On *the 'collection form'* ['forme collection'], see ibid., esp. Chapter VII. More specifically, see ibid., pp. 68, 129, 165, 166, 178, 179, 181–182, 188, 243–325, 349, 352, 401, 403, 404, 417–419, 429, and 527–529.

⁴⁵ On *the 'trend form' ['*forme tendance'], see ibid., esp. Chapter IX. More specifically, see ibid., pp. 175, 179, 181, 184, 188, 226, 327–353, 394, 404, and 526–527.

⁴⁶ On *the 'asset form'* ['forme actif'], see ibid., esp. Chapter X. More specifically, see pp. 159, 165, 174, 178, 181, 184, 188, 224, 226, 288, 293, 327, 355–372, 394, 395, 399, 401, 442, 484, 493, and 529–530.

⁴⁷ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), p. 70.

⁴⁸ Ibid., p. 70 (italics added).

⁴⁹ Ibid., p. 74 (italics in original). On *the concept of 'integral capitalism'*, see, for instance: Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 26, 375, 399–400, and 566; Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), pp. 68 and 73–75.

⁵⁰ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), p. 74 (italics added).

In their analysis of profit generation, Boltanski and Esquerre draw on both Marx's notion of profit derived from labour ('surplus-value labour' [plus-value travail])⁵¹ and Braudel's notion of profit derived from commerce, trade, and exchange ('commercial surplus-value' or 'trading profit' [plus-value marchande])⁵²:

The specificity of the enrichment economy [...] lies in profits derived from a commerce of objects that, even when they are manufactured industrially, give rise to a valorization based primarily on the three other forms. It is associated with particular ways of exploiting a highly qualified local workforce entrusted with the tasks of such valorization. In this sense, the profits it generates depend in part on the extraction of surplus-value labour. Nevertheless, what makes this type of economy distinct is above all its reliance on systems that enable it to extract much larger commercial profits than can currently be made from standard objects, which face a higher level of competition. Finally, it should be noted that whereas a mass economy relies principally on exploitation of the poor, whether as workers or consumers, an enrichment economy derives its profits essentially from the wealthy. As Braudel's analyses have shown, it is primarily trade in 'rare' or luxury goods destined for the wealthy that generates especially large commercial surplus-value. As these remarks suggest, integral capitalism is not the expression of a 'postmodern' capitalism that would no longer rely on profits derived from surplus-value labour, or even on the production and circulation of material objects. But it is a form of capitalism whose flexibility enables it to take advantage of a much wider range of things

⁵¹ On *the concept of 'surplus-value labour'* [plus-value travail], see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 180, 379–383, 388, 389, 400, and 592. See also Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), esp. pp. 71–76.

⁵² On the concept of 'commercial surplus-value' or 'trading profit' [plus-value marchande], see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 180, 184, 233, 384–388, 389, 400, and 610–611. See also Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), esp. pp. 71–76.

than in the past, whose diversity is not only preserved but valorized, to exploit the differences it establishes between the status of varying commodities.⁵³

Given their emphasis on the relationship between, on the one hand, *price and value* and, on the other hand, *justification and critique*, Boltanski and Esquerre take issue with the Marxist distinction between 'use value' and 'exchange value'.⁵⁴ In their eyes, it is by 'reference to *value*'⁵⁵ that it is possible 'to criticize or to justify the price of things'⁵⁶. Their value-focused approach, however, 'discards [...] the convoluted debates on the relationship between use value and exchange value'⁵⁷. As such, it rejects any simplistic reading of Marx's account of commodity fetishism, according to which social scientists are required to pursue the 'uncovering'⁵⁸ mission of 'ideology critique'⁵⁹, permitting them to unearth the stifling logic that pervades mechanisms of 'reification'⁶⁰, which convert subjects into objects by reducing humans to things.

Notwithstanding the dehumanizing consequences of commodification processes in capitalist formations, the social construction of price

⁵³ Ibid., pp. 74 and 75.

⁵⁴ See Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 111. On the distinction between 'use value' and 'exchange value', see, for example, Susen (2012a), pp. 307–308 and 324–325n165. Cf. Haug (1999a) and Marxhausen (1999).

⁵⁵ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 111 (italics in original): 'la référence à la *valeur*'.

⁵⁶ Ibid., p. 111: 'de critiquer ou de justifier le prix des choses'.

⁵⁷ Ibid., p. 111: 'écarte [...] les débats alambiqués sur la relation entre valeur d'usage et valeur d'échange'.

⁵⁸ Ibid., p. 111: 'dévoilement'.

⁵⁹ On the concepts of 'ideology' and 'ideology critique', see, for example: Abercrombie, Hill, and Turner (1980); Abercrombie, Hill, and Turner (1990); Apel (1971); Boltanski (2008); Bourdieu and Boltanski (1976); Bourdieu and Boltanski (2008 [1976]); Browne and Susen (2014); Conde-Costas (1991); Eagleton (2006 [1976]); Eagleton (2007 [1991]); Holloway and Susen (2013); Larrain (1991 [1983]); Marx and Engels (1953 [1845–1847]); Marx and Engels (2000/1977 [1846]); Rehmann (2004); Reitz (2004); Simons and Billig (1994); Susen (2008a); Susen (2008b); Susen (2012a); Susen (2014a); Susen (2015a), esp. Chapter 2; Susen (2016c); Wacquant (2002 [1993]); Weber (1995); Žižek (1989); Žižek (1994).

⁶⁰ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 111: 'réification'.

and value cannot be separated from the normative forces of justification and critique:

These forms of *valorization* contribute to both the *partitioning* and the *structuring* of the *universe of commodities*, because they are associated with modalities – that is, at the same time, *devices* and *arguments* – making it possible to make statements concerning the *value* of different things and to carry out tests substantiating these arguments. In a way, arguments generated by different forms of valorization render possible the *mediation between objects and prices*. On the one hand, they *build on certain properties of objects* considered as pertinent. On the other hand, they *serve to criticize or to justify the price*.⁶¹

In brief, the realm of commodities is shaped by subjects capable of justifying and criticizing the prices attributed to objects on the basis of evaluative devices and arguments, whose epistemic validity can be confirmed or undermined by multiple tests [épreuves].

Boltanski and Esquerre distinguish between 'price' and 'metaprice':62

- In the world of commercial transactions, the *price* is essentially 'a sign associated with a thing'⁶³. As such, it constitutes a value-laden aggregate that is assigned to an object in order to express its monetary worth.
- The *metaprice* is, literally, 'the about-the-price', constructed by cognitively equipped and discursively engaged subjects. It is '*meta*'⁶⁴ in

⁶¹ Ibid., p. 111 (italics added): 'Ces formes de mise en valeur contribuent à partitionner et par là à structurer l'univers de la marchandise parce qu'elles sont associées à des modalités – c'est-à-dire à la fois à des dispositifs et à des arguments – permettant de former des énoncés concernant la valeur de différentes choses et aussi de mettre en place des épreuves pour fonder ces arguments. Les arguments générés par différentes formes de mise en valeur font en quelque sorte la médiation entre les objets et les prix. D'un côté, ils prennent appui sur certaines propriétés des objets considérées comme pertinentes. De l'autre, ils servent à en critiquer ou à en justifier le prix.'

⁶² On *the distinction between 'price' and 'metaprice'*, see ibid., esp. pp. 124–133. See also Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), p. 71.

⁶³ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 124: 'un signe associé à une chose'.

⁶⁴ Ibid., p. 132 (italics in original): 'méta'.

the sense that, rather than representing a mere 'fact' or 'event'⁶⁵, it emanates from 'a reflection on the price (a discussion, a comparison, a critique, a justification, etc.)⁶⁶.

Prices can be challenged in numerous ways, two of which are particularly important:

- (a) *silently*, when, based on the competition principle, a buyer decides to change suppliers;
- (b) *verbally*, when as is common in insufficiently competitive environments a buyer explicitly calls a supplier's price(s) into question.⁶⁷

Rather than assuming that the competition principle that is built into capitalist market economies is 'pure and perfect'⁶⁸, a critical sociology of material and symbolic exchanges needs to account for the extent to which social networks are shot through with power relations. Market-driven economies are characterized by 'a difference of *power* between supplier(s) and buyer(s)'⁶⁹, producers and consumers, workers and capitalists.

Given the discursive nature of social relations, in every economy the *construction of value* is inextricably linked to the *justification of price*. In many cases, the explicit justification of a price emerges in response to the buyer challenging the price demanded by the seller. To the degree that *processes of valorization* cannot be divorced from *processes of justification*,

⁶⁵ On this point, see ibid., p. 132: 'Les métaprix ne sont pas des faits, ils n'appartiennent pas à l'événement [...].'

⁶⁶ Ibid., pp. 132–133 (translation modified): 'd'une réflexion sur les prix (d'une discussion, d'une comparaison, d'une critique, d'une justification, etc.)'. In the original version, the word 'price' appears in the plural [*les prix*].

⁶⁷ On these points, see ibid., pp. 134–138. Cf. Hirschman (1970) and Hirschman (2013 [1977]).

⁶⁸ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 134: 'pure et parfaite'.

⁶⁹ Ibid., p. 134 (italics in original): 'une différence de *pouvoir* entre offreur(s) et demandeur(s)'.

⁷⁰ See ibid., pp. 138–144: 'La valeur comme justification du prix'. See ibid., p. 138: 'Nous définirons la valeur comme étant un dispositif de justification du prix.'

⁷¹ See ibid., p. 138: 'La justification du prix peut soit être une réponse à la contestation du prix demandé'.

⁷² See ibid., p. 140 (italics in original): 'un processus de valorisation'.

⁷³ See ibid., pp. 13, 111, 113, 114, 133–139, 143–144, 149, 160, 172–173, 195, 235,

different social values are attached to different monetary prices in different interactional contexts.⁷⁴

In economic trade, 'the role of *reflexivity*'⁷⁵ is crucial. For 'the seller must *convince* the buyer that what he [or she] offers is acceptable at a certain price'⁷⁶. Within liberal-capitalist settings, both parties enjoy 'the freedom to criticize'⁷⁷ and, thus, draw on their 'reflexive capacity'⁷⁸ when grappling with '*things* + *prices*'⁷⁹, as they navigate their way through endless supply-and-demand chains. When doing so, actors need to put forward 'arguments permitting [them] to justify and to criticize the relationship between a thing and a price'⁸⁰.

Such an arguably *hermeneutic* conception of the economy⁸¹ obliges us to take seriously the *interpretive* resources mobilized by social actors when establishing a more or less *meaningful* relationship with things and prices. Inevitably, their lives are shaped by processes of production, distribution, circulation, and consumption. Without them, there would be no capitalist exchange of goods and services. Yet, these *economic processes*

- 74 See Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 138: 'Attacher la valeur au prix [...]'.
- 75 See ibid., pp. 193–195 (italics added): 'Le rôle de la réflexivité'. See ibid., pp. 17, 171, 189, 190, 193–195, 499–500, and 504. On *the concept of 'reflexivity'*, see, for example, Susen (2016b). In addition, see Susen (2007), pp. 9, 11, 19, 20, 23, 25, 35, 41, 52, 57, 94, 119, 120, 133–137, 139, 145*n*6, 215, 216, 222, 225, 226, 227*n*29, 235, 236, 243, 249, 256, 262, 269*n*7, 276, 287, 293, 296, 308, 309, 311, 312, 313, and 314.
- 76 Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 193 (italics added): 'l'offreur doit convaincre le demandeur que ce qu'il offre est valable à un certain prix'.
- 77 Ibid., p. 193: 'la liberté de critiquer'.
- 78 Ibid., p. 193: 'capacité réflexive'.
- 79 Ibid., p. 193 (italics in original): 'choses + prix'.
- 80 Ibid., p. 194: 'arguments permettant de justifier ou de critiquer la relation entre une chose et un prix'.
- 81 Cf. Lavoie (1990), Prychitko (1995), and Thompson (2017). On *the justification and critique of prices*, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 13, 111–114, 133–139, 143–144, 149, 160, 172–173, 195, 235, 242, 375, and 467.

^{242, 375,} and 467. On the concept of 'justification' in Boltanskian thought, see, for example: Blokker and Brighenti (2011); Boltanski (2002); Boltanski (2009b); Boltanski and Thévenot (1991); Boltanski and Thévenot (2006 [1991]); Borghi (2011); Corcuff (1998); Eulriet (2014); Habermas (2004 [1999]); Jetté (2003); Lemieux (2014); Livet (2009); Müller-Doohm (2000); Silber (2011); Stark (2009); Stark (2017); Susen (2017e); Turner (2007); Vaisey (2009); Wagner (1999).

– far from being reducible to 'social facts' that exist independently of human experience, understanding, and reflection – *are embedded in a world of purposive, regulative, and projective actions*. In capitalist societies, multiple 'forms of valorization exert an impact on the organization of commodities' insofar as they are influenced by, and in turn influence, 'the composition of *discourses about things*' that are regarded as commodities and, as such, are associated with prices. From a positivist perspective, the economy is tantamount to a 'universe of things considered *independently* of all discourse' . Challenging this 'positivist logic', Boltanski and Esquerre insist on the hermeneutic features of capitalist systems, drawing attention to the pivotal role that 'critique and justification' play in framing economic transactions.

3. Society and Enrichment: Between Things and Persons

According to Boltanski and Esquerre, the rise of the *society of enrichment*⁸⁷ marks the arrival of an unprecedented era. 'The emergence of new sources of the creation of wealth is one of the principal factors commonly mentioned to make sense of the changes within the composition of a social formation.'88 In Marxist terms, the incessant development of the *forces*

⁸² Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 194: 'les formes de mise en valeur n'exercent un effet sur l'organisation de la marchandise'.

⁸³ Ibid., p. 194 (italics added): 'la composition des discours sur les choses'. On *Boltanski and Esquerre's conception of 'discourse'*, see also, for instance, Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), p. 68. On *Boltanski and Esquerre's conception of 'narrative'*, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 169–170, 175–176, 283, 422–423, and 444; Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), pp. 69–70; Fraser (2017), p. 61. On *Boltanski and Esquerre's emphasis on the discursive construction of the value of objects*, see ibid., pp. 57 and 60.

⁸⁴ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 194 (italics added): '[u]n univers de choses considérées indépendamment de tout discours'.

⁸⁵ See ibid., p. 194: 'logique [...] positiviste'.

⁸⁶ Ibid., p. 375: 'critique et justification'. On *Boltanski and Esquerre's emphasis on processes of critique and justification*, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), pp. 70 and 71, as well as Fraser (2017), pp. 57 and 60.

⁸⁷ See Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), esp. pp. 441–457 (Chapter XIII): 'Les contours de la société de l'enrichissement'.

⁸⁸ Ibid., p. 441: 'L'émergence de nouvelles sources de création de richesses est l'un des principaux facteurs communément invoqués pour interpréter les

of production manifests itself in the constant transformation of the *relations of production*, which are embedded in perpetually evolving *modes of production*. Rather than focusing exclusively on spheres of production, however, Boltanski and Esquerre reflect on two elements that are fundamental to the constitution of any society: (a) *things* and (b) *persons*.⁸⁹

A key characteristic by which *things* and *persons* are divided is their *lifespan*. In industrial societies, the former tend to have a shorter lifespan than the latter. 'One of the most radical changes introduced by the mass production of standard things has been to populate the world with things, which are conceived of as having a lifespan that is largely inferior to that of persons, as is the case with the majority of technical artefacts.'90 The main reason for this discrepancy is that 'the life expectancy of human beings has been extended'91 significantly, due to a general improvement in standards of living and considerable advancements in levels of health and medicine. Another important reason is that most industrial products are designed to have a limited lifespan, so that they have to be replaced with new ones – representing an economic cycle that is in the interest of profit-seeking sellers.

Aiming to identify the central features of *enrichment*, Boltanski and Esquerre distinguish two meanings of this term:

- (a) enrichment of 'things already there'92 for instance, the enrichment of a natural resource, such as metal;
- (b) enrichment of *persons*, based on access to and accumulation of socially relevant notably material, symbolic, and/or financial resources.

It is the latter, rather than the former, meaning that is vital to Boltanski and Esquerre's analysis. In the advanced economies of 'the West',

changements dans la composition d'une formation sociale.'

⁸⁹ See ibid., p. 441: 'une société est une composition de choses et de personnes'. On this distinction, see also Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), p. 75.

⁹⁰ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 442: 'L'un des changements les plus radicaux introduits par la production de masse de choses standard a été de peupler le monde de choses conçues pour avoir une durée de vie très inférieure à celle des personnes comme c'est le cas de la plupart des artefacts techniques.'

⁹¹ Ibid., p. 443: 'l'espérance de vie des humains s'est allongée'.

⁹² Ibid., p. 11 (italics added): 'des choses déjà là'.

members of the wealthy sectors of society tend to use 'commerce [as] a supplementary source of enrichment'93 and, in many cases, significant amounts of income. In these economies, enrichment tends to be generated by and aimed at the affluent members of society.94 Irrespective of whether we take into consideration 'the arts, especially fine arts, culture, antiques trade, the creation of foundations and museums, luxury goods industry, heritagization, and tourism'95 – all of these spheres are essential to the 'economy of enrichment'96.

This 'economic reorientation towards the rich'97, and hence towards the privileged sectors of society, taps into a far-reaching trend of the early twenty-first century: there has been an 'increase in inequalities at the global level'98. Thus, the number of poor and extremely poor as well as the number of rich and super-rich have 'significantly increased over the course of the past twenty years'99. While the gap between poor and rich has grown, the presence of both 'underprivileged' *and* 'overprivileged' social groups has steadily augmented in recent decades.

Contemporary societies, therefore, are shaped by both tendencies towards *impoverishment*¹⁰⁰ and tendencies towards *enrichment*¹⁰¹. In their inquiry, Boltanski and Esquerre choose to focus on the latter, rather than the former, suggesting that this analytical emphasis enables them to flesh

⁹³ Ibid., p. 11: 'commerce, une source supplémentaire d'enrichissement'.

⁹⁴ See ibid., p. 11.

⁹⁵ Ibid., p. 11: 'les arts, particulièrement les arts plastiques, la culture, le commerce d'objets anciens, la création de fondations et de musées, l'industrie du luxe, la patrimonialisation et le tourisme'.

⁹⁶ On the concept of 'économie de l'enrichissement', see ibid., pp. 11, 17, 26, 52, 56, 67–72, 94, 97, 152, 221, 239, 251, 294, 299, 314, 320–325, 378, 391, 399, 400, 403, 443, 476, and 487–495. See also, for example: Boltanski and Esquerre (2014b); Boltanski and Esquerre (2016); Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b). In addition, see Fraser (2017) and Outhwaite (2018).

⁹⁷ See Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 63–65: '[u]ne réorientation économique vers les riches'.

⁹⁸ Ibid., p. 63: 'l'augmentation des inégalités au niveau mondial'.

⁹⁹ Ibid., p. 63: 'a considérablement augmenté au cours de vingt dernières années'.

¹⁰⁰ See, for example, Butterwegge (2009).

¹⁰¹ See Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 67–104 (Chapter II): 'Vers l'enrichissement'.

out the nature of historical trends that are of paramount importance to the structural development of contemporary Western societies. When doing so, they emphasize that their own account of 'the economy of enrichment'102 fundamentally differs from Bourdieu's notion of 'symbolic econ omy'^{103} . The use of the adjective 'symbolic' 104 is, in their view, 'both too broad and too vague'105 to capture the specificity of the dynamics shaping highly stratified exchanges of goods and services in advanced societies. Bourdieu's perspective, they posit, remains caught up in the orthodox Marxist opposition 'material' vs. 'ideological' (and, correspondingly, in the philosophical division 'materialism' vs. 'idealism'). 106 Contrary to this – arguably artificial – separation between 'material' and 'symbolic' realms of society, they maintain that 'all things that are part of an economy can be considered under these two aspects'107. On this interpretation, the distinction between 'material' and 'symbolic' designates a conceptual, rather than an ontological, differentiation. Even if, however, one wishes to distinguish between 'material economy' and 'immaterial economy' 108 (or, in their words, between 'the trade of things'109 and 'the trade of "immaterial" goods'110), these two market spheres are inextricably linked.

¹⁰² Ibid., p. 70 (italics added): 'l'économie de l'enrichissement'.

¹⁰³ Ibid., p. 70 (italics added): 'l'économie symbolique'. See also, for instance: Bourdieu (1971); Bourdieu (1977); Bourdieu (1992); Bourdieu (1992 [1977]); Bourdieu and Wacquant (1992b). In addition, see, for example: Addi (2001); Grenfell and Kelly (1999); Honneth (1984); Jurt (2004); Ledeneva (1994); Leneveu (2002); Mauger (2005); Peter (2004); Susen (2007), esp. Chapter 5, section 3; Susen (2011b), esp. pp. 176–184 and 193–197; Susen (2011d); Susen (2013a); Susen (2013c); Susen (2013d); Susen (2013e); Susen (2014e); Susen (2014 [2015]); Susen (2015c); Susen (2016a); Susen (2016c); Susen (2016b); Susen (2017a); Susen (2018c); Terray (2003); Wacquant (2002 [1993]).

¹⁰⁴ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 70: 'symbolique'.

¹⁰⁵ Ibid., p. 70: 'à la fois trop large et trop vague'.

¹⁰⁶ On this point, see ibid., p. 70.

¹⁰⁷ Ibid., p. 71: 'toutes les choses qui s'insèrent dans une économie peuvent être envisagées sous ces deux aspects'. On this point, see also ibid., p. 331.

¹⁰⁸ On this distinction, see ibid., pp. 239–242: 'Économie matérielle, économie immatérielle'.

¹⁰⁹ Ibid., p. 241: 'le commerce des choses'.

¹¹⁰ Ibid., p. 241: 'le commerce de biens « immatériels »'.

Over the past decades, a profound 'economic change'¹¹¹ has been taking place in Western Europe. This transition is epitomized in the consolidation of an *economy of enrichment*, centred upon the creation of *new sources of wealth*.¹¹² It is not the case that, in this context, material goods have lost all significance. It is the case, however, that those 'goods often characterized as immaterial'¹¹³, along with those commonly described as 'material', constitute a major source of economic profit. Goods may be considered *immaterial* not only in the sense that they have 'a "*symbolic*" dimension'¹¹⁴, but also in the sense that they may be regarded as having a life that is seemingly '*independent* of their physical foundation'¹¹⁵ – a life whose discursive reconstruction may become the decisive reference point when determining their value.

4. Cultural Workers and Cultural Capital: Between Privilege and Precariousness

The aforementioned development is expressed in 'the economic condition of *cultural workers*'¹¹⁶, who, as 'creators'¹¹⁷, are the protagonists of the 'society of enrichment'¹¹⁸. It is no accident, then, that the acquisition of '*cultural capital*'¹¹⁹ is crucial to dynamics of social positioning within an

¹¹¹ Ibid., p. 107: 'un changement économique'.

¹¹² On this point, see ibid., p. 107.

¹¹³ Ibid., p. 239: 'profits des biens souvent qualifiés d'immatériels'.

¹¹⁴ Ibid., p. 239 (italics added): 'une dimension « symbolique »'.

¹¹⁵ Ibid., p. 239 (italics added): 'indépendamment de leur assise physique'.

¹¹⁶ See ibid., pp. 459–467 (italics added): 'La condition économique des travailleurs de la culture'.

¹¹⁷ Ibid., p. 459: 'créateurs'.

¹¹⁸ See ibid., esp. pp. 441–457 (Chapter XIII): 'Les contours de la société de l'enrichissement'.

¹¹⁹ Ibid., p. 445: 'capital culturel'. On *Bourdieu's conception of 'capital'*, see, for example: Bourdieu (1975b); Bourdieu (1979b); Bourdieu (1986); Bourdieu (2013 [1978]). See also, for instance: Albrecht (2002); Aldridge (1998); Beasley-Murray (2000); Calhoun (1995); Gouanvic (2005); Hakim (2011); Hakim (2012); Herz (1996); Neveu (2013); Reay (2004); Robbins (2005); Shilling (2004); Sullivan (2001); Susen (2007), chapters 5–8; Susen (2011b), pp. 181, 194, and 195; Susen (2011c), pp. 368, 369, 370, 372, 384, 386, 387, 389, 390, 392, 403, 406, 408, and 409; Susen (2013d), pp. 210, 214–215, 219, 222, 226, and 229; Susen (2013e), pp. 324–325, 329, 349, 354, 370, and 371; Susen (2016a); Susen

economy oriented towards, and driven by, symbolically mediated forms of enrichment: the passing-on of culturally codified resources through families, schools, universities, and educational institutions from one generation to another allows for the accumulation of symbolic profits, from which those at the upper end of the social hierarchy tend to benefit the most.¹²⁰ 'People who dispose of cultural capital'¹²¹ permitting them to enjoy high degrees of symbolic distinction 'play a central role in an economy of enrichment'¹²², as illustrated in 'the rise of their numbers since the 1960s-1970s'¹²³.

Literary or artistic types of cultural capital¹²⁴ are tantamount to 'commercial competences'¹²⁵, insofar as, potentially, they put those who are equipped with valuable resources in economically advantageous positions over those who are not. There is no doubt that 'artistic and cultural activities, notably in the domains of luxury and tourism, [...] make a significant contribution to capitalist prosperity'¹²⁶. The advent of the 'credential society'¹²⁷, which is closely related to the 'crisis of the salary society'¹²⁸, reflects the emergence of an era in which 'cultural professionals'¹²⁹ exercise substantial influence on the established order. They do so by mobilizing expert resources derived from 'organizational, administrative, and statistical devices'¹³⁰, to which they have access and upon which

⁽²⁰¹⁴e), pp. 105 and 107; Susen (2016b), pp. 53 and 71; Susen (2017a), pp. 135, 141–142, 143, and 146; Susen and Turner (2011), pp. xix, xxiii, and xxvi; Swain (2003); Urban (2003); Verter (2003); Wacquant (2004 [1997]); Wacquant (2013).

¹²⁰ On this point, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 445.

¹²¹ Ibid., p. 459: 'Les personnes qui disposent d'un capital culturel'.

¹²² Ibid., p. 459: 'jouent un rôle central dans un économie de l'enrichissement'.

¹²³ Ibid., p. 459: l'augmentation de leur nombre depuis les années 1960-1970'.

¹²⁴ See ibid., p. 459: 'capital culturel littéraire ou artistique'.

¹²⁵ Ibid., p. 459: 'compétences commerciales'.

¹²⁶ Ibid., p. 484: 'les activités artistiques et culturelles notamment dans les domaines de luxe et du tourisme qui apportent une contribution non négligeable à la prospérité du capitalisme'.

¹²⁷ Ibid., p. 468. See Collins (1979).

¹²⁸ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 460: 'crise de la société salariale'.

¹²⁹ Ibid., p. 468: 'professionnels de la culture'.

¹³⁰ Ibid., p. 468: 'dispositifs organisationnels, administratifs et statistiques'.

they draw when engaging in different forms of 'valorization'¹³¹, without whose structuring function it would be impossible to 'sustain the economy of enrichment'¹³².

A striking phenomenon of the economy of enrichment, however, is 'the constraint of self-exploitation' 133, which limits the room for agency enjoyed by cultural workers and intellectuals. The interactional spheres in which they operate are shaped by fundamental tensions - such as collaboration vs. competition, solidarity vs. rivalry, unity vs. division. 134 Notwithstanding their symbolically privileged position in society, large numbers of 'precarious intellectuals'135 are obliged 'to promote themselves'136 in 'intellectual fields'137. In many cases, they spend several years seeking to establish themselves (by working on research projects, pursuing academic studies, aiming to obtain university degrees, often at prestigious institutions) only to realize that – after having made a considerable mental, emotional, and financial investment - they find themselves in a vulnerable situation. If they are very lucky, they may end up working in a sector that is directly or indirectly related to their qualifications and experience. If they are fairly lucky, they may be able to secure employment in a sector that is largely or completely unrelated to their area of interest and/or field of expertise. If they are unlucky, they may not succeed in

¹³¹ Ibid., p. 485: 'la mise en valeur'.

¹³² Ibid., p. 485: 'soutient l'économie de l'enrichissement'.

¹³³ Ibid., pp. 473–478 (italics added): 'La contrainte d'auto-exploitation'.

¹³⁴ On this point, see ibid., p. 473: 'un environnement qui est à la fois un monde commun et un espace de concurrence'.

¹³⁵ Ibid., p. 474: 'intellectuels précaires'. On this point, see also, for example, Susen (2017f), pp. 34 ad 73.

¹³⁶ See Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 474: 'ils se mettent eux-mêmes en valeur'.

¹³⁷ Ibid., p. 474: 'champs intellectuels'. On the sociology of 'intellectual fields', see, for instance: Baert (2017); Bautista (1987); Boschetti (1985); Boschetti (1988 [1985]); Bourdieu (1984b); Bourdieu (1993); Bourdieu (1993 [1984]-a); Bourdieu (1993 [1984]-b); Bourdieu (1993 [1984]-d); Collins (1998); Fritsch (2005); Fuller (2005); Fuller (2009); Gross (2002); Gross (2008); Kauppi (2000); Mahar (1990); Miller (2003); Nash (2005); Pecourt (2007); Pecourt (2008); Pels (1995); Picò and Pecourt (2013); Pinto (1991); Ringer (2000 [1990]); Schwengel (2003); Sintomer (2005); Sintomer (2011); Susen (2011d); Susen (2017f); Susen and Baert (2017a); Susen and Baert (2017b).

finding any job at all, forced to live on benefits and without a prosperous professional future. 138

It appears, then, that in the era of 'neoliberalism, neomanagement, and financial capitalism [...], people [are] responsible for their own exploitation'¹³⁹. Instead of breaking out of the straitjacket of economic heteronomy, imposed upon those seeking to realize the dream of artistic and/or intellectual autonomy, the protagonists of the cultural and creative industries of contemporary societies are immersed in a stratified horizon of class-divided realities. Far from having disappeared, the antagonism between workers, who sell their labour force, and capitalists, who own the means of production, continues to exist within the economy of enrichment. ¹⁴⁰ Unlike the traditional or blue-collar proletariat, however, 'cultural workers' and 'knowledge workers' tend to be conceived of as a 'creative class'¹⁴¹ and 'new class'¹⁴², whose members – insofar as they belong to a resourceful 'cognitariat'¹⁴³ – are driven by high degrees of dynamism, imagination, and innovation.

5. Capitalism and Neoliberalism: Between Crisis and Critique

The critique of capitalism is as old as capitalism itself. Yet, whereas between 1965 and 1975 the critique of capitalism intensified, reaching its peak in the revolts and radical social movements of 1968, between 1985

¹³⁸ On this point, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 460, 464, 474, and 616–618. See also, for example: Bettahar and Choffel-Mailfert (2014); Tasset (2014a); Tasset (2014b); Tasset (2015).

¹³⁹ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 476 (italics added): 'le «néolibéralisme», le «néomanagement » ou le «capitalisme financier » [...], les personnes responsables de leur exploitation'. On *Boltanski and Esquerre's conception of 'exploitation'*, see ibid., pp. 398, 400, 475–477, 488–490, and 605. See also Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), pp. 71 and 73–76, as well as Fraser (2017), pp. 57 and 61–64. On *Boltanski and Esquerre's conception of 'self-exploitation'*, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 473–478. In addition, see Foucault (2004), esp. pp. 33, 55, 68, and 247, as well as Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 149–152.

¹⁴⁰ See Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 477: 'des travailleurs, ne possédant que leur force de travail [...], et des propriétaires des moyens de production'.

¹⁴¹ Ibid., p. 479: 'classe créative'. Cf. Fraser (2017), p. 61.

¹⁴² Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 479: 'nouvelle classe'.

¹⁴³ Ibid., p. 479: 'cognitariat'.

and 1995 it became less and less significant, reaching its lowest point with the collapse of state socialism in 1989/1990. From a Fukuyamaian perspective, this dissolution of one of the most influential macro-teleological projects of modernity signals 'the end of history'144, epitomized in the consolidation of liberalism as the triumphant ideology of the late twentieth and early twenty-first centuries. 145 The implosion of state socialism in several parts of the world has strongly 'delegitimized the parties and trade unions of communist inspiration'146 in Western countries. In this new global political climate, even the most powerful 'communist' player, China, although it has maintained its official ideology, has been converted into a market-driven, albeit state-controlled, society. The 'end of the Cold War'147 dissolved the ideological rivalry between the two diametrically opposed systems of capitalism and communism: whereas the latter - despite a few real or nominal exceptions (China, North Korea, Vietnam, Laos, and Cuba) – has effectively disappeared, the former has established itself as the hegemonic mode of production across the globe. 148

Another key factor contributing to the dominant position of capitalism on the world stage is its enormous *adaptability*. ¹⁴⁹ '[T]he capacity of capitalism to overcome crisis' has been essential not only to its survival

¹⁴⁴ On *Francis Fukuyama's conception of 'the end of history'*, see Fukuyama (1992), esp. pp. 276–277. On this point, see also, for example: Blackburn (2000), p. 267; Boltanski (2008), p. 63; Bourdieu and Boltanski (2008 [1976]), p. 53; Eagleton (1995), esp. p. 66; Fukuyama (2002); Good and Velody (1998), pp. 5 and 9; Hammond (2011), pp. 305–306, 310, 312, and 315; Horrocks (1999), pp. 7 and 13; Kellner (2007), p. 119; Osamu (2002); Paulus (2001), p. 745; Susen (2014e), pp. 103–104 and 110; Susen (2015a), pp. 169, 170, 271, and 317*n*207; Susen (2016c), pp. 204 and 212; Williams (2010), p. 309.

¹⁴⁵ On this point, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 482.

¹⁴⁶ Ibid., p. 482: 'décrédibilisé les partis et les syndicats d'inspiration communiste'.

¹⁴⁷ See Susen (2015a), pp. 26, 32, 35, 126, 169, 170, 194, and 306n305.

¹⁴⁸ On this point, see, for example: Blackburn (2000), p. 267; Boron (1999), p. 63; Davies (2014); Delanty (2000), pp. 145–146; Eagleton (1995), esp. pp. 59–60 and 69–70; Gane and Gane (2007), pp. 134–135; Hammond (2011), pp. 305–306 and 310–315; Paulus (2001), p. 745; Sloterdijk (2013 [2005]); Susen (2012a), pp. 294, 303, and 307–308; Torfing (1999), pp. 1–2.

¹⁴⁹ On this point, see Susen (2012a), esp. p. 287. See also Holloway (2010), esp. pp. 6–7, 17, 51, 65, and 180. Cf. Holloway and Susen (2013).

¹⁵⁰ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 482: 'la capacité du capitalisme à surmonter la crise'. Cf. Habermas (1988 [1973]). On *the sociology of crisis*, see, for

but also to its ability to assert itself as the seemingly most efficient economic form of organization available in the early twenty-first century. Granted, the recent global financial crisis, which peaked in 2008, was a stark reminder of the fact that capitalism is an inherently unstable and volatile socio-economic system.¹⁵¹ Yet, the fact that the political left has failed to capitalize on this major event (in terms of both its causes and its consequences) indicates that, paradoxically, *in times of systemic crisis the legitimacy of capitalism may be reinforced by normative agendas that make its presence appear not only inevitable but also desirable*. In light of this tension-laden situation, actors who hold state power have been able to push through neoliberal austerity policies on a large scale, often with devastating implications for the most vulnerable groups in society.¹⁵²

The transformation of contemporary capitalism involves 'the reorganization of businesses' 153, a large part of which recruit and make use of the abundantly available work force in low-salary and low-tax countries, thereby increasing their profits and putting themselves in a stronger position when competing with other economic players in the global market. The gradual shift 'from collective property to private property' 154 – illustrated in neoliberal policies of *economic deregulation* 155 – has 'undermined and dismantled the working class' 156. This radical transition has eroded (a) its *institutional* capacity to defend its members' interests through trade unions, (b) its *socio-cultural* capacity to build upon a collectively shared

example, Cordero (2017) and Susen (2017c).

¹⁵¹ On this point, see, for instance: Adkins (2011); Adkins (2014); Berberoglu (2010); Browne and Susen (2014); Brummer (2009 [2008]); Cordero (2017); Doyran (2011); Farrar and Mayes (2013); Habermas (1988 [1973]); Jessop (2001); Lascelles and Carn (2009); Mimiko (2012); Susen (2012a); Susen (2017c); Turner (2008).

¹⁵² On this point, see Browne and Susen (2014). See also Susen (2017b), pp. 156, 169–170, and 178.

¹⁵³ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 482: 'la réorganisation des entreprises'.

¹⁵⁴ Ibid., p. 482: 'de la propriété collective à la propriété privée'.

¹⁵⁵ On this point, see Susen (2015a), pp. 124–125 and 130–135.

¹⁵⁶ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 482: 'a désarçonné et démantelé la classe ouvrière'. Cf. Fraser (2017), p. 58: 'the concomitant decline in working-class power'.

identity, and (c) its *ideological* capacity to offer a viable alternative to capitalism. In short, we are confronted with the gradual disempowerment of the working class on a global scale.

In light of the previous reflections, a thorough 'critique of neoliberalism' 157 needs to address the following *key aspects of the current world order*:

- (a) 'the power of financial markets' in national and international trade zones, leading to the emergence of a 'casino capitalism' characterized by unprecedented levels of monetary flows and economic volatility;
- (b) 'the difficulties of nation-states to deal with debt'160, especially if and when they are expected to repay unrealistically high volumes of money to powerful lenders, while seeing themselves obliged to impose radical austerity policies on their populations;
- (c) 'forms of domination through work'161, which are exercised not only by regulating labour in accordance with the systemic imperatives inherent in the capitalist mode of production, but also by normalizing 'mass unemployment'162;
- (d) 'the exploitation of so-called "natural" resources' 163, affecting not only several so-called developing countries but also numerous '"native" populations' 164, whose environment is controlled and, in many cases, destroyed by exogenous political and economic powers;

¹⁵⁷ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 482: 'critique du néolibéralisme'. On this point, see also, for instance: Berberoglu (2010); Boltanski and Esquerre (2014a); Browne and Susen (2014); Davies (2014); Gane (2014); Harvey (2006); Marcos (1997); Soederberg, Menz, and Cerny (2005); Susen (2015a), pp. 124, 134, 185, 194, 195, 201, 257, and 273.

¹⁵⁸ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 482 (italics added): 'la puissance des marchés financiers'.

¹⁵⁹ On the concept of 'casino capitalism', see, for instance, Strange (1997 [1986]). See also Susen (2015a), pp. 124, 127, and 130.

¹⁶⁰ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 482 (italics added): 'les difficultés des États-nations confrontes à la dette'.

¹⁶¹ Ibid., p. 482 (italics added): 'des modes de domination par le travail'.

¹⁶² Ibid., p. 482: 'chômage de masse'.

¹⁶³ Ibid., p. 482 (italics added): 'l'exploitation des ressources dites « naturelles »'.

¹⁶⁴ Ibid., p. 482: 'des peuples « autochtones »'.

- (e) 'the spread of an "individualist" morality¹⁶⁵, which constitutes one of the cornerstones of philosophical, political, and economic liberalism and which permeates the behavioural, ideological, and institutional modes of functioning adopted by large-scale populations in the twenty-first century;
- (f) 'the decline of solidarities and even the dissolution of collectives' 166, expressed in generalized tendencies towards social atomization and 'individual responsibilization' 167, resulting in the consolidation of low-trust societies, whose members' lives are shaped by high degrees of anomie and alienation;
- (g) constant 'competition between all and at all levels'168, generating divided and divisive communities, whose normative compass is dominated by instrumental and strategic, rather than communicative or substantive, rationality.

In the current socio-political climate, so-called 'metanarratives'¹⁶⁹ – based on grand ideas, utopian ideals, and/or major ideologies – appear to be less and less significant. Rightly or wrongly, this trend has been interpreted as a sign of the arrival of the 'postmodern age'¹⁷⁰, which, according to some commentators, constitutes a historical condition characterized by 'the end of metanarratives'¹⁷¹. Given the 'multitude of actors'¹⁷² shap-

 $^{165\ \} Ibid.,\ p.\ 482\ (italics\ added):\ 'la\ g\'{e}n\'{e}ralisation\ d'une\ morale\ «\ individualiste\ »'.$

¹⁶⁶ Ibid., p. 482 (italics added): 'le déclin des solidarités et même la dissolution des collectifs'.

¹⁶⁷ Ibid., p. 482: 'responsabilisation individuelle'.

¹⁶⁸ Ibid., p. 483 (italics added): 'la concurrence entre tous et à tous les niveaux'.

¹⁶⁹ On *the concept of 'metanarrative'*, see, for instance: Susen (2015a), esp. Chapter 4. See also Susen (2016d) and Susen (2017d).

¹⁷⁰ On this point, see Susen (2015a), esp. Introduction and Chapter 4; see also Susen (2016d) and Susen (2017d). It should be noted that Boltanski and Esquerre explicitly distance themselves from the contention that we have been witnessing the rise of 'a "postmodern" capitalism'; see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), p. 75.

¹⁷¹ On 'the end of metanarratives', see, for example, Susen (2015a), esp. Chapter 4. See also Susen (2016d) and Susen (2017d). In addition, see, for instance: Coole (1998); Friedrich (2012); Halttunen (1999); Kellner (2007); Kellner (1987); Pieters (2000); Stone (1979); Thompson (1993); White (1980); White (1984); White (1987); Zagorin (1999).

¹⁷² Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 485: 'multitude d'acteurs'.

ing societal developments, there is no single individual or collective subject capable of monopolizing the stage of history for its own purposes.

6. Markets and Culture: Between Authenticity and Inauthenticity

At the heart of the economy of enrichment lies the contradiction between *authenticity* and *inauthenticity*. This contradiction manifests itself in one of the most curious paradoxes of the economy of enrichment: on the one hand, its actors are '*anti-market*', in the sense that they seek to bypass the constraining mechanisms of commodification, commercialization, and objectification; on the other hand, its actors are '*pro-market*', in the sense that they buy into the logic of capitalism, ranging from those who barely succeed in making ends meet to those benefiting – in some cases, considerably – from social processes of enrichment.

In this respect, tourism may serve as an example. In the early twenty-first century, France has one of the most developed economies of enrichment in the world – not least because it enjoys the status of being 'the first global destination for tourism'¹⁷⁴. An obvious paradox of the tourism industry can be described as follows: while most of its promoters aim to exploit the idea of providing people with '*authentic* experiences'¹⁷⁵ in different places, vacations are packaged in terms of '*standardized* travelling'¹⁷⁶, especially if they fall into the category of 'mass tourism'¹⁷⁷. Ultimately, the vacation industry is driven by profit maximization, rather than by the ambition to circumvent the hegemonic influence of the capitalist market. Ironically, 'patrimonial sites'¹⁷⁸, whose incommensurable value may derive from their 'ancestral and unique'¹⁷⁹ history, are reduced

¹⁷³ See ibid., pp. 31, 34, 174, 209, 265, 268, 269, 283, 302, 331, 337, 341, 363, 393, 568, and 596. Cf. Fraser (2017), p. 61.

¹⁷⁴ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 320: 'première destination mondiale pour le tourisme'.

¹⁷⁵ Ibid., p. 320 (italics added): 'les expériences authentiques'.

¹⁷⁶ Ibid., p. 320 (italics added): 'voyage standardisé'.

¹⁷⁷ Ibid., p. 320: 'le tourisme de masse'.

¹⁷⁸ Ibid., p. 321: 'sites patrimoniaux'.

¹⁷⁹ Ibid., p. 321: 'd'ancestraux et d'unique'.

to commodities, the experience of which can be bought by financially resourced consumers.

'[T]he relation between the merchant *exploitation* of the past and the development of ideologies that place the emphasis on *culture*¹⁸⁰ illustrates the tension between instrumental rationality (*Zweckrationalität*) and value rationality (*Wertrationalität*) – that is, between *using culture as a means to an end* and *treating culture as an end in itself*. No matter how hard tourist agencies – including its 'alternative' variants – may intend to sell '[t]he world of art and culture as if it constituted a realm outside capitalism'¹⁸¹, they remain trapped in the stifling horizon of a market-driven system, capable of converting the quest for cultural authenticity into a commodity.

High-end markets of luxury goods and services, including those in tourism, may be shaped in such a way that their protagonists can purport to replace the industrial tendency towards mechanical *standardization* with self-legitimizing claims to social *distinction*, thereby challenging the ubiquity of *inauthenticity* by promising experiences of *authenticity* to the privileged – that is, financially fortunate – members of humanity. At the heart of the enrichment economy, however, lies the contradiction between the reality of *inauthenticity*, *market-dependence*, *and means-to-anend* and the pursuit of *authenticity*, *market-transcendence*, *and ends-in-themselves*. Those immersed in, and benefitting from, 'important characteristics of the economy of enrichment aim not to resolve this contradiction, but to render it acceptable, or at least habitual, as if it were self-evident, in the sense that one could learn to live with it'182.

A major task for critical sociologists of enrichment consists in demonstrating that the myths by which capitalist markets tend to be sustained

¹⁸⁰ Ibid., p. 321 (italics added): 'la relation entre l'exploitation marchande du passé et le développement d'idéologies qui mettent l'accent sur la culture'.

¹⁸¹ Ibid., p. 321: 'Le monde de l'art et de la culture [...] comme s'il s'agissait d'un dehors du capitalisme [...] nouvelles formes d'exploitation'.

¹⁸² Ibid., p. 324: '[d]es traits importants d'une économie de l'enrichissement visent non à résoudre cette contradiction, mais à la rendre sinon acceptable, au moins habituelle, comme si elle allait de soi, de façon à ce qu'on puisse apprendre à vivre avec'. On this point, see also Harvey (2001).

are – to use Marx's famous phrase – 'real sham'¹⁸³: they are 'real' because they have a tangible impact on social reality; at the same time, they are 'sham' because they conceal the underlying logic that permeates human relations in capitalist formations. Irrespective of the question of whether or not, in market-driven societies, *enrichment for some* actually means *impoverishment for most*, the contradiction between authenticity and inauthenticity poses a fundamental challenge to the civilizational accomplishments of humanity in the era of modernity.

7. Valorizability and Temporality: Between the Present and the Past

Every economy depends on the exploitation, distribution, circulation, and consumption of different resources. In the economy of enrichment, one resource is of supreme importance: 'this resource is *the past*'¹⁸⁴. Far from being reducible to a peripheral expression of a nostalgic attachment to something that is no longer relevant to the present, the past constitutes not only an integral element of the enrichment economy, but also a key reference point for those participating in the hermeneutic construction of its reality. As such, it is vital to both the material and the symbolic reproduction of its existence. Its centrality is reflected in the fact that the economy of enrichment 'rests not mainly on the production of *new* objects but, above all, on the valorization of objects that are *already there*'¹⁸⁵. In fact, the older an item is, the more precious it may be for those seeking to acquire it. Its 'embeddedness in the past'¹⁸⁶ may be its principal selling point and, as such, more decisive than its use value or aesthetic value in defining its exchange value. The commodified exploitation of temporali-

¹⁸³ On *Marx's concept of 'real sham'* [realer Schein], see, for example, Fischer (1978). See also, for instance: Susen (2007), p. 165; Susen (2014c), p. 345. In addition, see, for example: Haug (1999b); Marxhausen (1999); Rehmann (2004); Reitz (2004); Steiner (2008); Vester (2008); Weber (1995); Wolff (2004).

¹⁸⁴ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 11 (italics in original): '[...] cette ressource est $le\ pass\'e$.'

¹⁸⁵ Ibid., p. 11 (italics added): 'prend appui non pas, principalement, sur la production d'objets neufs, mais surtout sur la mise en valeur d'objets déjà là'.

¹⁸⁶ Ibid., p. 11: 'ancrage dans le passé'.

ty is essential to the ways in which objects are signified and resignified by 'prestigious brands of the luxury goods industry'¹⁸⁷, which seek to make financial profits from attaching a specific – history-laden – type of worth to objects, whose value is, to a large degree, derived from their age.

8. Non-Reproducibility and Hierarchy: Between Distinction and Domination

The development of the enrichment economy hinges on 'the increase in number, activity, and wealth of collectors, in the proper sense of the term'¹⁸⁸. The growing significance of social practices motivated by the pursuit of the 'collection form'¹⁸⁹ of objects, followed by dedicated buyers, appears to indicate 'the displacement of capitalism towards new domains of activity'¹⁹⁰ driven by 'new forms of valorization'¹⁹¹. One aspect to which these buyers attribute particular importance is the notion that the value of an object is marked by its 'singularity'¹⁹² or 'rarity'¹⁹³, as opposed to the commonality and frequency of 'standard objects'¹⁹⁴. These objects are unique and 'exceptional'¹⁹⁵ – not only because they stand out due to their functional or aesthetic properties, but also because, unlike industrial items, they are 'not reproducible'¹⁹⁶. Irrespective of whether one conceives of their remarkable features as 'natural and absolute'¹⁹⁷ or 'cultural and relative'¹⁹⁸, the non-reproducibility of particular objects is regard-

¹⁸⁷ Ibid., p. 11: 'des marques prestigieuses de l'industrie du luxe'.

¹⁸⁸ Ibid., p. 287: l'augmentation du nombre, de l'activité et de la richesse des collectionneurs, au sens propre du terme'.

¹⁸⁹ Ibid., p. 287 (italics in original): 'la forme collection'.

¹⁹⁰ Ibid., p. 287: 'le déplacement du capitalisme vers de nouveaux domaines d'activité'.

¹⁹¹ Ibid., p. 287: 'nouvelles formes de mise en valeur'.

¹⁹² Ibid., p. 287: 'singularité'.

¹⁹³ Ibid., p. 287: 'rareté'.

¹⁹⁴ Ibid., p. 287: 'objets standard'.

¹⁹⁵ Ibid., p. 287: 'exceptionnelles'.

¹⁹⁶ Ibid., p. 288: 'pas reproductibles' (italics added).

¹⁹⁷ Ibid., p. 287: 'naturelle et absolue'. Cf. Ricardo (1966).

¹⁹⁸ In opposition to the previous point.

ed by their owners and/or buyers as symptomatic of their irreducible authenticity in the enrichment economy.

Human actors are equipped with a dispositional apparatus of perception, comprehension, appreciation, and judgment. The economy of enrichment relies on its participants' 'cognitive capacity permitting them to appreciate the value of things considered "exceptional" and non-reproducible. As a tension-laden economy, it is organized around diametrically opposed spheres: 'between work and leisure (or non-work); between necessity and surplus; between action oriented towards commerce (business) and action oriented towards disinterest on the former sphere is marked by *reproducibility*, since it is driven by instrumental rationality, allowing for the more or less efficient organization of capitalist society. The latter sphere is characterized by *non-reproducibility*, since it is shaped primarily by creativity, enabling actors to escape the stifling logic of the systemic imperatives that permeate target-driven realities.

In a psychoanalytic fashion, one may interpret the enjoyment of 'pleasure, passion, [and] consumption'²⁰¹ as reflecting 'a simultaneously aesthetic and sexual orientation'²⁰² and, consequently, as providing 'a substitute for sexual activity'²⁰³. The social construction of *gender binaries* fits into the aforementioned scheme of normative oppositions: on the one hand, the '*masculine*' is associated with 'business, money, labour, science, sport, and outdoor activities'²⁰⁴; on the other hand, the '*feminine*' is brought into connection with 'taste, the novel, indoor practices, and

¹⁹⁹ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 288: 'un cognitif permettant d'apprécier la valeur des choses jugées « exceptionnelles »'.

²⁰⁰ Ibid., p. 289: 'entre le travail et le loisir (ou le non-travail) ; entre le nécessaire et le surplus ; entre l'action orientée vers les affaires (le business) et l'action orientée vers le désintéressement'. Cf. Veblen (1970 [1899]).

²⁰¹ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 289: 'le plaisir, la passion, la dépense'.

²⁰² Ibid., p. 289: 'une orientation à la fois esthétique et sexuelle'.

²⁰³ Ibid., p. 289: 'substitut de l'activité sexuelle'. On this point, see also Baudrillard (1968), esp. pp. 122–125. Cf. Boltanski (1975). Cf. also Moreau Ricaud (2011).

²⁰⁴ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 289: 'les affaires, l'argent, le travail, la science, le sport et les activités d'extérieur'.

religion'²⁰⁵. Insofar as the former covers the 'professional, institutional, and lucrative'²⁰⁶ dimensions of the social universe, it is controlled largely by those occupying *dominant* gender roles. Insofar as the latter covers the 'disinterested and spending-focused'²⁰⁷ dimensions of the social universe, it is pursued mainly by those occupying *dominated* gender roles.

Notwithstanding the regulative functions of gender-based binaries, the economy of enrichment reinforces the influence of *social hierarchies* defined around 'undesirable' and 'desirable' characteristics: 'old' vs. 'young', 'ugly' vs. 'beautiful', 'rustic' vs. 'famous', 'poor' vs. 'rich', 'ordinary' vs. 'stylish' – to mention only a few.²⁰⁸ Those at the top of the pecking order enjoy the privilege of benefiting from 'the value of useless accumulation'²⁰⁹, driven by 'accumulation for the sake of accumulation'²¹⁰. In the economy of enrichment, the rich continue to enrich themselves, as they possess, and profit from, the means of enrichment. The 'double movement of mimesis and distinction'²¹¹ forms part of a circular process: actors *adapt* to their social environment by means of *assimilative* dynamics, while seeking to *differentiate* themselves from others (individually and/or collectively) by means of *discriminatory mechanisms*.

²⁰⁵ Ibid., p. 289: 'le goût, le roman, les pratiques d'intérieur et la religion'.

²⁰⁶ Ibid., p. 289: 'professionnelle, institutionnelle et lucrative'.

²⁰⁷ Ibid., p. 289: 'gratuite ou associée à la pure dépense'.

²⁰⁸ See ibid., p. 329: 'Les « vieux » sont en manque de ne pas être plus « jeunes »; les « moches », de ne pas être « beaux »; les « péquenots », de ne pas être « célèbre »: les « pauvres », de ne pas être « riches »; les « quelconques », de ne pas être « chics », etc. ; [...].' – Cf. Susen (2016a), esp. pp. 79–102.

²⁰⁹ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 292: 'la valeur d'une accumulation de l'inutile'.

²¹⁰ Ibid., p. 292 (italics in original): 'une *accumulation pour l'accumulation*'. See also ibid., p. 293. Cf. Adorno and Horkheimer (1997 [1944/1969]), p. 158: 'The principle of idealistic aesthetics – purposefulness without a purpose – reverses the scheme of things to which bourgeois art conforms socially: purposelessness for the purposes declared by the market.' On this point, see also Susen (2011b), esp. pp. 188–190.

²¹¹ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 329: 'double mouvement de mimétisme et de distinction'.

9. Alienation and Anonymity: Between Empowerment and Disempowerment

One need not be a pessimist to acknowledge that a key feature of the historical era commonly described as 'modernity' is the experience of *alienation*. Of course, one may identify different – for instance, social, political, or cultural – forms of alienation. What these variations of alienation have in common, however, is that they entail a degree of *estrangement* and *disempowerment* suffered by those directly or indirectly affected by it.²¹² As such, it involves 'the loss of the possibility of "possession or mastery of oneself, or of self-identity [...] caused by external constraint" ²¹³.

The standardization of products constitutes a crucial element of industrial economies.²¹⁴ Interestingly, one finds *radical critiques of human alienation* caused by industrial standardization processes both on 'the left' and on 'the right' of the political spectrum. On the left, among the most influential examples are variants of critical theory – notably those developed by thinkers whose works are linked to the intellectual tradition of the Frankfurt School.²¹⁵ On the right, among the most influential examples are defenders of Western civilization, such as Oswald Spengler²¹⁶, and phenomenology, such as Martin Heidegger²¹⁷. All of them draw attention to the *dehumanizing* consequences of alienation, which, to

²¹² On this point, see Susen (2015b), esp. pp. 1025–1030 and 1032.

²¹³ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 221: 'ce que la condition de l'homme moderne avait de spécifique. C'est-à-dire la perte de la possibilité « d'une possession ou maîtrise de soi, ou d'une identité à soi [...] du fait de la contrainte extérieure »'.

²¹⁴ On this point, see ibid., p. 221. Cf. Susen (2011b), esp. pp. 190–192.

²¹⁵ See, for example: Behrens (2002); Benhabib (1986); Boltanski, Honneth, and Celikates (2014 [2009]); Bronner (1994); Cannon (2001); Cordero (2017); Frère (2015); Geuss (1981); Habermas (1981a); Habermas (1981b); Held (1980); Honneth (1991 [1986]); How (2003); Hoy and McCarthy (1994); Ingram (1990); Kellner (1989); Macey (2000); Schneider, Stillke, and Leineweber (2000); Schroyer (1973); Stirk (2000); Susen (2007), esp. chapters 1–4 and 10; Susen (2009); Susen (2010b); Susen (2011a); Susen (2015b); Susen (2017c); Susen (2018b).

²¹⁶ See Spengler (1973 [1918/1922]).

²¹⁷ See Heidegger (2001 [1927]).

a greater or lesser degree, can be experienced by \it{all} members of modern societies. 218

By 'placing the emphasis on the "authenticity" that is anchored in the autonomy of the subject, in contrast to the *inauthenticity* of "mimetic desire", which, driven by the "desire of desire of the other", plunges alienated persons into the anonymity of the "we" [in French: *on*; in German: *man*]'²¹⁹, it becomes possible to draw attention to a central sociological problem: the disempowering facets of modern society rob human actors of their ability to realize their creative potential as sovereign subjects.

Challenging the widespread experience of alienation, the world of artistic production appears to provide a realm of individual and collective emancipation, permitting its protagonists to escape both the administrative and the economic constraints of advanced capitalist formations. Hence, 'the development of the critique of the society of consumption, publicity, fashion, and the media '221 is essential to the view that modernity constitutes a deeply *ambivalent* historical condition, which is characterized by the contradictory confluence of positive and negative, bright and dark, empowering and disempowering dimensions. 222

²¹⁸ On this point, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 222. Cf. Borch (2012) and Le Bon (1977 [1995]).

²¹⁹ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 331 (italics added): '[...] mettant l'accent sur « l'authenticité » ancrée dans l'autonomie du sujet, par opposition à l'inauthenticité du « désir mimétique » qui, mû par le « désir du désir de l'autre », plongerait les personnes aliénées dans l'anonymat du « on ».' In this context, Boltanski and Esquerre mention Adorno and Heidegger. It should be acknowledged, however, that these two major thinkers provide fundamentally different interpretations of modern social life.

²²⁰ On this point, see ibid., p. 223. See also, for instance: Adorno (1973 [1966]); Adorno (1997 [1970]); Adorno (1991); Susen (2007), pp. 107–111; Susen (2011b), esp. pp. 185, 186, 188, 191, 193, 196–197, 199, and 200n12; Susen (2015b), esp. pp. 1031–1032; Wellmer (1985). On the concept of 'emancipation', see, for example: Antonio (1989); Benhabib (1986); Bensussan (1982); Bhaskar (1998); Boltanski (2009a); Harding (1992); Laclau (1992); Laclau (1996); Lukes (1991 [1983]); Nederveen Pieterse (1992a); Nederveen Pieterse (1992b); Nuyen (1998); Pease (2002); Ray (1993); Santos (2006); Santos (2007); Scruton (1996); Susen (2009); Susen (2015b); Weiss (1997b).

²²¹ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 224: 'le développement de la critique de la société de consommation, de la publicité, de la mode et des médias'.

²²² On the ambivalence of modernity, see, for example: Bauman (1991); Bauman and Tester (2007), esp. pp. 23–25 and 29; Hammond (2011), pp. 305, 310,

'[T]he transition from a society of consumption to a society of commerce'223, which has been taking place since the second half of the 1990s, indicates the consolidation of a civilizational order that is 'almost totally dominated by the power of money and profit-seeking 224. One may go back to the French Revolution of 1789 to examine the significant impact of 'liberal themes'225 on the development of modern societies. The abolition of traditional political, legal, and institutional constraints preventing the free 'circulation of persons and of goods'226, as well as of capital and services, can be interpreted as a major attempt to liberalize society. The aim of promoting and protecting the free movement of labour, goods, capital, and services has always been vital to establishing a market-driven order whose material and ideological developments transcend national boundaries. A key part of this process, however, is the tendency 'to detach things from persons and to liberate the exchanges'227 of commercial nature. This liberalization provides access to almost anything, 'no matter where, by no matter whom, to no matter what, on condition that it can be assigned a price'228.

Anonymity is a noteworthy feature of capitalist economies. 'The anonymity of things matches the anonymity of the buyers of these things, who henceforth intervene within the market space as consumers.'²²⁹ The cri-

^{312,} and 315; Iggers (2005 [1997]), pp. 146–147; Jacobsen and Marshman (2008), pp. 804–807; Kellner (2007), p. 117; Mulinari and Sandell (2009), p. 495; Quicke (1999), p. 281; Smart (1998); Susen (2010c), esp. pp. 62–78; Susen (2015a), pp. 1, 16–18, 190, and 236; Susen (2016d), esp. pp. 430 and 432–433; Susen (2017d), esp. pp. 104–105; van Raaij (1993), esp. pp. 543–546, 551–555, and 559–561.

²²³ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 224: 'le passage d'une société de consommation à une société de commerce'.

²²⁴ Ibid., p. 224: 'presque totalement dominée par le pouvoir de l'argent et la recherche du profit'.

²²⁵ Ibid., p. 235: 'thématiques libérales'.

²²⁶ Ibid., p. 235: 'la circulation des personnes et des bien'.

²²⁷ Ibid., p. 235 (italics added): 'de détacher les choses des personnes et de libérer les échanges'.

²²⁸ Ibid., p. 236: 'n'importe où, de n'importe qui à n'importe quoi, à condition d'y mettre le prix'.

²²⁹ Ibid., p. 236: 'À l'anonymat des choses répond l'anonymat des acheteurs de ces choses, qui n'interviennent désormais dans l'espace marchand qu'au titre de consommateurs.'

tique of commodity fetishism – which is central to most, if not all, currents of Marxist thought, including the intellectual tradition of the Frankfurt School – 'denounces an extension of standardization from things to human beings themselves, resulting in the reification of social relations and persons'230. Put differently, commodity fetishism implies the subjectification of objects and the objectification of subjects, to the degree that things are treated as if they had human-like attributes and humans are treated as if they could be degraded to things. The classical distinction between 'things equipped with a price'231 and 'human beings equipped with desires'232 is undermined in a world in which a monetary value is attached to both objects and subjects. From a Marxist point of view, the construction of the capitalist market is inextricably linked to dehumanizing aspects – such as reification, fetishization, stratification, exploitation, and alienation. From a liberal perspective, by contrast, it is imperative to recognize 'the emancipatory role of the market '233 - that is, not only its capacity to bring about freedom, democracy, meritocracy, and formal equality, but also its tendency to stimulate its participants' dynamism, creativity, and sense of autonomy.

10. Mass Production and Restricted Production: Between Standardization and Specialization

Advanced capitalist economies are marked by the separation between markets of *mass production* and markets of *restricted production*.²³⁴ The former cover the realm of 'standard products, commercialized by the businesses of large-scale distribution aimed at less wealthy buyers'²³⁵.

²³⁰ Ibid., p. 236 (italics in original): 'une extension de la standardisation se déplaçant des choses vers les êtres humains eux-mêmes, dont le résultat a été une *réification* des relations sociales et des personnes'. Cf. Perec (1965). Cf. also Benjamin (2008).

²³¹ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 236-237: 'des choses équipées de prix'.

²³² Ibid., p. 236: 'des personnes humaines équipées de désires'.

²³³ Ibid., p. 236: 'le rôle libérateur du marché'.

²³⁴ On this point, see ibid., esp. pp. 65–68. See also ibid., pp. 13, 21, 217, 376, and 442, as well as Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), pp. 69 and 73–75. In addition, see Fraser (2017), pp. 60 and 64. On this point, see also, for instance, Susen (2011b), pp. 176–184. In addition, see Fuller (2016) and Gartman (2012).

²³⁵ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 65: 'produits standard, commercialisés

The latter encompass the realm of 'products that are defined precisely by their distance in relation to standard objects and are aimed at satisfying the needs of more wealthy buyers'²³⁶.

- Markets of *mass production* have major economic, political, and cultural implications insofar as in the context of modernity they have *enlarged* the scope of access to products to a level that is unprecedented in human history. In this sense, they constitute a significant sociological phenomenon that can be, and has been, 'legitimized in democratic terms'²³⁷, rather than merely in terms of an expanded supply-demand chain.
- Markets of *restricted production* have major economic, political, and cultural implications insofar as in the context of modernity they have *reduced* the scope of access to products to a level that is reserved to relatively few members of society. In this sense, they constitute a significant sociological phenomenon that is essential to the economy of enrichment, which 'seeks to exploit the purchasing power of those who can access exceptional goods'²³⁸.

In contemporary capitalist societies, a substantial gap between 'rich and poor'²³⁹ continues to exist. This disparity is crucial to 'understanding the dynamic of the economy of enrichment'²⁴⁰ by taking seriously the stratifying role of 'differentiated social classes'²⁴¹, which are divided by diverging – and, at several levels, diametrically opposed – interests. The economy of enrichment is marked by a curious paradox: in *financial* terms, it is aimed mainly at the wealthy sectors of society; in *cultural*

par les entreprises de grande distribution à destination des acheteurs les moins fortunés'.

²³⁶ Ibid., p. 65: 'se définissent précisément dans leur écart par rapport aux objets standard, et qui sont destinés à satisfaire les manques d'acheteurs plus fortunés'.

²³⁷ Ibid., p. 65: 'se légitimait en termes démocratiques'.

²³⁸ Ibid., p. 65: 'vise à exploiter le pouvoir d'achat de ceux qui peuvent accéder à des biens d'exceptions'.

²³⁹ See ibid., p. 65: 'le couple riches et pauvres'.

²⁴⁰ Ibid., p. 65: 'comprendre la dynamique de l'économie de l'enrichissement'.

²⁴¹ Ibid., p. 65: 'classes sociales différenciées'.

terms, it is aimed not only at affluent actors but also at those who may not be able to benefit economically from it. Although it 'is directed primarily at the rich and the very rich, one of its peculiarities is that it is also directed at others as if they *were* rich, or at least richer than they actually are'²⁴². Actors belonging to the lower strata of society may lack the *economic* capital required to participate in markets of restricted production. Yet, to the degree that significant proportions of them are equipped with the *cultural* capital necessary to enjoy, or at least to admire, some of the symbolic and/or material products from whose consumption they are financially excluded, they may be able to participate – at least marginally – in the construction of the enrichment economy.

In industrial economies, material products 'see their price greatly *reduced* with time'²⁴³. In fact, these products are supposed to last only for a limited period, so that they have to be replaced with new ones, which, again, are meant to last only for so long, and so on and so forth. The limited lifespan of industrial items forms part of a seemingly endless cycle of production, distribution, consumption, ejection, destruction, and substitution. Obviously, the *economic* logic of profit maximization, which lies behind this process, defies the *environmental* logic of ecological preservation. Unlike the former, the latter is vital to the survival not only of the human species but also of other species and, in a more fundamental sense, of the planet as a whole. In large-scale industrial formations, the disposal of waste 'has become a major concern'²⁴⁴ – both for citizens and for those who represent them in political institutions. It is no accident that, in most Western liberal societies, 'green' agendas have found their way into the political mainstream.²⁴⁵

In the economy of enrichment, goods that are not part of the conven-

²⁴² Ibid., p. 65 (italics added): '[...] s'adresse d'abord aux riches et aux très riches, une de ses spécificités est de s'adresser aussi aux autres comme s'ils étaient riches, ou, à tout le moins, plus riches qu'ils ne le sont'.

²⁴³ Ibid., p. 67 (italics in original): 'voient leur prix *diminuer* fortement avec le temps'.

²⁴⁴ Ibid., p. 67: 'est devenue une inquietude majeure'.

²⁴⁵ On this point, see, for example: Bradley and Hedrén (2014); Doyle (2005); Doyle and MacGregor (2014).

tional market 'see their price increase with time, following a movement that is opposite to the one affecting industrial products'246. Indeed, these items are supposed to last for a large amount of time, potentially for as long as they are not (deliberately or accidentally) destroyed. Unlike industrial products, they cannot be substituted, since they are considered irreplaceable. With a few exceptions, such as high-end quality food, the lifespan of many of these items is, at least in principle, unlimited. Similar to industrial products, these items - although, eventually, they may be used for non-economic purposes – can be exchanged with the intentions of money-making and profit maximization. The economy of enrichment is inconceivable without the 'work of selection [...], conservation [...], heritage inventory [...], collection'²⁴⁷, forming an indispensable component of the collective effort to convert the time-laden constitution of objects into a source of, rather than an obstacle to, symbolic (and, if desired, monetary) value. In short, whereas in industrial economies 'increase in age' is tantamount to 'decrease in price', in enrichment economies 'increase in age' is tantamount to 'increase in price'.

Processes of *industrialization* are inextricably linked to mechanisms of *standardization*.²⁴⁸ 'The *standard* form is one of the principal innovations on which the development of industrial society has hinged'²⁴⁹ ever since it came into existence. In industrial settings, methods of production, distribution, circulation, and consumption need to be standardized in order to make the life of commodities relatively *predictable*, *measurable*, and *profitable*. By definition, industrial goods are replaceable and reproducible, implying that, in technologically advanced societies, the same types of items can be provided for large amounts of consumers. Industrial econ-

²⁴⁶ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 68 (italics in original): 'voir leur prix *croître avec le temps*, selon un mouvement inversé de celui qui affecte les produits industriels'.

²⁴⁷ Ibid., p. 68 (italics removed from 'collection'): 'travail de sélection [...], la conservation [...], l'inventaire du patrimoine [...], *collection*'.

²⁴⁸ On this point, see ibid., pp. 201–224: 'La forme standard' (Chapitre V).

²⁴⁹ Ibid., p. 201 (italics in original): 'L'invention de la forme *standard* est l'une des principales innovations sur lesquelles a reposé le développement de la société industrielle.'

omies, then, depend on 'the use of standards, which are often associated with brands and models'²⁵⁰ as well as styles and series. In 'economies of scale'²⁵¹, in which actors aim to secure proportionate savings in costs through increased levels of production, there would be no financial profits without the fabrication of standard items, standard tastes, and standard behaviours.

An illustrative example of industrial standardization are department stores.²⁵² Within these stores, items are distributed between different departments and sections, each of which contains and presents a multiplicity of objects, which - irrespective of their differences - share one central feature: substitutability.253 In each subdivision, one is expected to find 'a specialized Sales Assistant'254, or a 'Department Manager'255, able to provide potential buyers with relevant information, useful advice, and competent answers to any product-related questions they may have. A key characteristic of these stores is that the products on offer 'are detached from the people who have crafted and dispatched them, in such a way that the buyer cannot attribute a personal identity to them'256, apart from the one that they may, or may not, attach to the Sales Assistant who has aided them. The development of local, national, regional, and global brands has been, and continues to be, crucial to the standardization of commodities in capitalist economies. 'This homogenization of the commodity relation to heterogeneous objects constitutes a historic process of primary significance'257, which signals a decisive rupture with precapitalist economies.

²⁵⁰ Ibid., p. 201 (italics added): 'l'usage de standards, qui sont souvent associés à des marques et à des modèles'.

²⁵¹ Ibid., p. 201: 'les économies d'échelle'.

²⁵² See ibid., p. 231: 'les grands magasins'.

²⁵³ Ibid., p. 230 (italics added): 'substituabilité'.

²⁵⁴ Ibid., p. 231: 'un vendeur spécialisé'.

²⁵⁵ Ibid., p. 231: 'le « chef de rayon »'.

²⁵⁶ Ibid., p. 231 (italics added): 'sont détaches des personnes qui les ont confectionnées et acheminées, en sorte que l'acheteur ne peut leur conférer une identité personnelle qu'en les associant à la personne du vendeur'.

²⁵⁷ Ibid., p. 231: 'Cette homogénéisation de la relation marchande à des objets hétérogènes constitue un processus historique de première importance [...].'

The economy of enrichment is shaped by '[t]he plurality of non-standard things'258, which, on some levels, constitute 'a sort of outside-of-capitalism'²⁵⁹, in that they *do* escape the constraining logic of standardization and – to the extent that their aesthetic value is deemed more significant than their use value and/or exchange value – appear to escape the instrumental logic of commodification. The 'link between contemporary art and collection'260 lies at the core of the economy of enrichment, illustrating the emphasis that its protagonists place on the aesthetic value, as well as on the real or imagined uniqueness, of the products that they sell and buy. This does not mean that we are witnessing the emergence of a post-capitalist economy, since both the use value and the exchange value of traded items continue to define their destiny. Far from being reducible to the idealistic formula 'art for the sake of art'261 or the self-referential - let alone autopoietic - logic underlying 'the formation of specific and relatively autonomous fields, within which artists and "creators" compete for recognition'262, the economy of enrichment constitutes a social universe shaped by the commodified pursuit of monetarily measurable values of aesthetic and symbolic distinction.

11. Capitalism and Critique: Between Reproduction and Transformation

For Boltanski and Esquerre, a comprehensive analysis of the economy of enrichment is inextricably linked to the '*critique of capitalism*' ²⁶³. In this

²⁵⁸ Ibid., p. 237 (italics added): 'La pluralité des choses non standard'.

²⁵⁹ Ibid., p. 237 (italics in original): 'une sorte de dehors du capitalisme'.

²⁶⁰ Ibid., p. 315: 'Le lien entre art contemporain et collection [...]'. On this point, see ibid., pp. 315–325.

²⁶¹ Ibid., p. 317: 'l'art pour l'art'. On this point, see also, for example: Bourdieu (1968); Bourdieu (1975a); Bourdieu, Boltanski, Castel, and Chamboredon (1965); Bourdieu and Darbel (1969); Gartman (2012); Heinich (2004); Moulin (1987 [1967]); Stewart (2014); Susen (2007), p. 177; Susen (2011b); Susen (2011d), p. 59; Susen (2013d), p. 207; Susen (2016a).

²⁶² Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 318: 'la formation de champs spécifiques et « relativement autonomes »'.

²⁶³ Ibid., p. 487 (italics added): 'critique du capitalisme'. On *the critique of capitalism*, see ibid., pp. 209, 236, 380, 477, 482–485, and 487–495. See also, for

respect, three issues take centre stage:

- (a) 'the relationship between capitalism and the state'264 and, hence, the confluence of commodification and bureaucratization processes in modern societies;
- (b) 'forms of *exploitation* that are put in place within the context of an economy of *enrichment*'²⁶⁵ and, thus, mechanisms of profit maximization by means of which some actors, or groups of actors, are wealthier than others;
- (c) 'the role of *commodification* in the *displacements* of capitalism'²⁶⁶, illustrated in the deterritorialization of capital and monetary flows across the globe.

Boltanski and Esquerre's critical understanding of these issues informs their entire analysis of the economy of enrichment. The following conceptual oppositions are crucial to their account:

• 'State Capitalism' vs. 'Private Enterprise Capitalism': The second part of the twentieth century was marked by the transition from 'state capitalism' to 'private enterprise capitalism'. The former illustrates the historical 'importance of the nation-state as a centre of profit'268, whereas the latter 'benefits private entities'269, elevating them to 'the principal actors of capitalist dynamics'270. Contrary

example, Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), pp. 68 and 75. In addition, see Fraser (2017), pp. 58, 59, and 62–65.

²⁶⁴ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 487 (italics added): 'la relation entre le capitalisme et l'État'. Cf. Foucault (2004), esp. pp. 33, 55, 68, and 247, as well as Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 149–152.

²⁶⁵ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 487 (italics added): 'formes d'exploitation qui se mettent en place dans le cadre d'une économie de l'enrichissement'.

²⁶⁶ Ibid., p. 487 (italics added): 'le rôle de la marchandisation dans les déplacements du capitalisme'. On *Boltanski and Esquerre's analysis 'displacement'*, see ibid., pp. 381, 384, 385, 388, 389, 392, 494, 610–611 (of commodities), pp. 294, 388–393 (of buyers), pp. 163, 393–396 (of things), and pp. 9, 94, 149, 190, 223, 375, 376, 378, 491, and 496 (of capitalism). Cf. Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), pp. 67, 72, 73, and 76.

²⁶⁷ On this point, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 488.

²⁶⁸ Ibid., p. 488: 'l'importance de l'État-nation comme centre de profit'.

²⁶⁹ Ibid., p. 488: 'bénéfice des entités privées'.

²⁷⁰ Ibid., p. 488: 'les principaux acteurs de la dynamique du capitalisme'.

to alarmist announcements regarding the 'the death of the state'²⁷¹, the nation-state continues to constitute a key institutional apparatus for the concentration of wealth.²⁷² The pivotal role it plays in both 'the formation and the accumulation of wealth'²⁷³ manifests itself at several levels – for instance, in 'aeronautics, arms industries, and nuclear industries (both civil and military)'²⁷⁴. The worldwide influence of 'private entities, super-rich individuals, international firms and markets'²⁷⁵ – while 'operating at a global level'²⁷⁶ – may give the impression that nation-states have become 'the principal victims of capitalism'²⁷⁷, insofar as their steering capacity has been significantly undermined by seemingly uncontrollable economic forces. Whereas early capitalism is linked to the liberal ideal of 'the wealth of nations'²⁷⁸, late capitalism is associated with 'the accumulated wealth of entities or individuals owning capital or firms'²⁷⁹ acting as 'autonomized'²⁸⁰ entities. Irrespective of how one seeks to

²⁷¹ For recent debates on the relationship between the state and globalization, see, for example: Amin-Khan (2012); Ashford and Hall (2011); Baraith and Gupta (2010); Berberoglu (2010); Böss (2010); Boyer (1996); Boyer and Drache (1996); Carlson (2012); Chernilo (2007); Chernilo (2008); Cohen (2006); Crouch, Eder, and Tambini (2001); de Larrinaga and Doucet (2010); Farrar and Mayes (2013); Gritsch (2005); Herrschel (2014); Hirst and Thompson (1995); Holton (2011 [1998]); Jessop (2007); Lachmann (2010); Löhr and Wenzlhuemer (2013); Morris (1997); Nayar (2009); Piketty (2013); Reid, Gill, and Sears (2010); Ripsman and Paul (2010); Rosecrance (1996); Susen (2015a), pp. 132–135; Weiss (1997a); Weiss (1998).

²⁷² On this point, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 488: 'États-nations constituent toujours des cadres au sein desquels se concentrent les richesses'.

²⁷³ Ibid., p. 488: 'la formation et l'accumulation des richesses'.

²⁷⁴ Ibid., p. 488: 'l'aéronautique, les industries de l'armement et le nucléaire (civil et militaire)'.

²⁷⁵ Ibid., p. 487 (italics removed from 'super-rich'): 'des entités privées, individus *richissimes*, firmes internationales et marchés'.

²⁷⁶ Ibid., p. 487: 'opérant sur un plan global'.

²⁷⁷ Ibid., p. 487: 'les principales victimes du capitalisme'.

²⁷⁸ See Smith (2008 [1776]).

²⁷⁹ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 487: 'la richesse accumulée par des entités, individus propriétaires de capitaux ou firmes'.

²⁸⁰ Ibid., p. 487: 'autonomisées'.

- capture the essence permeating the 'new spirit of capitalism'²⁸¹, the relationship between the state and the market is constantly being redefined.
- 'Collectivization' vs. 'Individualization': Within industrial economies, the social class of wage earners is 'framed by collective conventions put in place after long struggles'282, especially by those that took place in the second half of the twentieth century, leading to the consolidation of state-regulated forms of welfare capitalism. Nowadays, 'each of the enrichment workers is forced to become his or her own exploiter as a trader with him- or herself, that is, he or she is, at the same time, a trader and a commodity'283. In this context, 'the indefinite extension of individual working time is uncoupled'284 not only from employees' income but also from 'the distribution of wealth'²⁸⁵, including those 'who participate in its creation'²⁸⁶. To put it bluntly, 'the economy of enrichment enriches mainly the richest'287. Far from being accessible to, let alone contributing to the wealth of, the majority – or, as some call it, 'the 99%' - of the world population, the economy of enrichment constitutes a space of material, symbolic, and financial exchanges shaped by, and aimed at, the most privileged members of society. Consequently, it is marked by what may be described as *commodified hyper-individualism*:

²⁸¹ See Boltanski and Chiapello (1999). On this point, see also, for instance: Boltanski, Rennes, and Susen (2010); Chiapello and Fairclough (2002); Fairclough (2002); Gadrey, Hatchuel, Boltanski, and Chiapello (2001); Susen (2012b); Susen (2012a); Susen (2015a), p. 201; Turner (2007).

²⁸² Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 489 (italics added): 'encadrée par des conventions collectives mise en place après de longues luttes'.

²⁸³ Ibid., p. 489 (italics in original): 'chacun des travailleurs de l'enrichissement est contraint à devenir son propre exploiteur en tant que *commerçant de soi-même*, c'est-à-dire qu'il est à la fois le marchand et la marchandise'.

²⁸⁴ Ibid., p. 489: l'extension indéfinie du temps de travail individuel se trouve découplée'.

²⁸⁵ Ibid., p. 489: 'la distribution des richesses'.

²⁸⁶ Ibid., p. 489: 'qui participent à leur création'.

²⁸⁷ Ibid., p. 489: 'l'économie de l'enrichissement enrichit d'abord les plus riches'.

²⁸⁸ See Gould-Wartofsky (2015).

Insofar as *every individual actor* is envisaged as *a centre of autonomous profit*, it may seem utopian to defend the validity of collective arrangements oriented towards the redistribution of income.²⁸⁹

Hence, we are confronted with the radical *individualization of both success and failure*. For the ultimate core of constantly monitored, audited, and evaluated performance is the individual, rather than the collective. In industrial economies, by contrast, 'workers can be remunerated in accordance with their working hours and the certified competences that they possess, recognized by collective conventions'²⁹⁰ and institutional norms. This 'archaic conception of capitalism'²⁹¹, which is based on 'the properly collective nature of the creation of wealth'²⁹², is gradually being eroded by the economy of enrichment, which 'relies on other devices'²⁹³, notably on those perpetuating the logic of hyper-individualism.

'Commodification' vs. 'Non-Commodification': All capitalist societies

 irrespective of whether their economies are governed by liberal
 or social-democratic, monetarist or fiscalist, laissez-faire or inter ventionist policies – are characterized by the discrepancy between
 commodified and non-commodified elements of behavioural, ideo logical, and institutional patterns of existence. Indeed, capitalism
 works 'at the limits of the commodifiable and the non-commodi

²⁸⁹ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 490 (italics added): 'Chaque acteur individuel est donc envisagé comme un centre de profit autonome, il peut sembler utopique de défendre la validité de dispositifs collectifs de redistribution des revenus.' As Boltanski and Esquerre point out, there are different explanations of 'profit', whose sources have been 'traced to entrepreneurial innovation (Schumpeter), monopoly effects limiting competition (Chamberlin), action in situations of uncertainty (Knight), or access to power positions from which competition can be paralyzed (Veblen)'; see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), p. 71.

²⁹⁰ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 490: 'les travailleurs peuvent être rétribués en fonction de leurs heures de travail et des compétences certifiées qu'ils possèdent, reconnues par des conventions collectives'.

²⁹¹ Ibid., p. 491: 'une vision archaïque du capitalisme'.

²⁹² Ibid., p. 491: 'Le caractère proprement collectif de la création de richesse'.

²⁹³ Ibid., p. 491: 'recourir à d'autres genres de dispositifs'.

fiable'²⁹⁴ – that is, different types of capitalism generate different degrees of commodification in different areas of social life. These limits are constantly being defined and redefined by discursive processes located in the superstructure of society: while these limits are 'sustained by social and moral norms'²⁹⁵, which are solidified in judicial arrangements, they can be transformed, to the extent that they are challenged by particular groups of actors.

• 'Human' vs. 'Non-Human': The task of 'separating the commodifiable from the non-commodifiable'296 goes hand in hand with drawing a distinction between 'the human' and 'the non-human'. In an ideal world, human subjects are exempted from entering 'the cosmos of the commodity'297, whereas things, at least under the systemic umbrella of capitalism, are 'commodities by destination'298. Of course, a central purpose of Marx's critique of commodity fetishism is to uncover the extent to which, in capitalist societies, subjects are objectified and objects are subjectified, as illustrated in the reification of human relations. The standardization of the social universe is accompanied by the homogenization of people's lifeworlds²⁹⁹, owing to their colonization by the functional imperatives of the state and the market. Granted, it is far from obvious whether or not the colonization of everyday life by functionalist rationality involves the gradual dehumanization of humanity. It is unquestionable, however, that the widespread commodification of the relations that human actors establish (not only in relation to the non-human aspects of their existence, but also in relation to one another) poses profound civilizational challenges.

²⁹⁴ Ibid., p. 492: 'aux frontières du marchandisable et du non-marchandisable'. On this point, see also Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), pp. 75–76.

²⁹⁵ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 492: 'soutenues par des normes sociales et morales'.

²⁹⁶ Ibid., p. 492: 'séparant le marchandisable du non-marchandisable'.

²⁹⁷ Ibid., p. 492: 'cosmos de la marchandise'. On this concept, see also ibid., pp. 81, 110, 158, 160, 162, 227, 234, 237, 375, 378, 399, and 496.

²⁹⁸ Ibid., p. 492: 'marchandises par destination'.

²⁹⁹ See ibid., p. 493: 'à uniformiser le monde vécu'.

12. Pragmatism and Structuralism: Between Action and Structure

The theoretical framework that informs Boltanski and Esquerre's sociological exploration in *Enrichissement* can be defined as *pragmatic structur-alism*.³⁰⁰ In essence, this project aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the confluence of 'action and structures'³⁰¹ in the unfolding of social life. Before examining the main assumptions underlying this endeavour, let us consider Boltanski and Esquerre's research strategy.

Seeking to shed light on 'the dynamics of capitalism'³⁰² in the early twenty-first century, Boltanski and Esquerre propose to combine 'two approaches, *historical* and *analytical*'³⁰³:

- Their approach is *historical* in that it takes into account the development of capitalism in terms of its spatiotemporally contingent specificities.
- Their approach is *analytical* in that it aims to identify key elements of capitalism that undergird different evolutionary stages reflecting its transformative constitution.

In order to deliver such a historico-analytical framework, Boltanski and Esquerre move back and forth 'between different disciplines, different methods, and different fieldworks' Thus, their inquiry is (a) *inter-disciplinary*, (b) *inter-methodological*, and (c) *inter-investigative*:

- It draws on different *disciplines* (notably sociology, anthropology, economics, political science, philosophy, and history).
- It combines different *methodologies* (especially archival work, primary and secondary data analysis, quantitative and qualitative methods, discourse analysis, and ideology critique).

³⁰⁰ On the concept of 'pragmatic structuralism' ['structuralisme pragmatique'], see ibid., pp. 16, 495–502, 503, and 522.

³⁰¹ On this point, see ibid., pp. 487–502: 'Conclusion: Action et structures'.

³⁰² Ibid., p. 13: 'la dynamique du capitalisme'.

³⁰³ Ibid., p. 13 (italics added): 'deux approches, historique et analytique'.

³⁰⁴ Ibid., p. 14: 'entre différentes disciplines, entre différentes méthodes et entre différents terrains d'enquête'.

• It covers different *fields of research* (focusing on economic, cultural, political, and demographic factors shaping the development of capitalist societies).

Similar to Bourdieu's project³⁰⁵, part of Boltanski and Esquerre's ambition is to transcend counterproductive divisions in the social sciences. Given the thematic focus of their study, a central area of inquiry in which they seek to accomplish this is *economics*:

- On the one hand, there are 'orthodox'306 approaches, which insist on the 'autonomy of the economy'307, whose logic of functioning can be grasped by virtue of 'mathematics'308 and statistical methods in a law-uncovering fashion. According to 'orthodox' accounts, the relationship between supply and demand follows 'a classical logic of the market'309, in which the price of products is the result of a quasi-natural equilibrium created by the structural relationship between sellers and buyers.
- On the other hand, there are 'heterodox'310 approaches, which tend to resort to 'data stemming from other social sciences'311 and which, consequently, are open not only to recognizing but also to scrutiniz-

³⁰⁵ On *Bourdieu's ambition to overcome counterproductive antinomies in the social sciences*, see, for example: Bourdieu (1980), pp. 29, 43, 46, 77, 78, 87, 103, 135–138, 178, 202, 209, 234, and 242; Bourdieu (1982a), pp. 35–37; Bourdieu (1982b), p. 14; Bourdieu (1982c), p. 36; Bourdieu (1984a), p. 5; Bourdieu (1993 [1984]-c), pp. 55, 57, and 59; Bourdieu (1994a), p. 169; Bourdieu (1994b), p. 3; Bourdieu (1995a), p. 8; Bourdieu (1995b), p. 120; Bourdieu (1997), pp. 16–17, 43, 77, 122, 157, 159–160, 163–167, 185, and 225; Bourdieu (1998), pp. 9 and 110; Bourdieu (2005 [2000]), pp. 210–213; Bourdieu (2001a), pp. 7, 24, and 31; Bourdieu (2001b), pp. 76, 151, and 153; Bourdieu (2002), p. 353; Bourdieu, Chamboredon, and Passeron (1968), pp. 34, 93–94, and 101; Bourdieu and Wacquant (1992c), p. 66; Bourdieu and Wacquant (1992d), pp. 121–122; Bourdieu and Wacquant (1992e), pp. 151 and 162. On this point, see also, for example: Susen (2007), pp. 18, 149–157, 171, 172, 173, 174, 183, 217, 218, 239, 249, 250, 270*n*21, and 310; Susen (2011c), p. 368, 374, 393, 394, and 402; Susen (2011d), esp. pp. 80–81; Susen (2017a), pp. 140–141 and 149–150*n*34.

³⁰⁶ See Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 15: 'les « orthodoxes »'.

³⁰⁷ Ibid., p. 15: 'autonomie de l'économie'.

³⁰⁸ Ibid., p. 15: 'mathématiques'.

³⁰⁹ Ibid., p. 108: 'une logique classique du marché'.

³¹⁰ See ibid., p. 15: 'les « hétérodoxes »'.

³¹¹ Ibid., p. 15: 'données venues des autres sciences sociales'.

ing the numerous dimensions influencing both the constitution and the evolution of the economy. According to 'heterodox' accounts, there are material and symbolic goods whose price depends on 'a value or an evaluation'³¹² based on socio-culturally contingent criteria – such as reputation, recognition, accolades, and 'hierarchies of qualities *via* rankings and prize lists'³¹³.

Unsurprisingly, Boltanski and Esquerre favour the latter over the former perspective. Hence, they endorse the idea of a constructive *dialogue* between economics and other social-scientific disciplines:

Our main worry was to detach ourselves from the often difficult relations that sociology and anthropology maintain with economics, leading a number of sociologists and anthropologists sometimes to ignore economics (as if there were an autonomy of *relations of symbolic exchanges* with regard to *relations of the exchanges of goods*) [...].³¹⁴

In order to overcome the limitations of such a narrow vision, which is based on a simplistic opposition between *social constructivism* and *economic positivism*³¹⁵, it is – in Boltanski and Esquerre's eyes – necessary to bridge the gap between different epistemic comfort zones. As anticipated above, they set out to achieve this by means of a *pragmatic structuralism*.³¹⁶ One of the noteworthy advantages of such an approach, they argue, is that it 'permits to articulate, at the same time, a *social history* and

³¹² Ibid., p. 108: 'd'une « valeur » ou d'une « évaluation »'.

³¹³ Ibid., p. 108 (italics in original): 'hiérarchies des qualités *via* des classements ou des palmarès'.

³¹⁴ Ibid., p. 15 (italics added): 'Notre souci principal a été de nous dégager des relations souvent difficiles qu'entretiennent la sociologie et l'anthropologie avec l'économie et qui conduisent nombre de sociologues et d'anthropologues tantôt à ignorer l'économie (comme s'il y avait une autonomie des relations d'échanges symboliques par rapport aux relations d'échanges de biens) [...].'

³¹⁵ On this point, see ibid., p. 16: 'positivisme (fréquentes en économie) et [...] constructionnisme (plus fréquentes en sociologie)'.

³¹⁶ On *the concept of 'pragmatic structuralism'* ['structuralisme pragmatique'], see ibid., pp. 16, 495–502, 503, and 522.

an analysis of *cognitive competences* that actors mobilize in order to act^{'317}. Such an explanatory framework studies reality from both a *structural* and a *pragmatic* (or *normative-pragmatic*) point of view.³¹⁸

This twofold project, then, is concerned with both the *systemic* and the *cognitive* components of human life forms in general and of capitalist societies in particular:

- At the *systemic* level, it attempts to shed light on '*structures* in the sense that it examines the *configurations of constraints*, whose interaction produces a *field of forces*'³¹⁹.
- At the *cognitive* level, it sets itself the task of drawing attention to the pivotal civilizational role played by 'the *competences* upon which *actors* draw when they have to *act* '320'.

Thus, Boltanski and Esquerre aim 'to reconcile the use of two types of approaches that are often conceived of as antagonistic'³²¹: the *systems* approach and the *pragmatic* approach.³²²

The former designates a *macro*-sociological undertaking, focusing on sets of *structural relations*, whose influence largely escapes ordinary actors' common-sense perception of reality. The latter refers to a *micro*-sociological venture, which is '*closer to actors*' in that it explores the everyday 'conditions of action and the processes of reflexivity' by which large proportions of their performances are guided. The former requires 'catch-

³¹⁷ Ibid., p. 16 (italics added): '[...] permet d'articuler à la fois une histoire sociale et une analyse des compétences cognitives que les acteurs mettent en œuvre pour agir'.

³¹⁸ See ibid., p. 197: 'à la fois d'un point de vue structural [...] et du point de vue d'une pragmatique [...] d'une « pragmatique normative »'.

³¹⁹ Ibid., p. 189 (italics added): 'des structures au sens où elle prend pour objet des configurations de contraintes dont l'interaction produit un champ de forces'.

³²⁰ Ibid., p. 189 (italics added): 'compétences que les acteurs mettent en œuvre quand ils doivent agir'.

³²¹ Ibid., p. 496: 'concilier le recours à deux types d'approches souvent traitées comme antagonistes'.

³²² See ibid., p. 496: 'l'approche systémique' et 'l'approche pragmatique'.

³²³ Ibid., p. 189 (italics added): 'plus près des acteurs'.

³²⁴ Ibid., p. 189: 'conditions de l'action et les processus de réflexivité'.

all descriptions covering the *long term*¹³²⁵, thereby unearthing – 'in a causal fashion'³²⁶ and at 'a macrohistorical level'³²⁷ – 'the necessity generated by a *set of constraints* within a context of competition'³²⁸. The latter centres on '*reflexive individuals*'³²⁹ and, in many cases, on 'the *decisions* of a small number of actors'³³⁰ when seeking to explain societal trends and tendencies.

The former 'endeavours to shed light on *large-scale* processes'³³¹, scrutinizing the extent to which they are, on a 'systemic scale'³³², permeated by structural asymmetries and power relations.³³³ The latter centres on *small-scale* processes and 'seeks to grasp people's action by analysing the *cognitive* structures that sustain their exchanges'³³⁴. The former places the emphasis on the 'constraints surrounding their field of action'³³⁵, in 'a historical sense'³³⁶ of constant exposure to constellations of variables shaping behavioural, ideological, and institutional elements of human existence. The latter grapples 'not only with actors, but also with the dispositional structures that motivate their actions and give them *meaning*'³³⁷, which cannot be dissociated from the 'tests'³³⁸ [épreuves] they undergo when making judgments about objective, normative, and/or subjective elements of their

³²⁵ Ibid., p. 191 (italics added): 'les descriptions surplombantes portant sur la longue durée'.

³²⁶ Ibid., p. 191: 'de façon causale'.

³²⁷ Ibid., p. 191: 'un niveau macrohistorique'.

³²⁸ Ibid., p. 191 (italics added): 'la nécessité engendrée par un jeu de contraintes dans un contexte de concurrence'.

³²⁹ Ibid., p. 191 (italics added): 'individus réflexifs'.

³³⁰ Ibid., p. 191 (italics added): 'les décisions d'un petit nombre d'acteurs'.

³³¹ Ibid., p. 496 (italics added): 'envisage de mettre en lumière des processus de large ampleur'.

³³² Ibid., p. 496 (italics added): 'l'échelle systémique'.

³³³ See ibid., p. 496: 'les asymétries et les rapports de force'.

³³⁴ Ibid., p. 496 (italics added): 'vise à éclairer l'action des personnes en analysant les structures cognitives qui soutiennent leurs échanges'.

³³⁵ Ibid., p. 496 (italics added): 'des contraintes environnant leur champ d'action'.

³³⁶ Ibid., p. 496: 'un sens historique'.

³³⁷ Ibid., p. 496 (italics added): 'non seulement les acteurs, mais aussi les dispositifs qui motivent leurs actions et leur donnent sens'.

³³⁸ Ibid., p. 496: 'épreuves'. On *Boltanski and Esquerre's emphasis on the crucial role of 'tests' in the construction of the economy*, see also, for instance, Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), p. 68. In addition, see Fraser (2017), p. 60.

lifeworlds. The former takes into consideration 'a multitude of external so-called "social" variables' social by which human practices are influenced or, in extreme cases, even determined. The latter focuses on the *communicative 'coordination between actors'* and, thus, on the more or less *purposeful organization* of their practices.

The project of *pragmatic structuralism*, then, takes into account, on the one hand, 'global determinations'³⁴² of societal developments (at the macro-level) and, on the other hand, 'practical necessities of everyday life'³⁴³ (at the micro-level). It contends that the very possibility of 'critique depends on actors' competence'³⁴⁴ to engage with reality in a cognitive, reflexive, and discursive manner, enabling them to call the legitimacy of social arrangements into question and to shape their practices accordingly.

In short, the conceptual and methodological challenge faced by Boltanski and Esquerre consists in *combining, cross-fertilizing, and integrating macro-sociological structuralism and micro-sociological pragmatism*:

To many people, the expression *pragmatic structuralism* may appear to be a sort of oxymoron. In order to defend its validity, it is imperative to clarify the relationship between *structure and experience*, that is, the relationship between *structure and history*.³⁴⁵

Such an enterprise may permit us to dissolve the 'apparent incompatibility between a structural approach and a pragmatic approach' in

³³⁹ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 497: 'd'une multitude de variables externes dites « sociales »'.

³⁴⁰ Ibid., p. 497 (italics added): 'l'axe des rapports de force et des structures de domination'.

³⁴¹ Ibid., p. 497 (italics added): 'coordination entre des acteurs'.

³⁴² Ibid., p. 495: 'des déterminations globales'.

³⁴³ Ibid., p. 495: 'les exigences pratiques de leur vie quotidienne'.

³⁴⁴ Ibid., p. 495: 'La critique dépend de la compétence d'acteurs'.

³⁴⁵ Ibid., p. 497 (italics added; except for 'structuralisme pragmatique', which appears in italics in the original): 'L'expression du structuralisme pragmatique paraîtra à beaucoup être une sorte d'oxymore. Pour en défendre la validité, il faut clarifier la relation entre structure et expérience, c'est-à-dire la relation entre structure et histoire.'

³⁴⁶ Ibid., p. 497: 'L'apparente incompatibilité entre une approche structurale et

the social sciences. This ambitious undertaking obliges us to recognize both the *historicity of structures* and the *structurality of history*: structures evolve across spatiotemporally contingent contexts, just as history unfolds through the interaction between different layers of structures. Irrespective of whether one considers social, cultural, political, judicial, demographic, or economic dimensions – *all* human life forms are permeated by the convergence of structurality and historicity.

In the social world, the existence of *structures* – notwithstanding their typological specificity – can be regarded 'as a prerequisite and even as a [pre]condition for all *experience*'³⁴⁷. One may even go as far as to suggest that, in the human universe, structures occupy a 'transcendental position'³⁴⁸, given that they are 'anchored in a collective entity'³⁴⁹, such as community or society, whose building blocks are passed from generation to generation by virtue of rituals, traditions, customs, and conventions – that is, by *culture*.³⁵⁰ Social life, then, is sustained by 'circular relations between two levels, that is, "the objective" and "the subjective" '³⁵¹, to which one may add 'the normative'. These realms of existence – that is, (a) *objectivity*, (b) *normativity*, and (c) *subjectivity* – are foundational in the sense that human experience is constituted by all three of them.³⁵²

une approche pragmatique'.

³⁴⁷ Ibid., p. 498 (italics added): 'la structure comme un préalable et même comme une condition de toute expérience'.

³⁴⁸ Ibid., p. 498: 'position transcendantale'.

³⁴⁹ Ibid., p. 498: 'ancrée dans une entité collective'.

³⁵⁰ On this point, see ibid., p. 498: 'des traditions ou des « cultures »'. Cf. Susen (2007), pp. 287–292. Cf. also Sperber (1996).

³⁵¹ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 498: 'des relations circulaires entre deux instances, dites « l'objectif » et le « subjectif »'.

³⁵² On the relationship between the objective, normative, or subjective dimensions of human existence, see, for instance: Susen (2012b), p. 712 (see point c); Susen (2014 [2012]), p. 192 (see point c); Susen (2014c), pp. 349–350 (see point 13); Susen (2015a), pp. 101–103; Susen (2016e); Susen (2016b), pp. 50, 51, 52, 59, 62, 65, 68, 70, 72, 73, and 74; Susen (2016f), pp. 122, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, and 136; Susen (2017a), pp. 139, 140, and 146; Susen (2017c), pp. 104, 115, and 120; Susen (2017d), pp. 109–110; Susen (2017e), pp. 351, 362, 364, and 367; Susen (2017f), pp. 15, 37, 43, 54, 61, 63, 70, 78, 96n431, and 97n449.

Of course, the 'flow of life'³⁵³ can be interrupted when actors are faced with situations of crisis and/or with unexpected circumstances.³⁵⁴ It is in those moments that – instead of relying on implicit, taken-for-granted, and intuitive knowledge – they may be obliged to develop and to draw upon explicit, discursive, and reflexive knowledge. In fact, 'reflexivity, when it detaches itself from experience'³⁵⁵, elevates actors – if only metaphorically – from the conditions in which they find themselves immersed. By virtue of their reflexivity, which is embedded in their linguistic capacity, actors are able to attribute meaning to the world – including 'their previously lived experiences'³⁵⁶ – on the basis of 'language games'³⁵⁷, in which they engage in order to establish a symbolically mediated and hermeneutically informed relationship with their natural and social environment as well as with themselves.

'Both experiences and structures are anchored within the "scheme of existence".'358 In other words, human existence is unimaginable without the confluence of experiential processes and structural constellations. This is not to suggest, however, that actors are always in a position to mobilize

³⁵³ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 498: 'flux de la vie'. In this regard, the relationship between 'pragmatism' and 'sociology' is central. See, for example: Durkheim (1955); Durkheim (1983 [1955]); Durkheim (2010 [1898/1924]). In addition, see, for instance: Baert (2003); Baert and Silva (2013); Baert and Turner (2007); Deledalle (1959); Joas (1984); Karsenti (2012); Lapoujade (1997); Rawls (1997); Susen (2010c).

³⁵⁴ On this point, see Susen (2007), pp. 216 and 241. See also Cordero (2017) and Susen (2017c).

³⁵⁵ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 499 (italics added): 'la réflexivité, quand elle se détache de l'expérience'.

³⁵⁶ Ibid., p. 499: 'expériences vécues antérieures'.

³⁵⁷ Ibid., p. 500: 'jeux de langage'. Cf. Fraser (2017), p. 60 (italics added): 'Value, a Wittgensteinian might say, belongs to the *language game* of justifying and criticizing prices.' On *Boltanski and Esquerre's conception of language*, see, for instance, Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), p. 68. On *the concept of 'language game'*, see also, for example: Susen (2007), pp. 75 and 242; Susen (2011d), pp. 58 and 78; Susen (2012a), pp. 291, 295, and 313; Susen (2013d), pp. 202, 209, 212, 220, 221, 224, 225, 226, and 228; Susen (2013e), pp. 332, 338, 341, 344, and 371; Susen (2013b), p. 85; Susen (2015a), pp. 7, 8, 35, 44, 56, 60, 62, 63, 78, 101, 147, 171, 181, 184, 193, 200, 215, 231, 245, 248, 250, and 280; Susen (2016c), p. 202.

³⁵⁸ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 500 (italics added): 'Expériences et structures sont ancrées, les unes et les autres, dans le « plan d'existence ».'

their cognitive dispositions to attach meaning to their immersion in the world in an *insightful*, let alone *empowering*, fashion. In fact, often human subjects apply cognitive schemes to their experiences that prevent them from relating to and acting upon their environment in a perceptive and self-realizing manner.³⁵⁹ This is particularly the case when they are faced with major changes that force them to confront high degrees of uncertainty.³⁶⁰

One need not be a phenomenologist to acknowledge that people's experiences of reality are shaped by 'what they conceive of as reality'361. *Pragmatic structuralism*, therefore, may be described as a form of *phenomenological structuralism*. For it not only takes people's interpretations of reality seriously, but also seeks to shed light on the extent to which their meaning-generating practices contribute to both the symbolic *and* the material construction of their lifeworlds. While they are 'constantly immersed in the universe of commodities'362, actors contribute to its reproduction by relying on perceptions and misperceptions, conceptions and misconceptions, representations and misrepresentations of that universe.

Human subjects possess 'a tacit competence' based on 'interiorized structures' 64, enabling them 'to orient themselves in the universe of commodities' Regardless of whether one conceptualizes the relationship between subjectivity and objectivity in terms of 'habitus' and 'field' (à la Bourdieu) or in terms of 'competence/experience' and 'structure' (à la Boltanski and Esquerre), the development of the social world rests on the dialectics of internalization and externalization. Without a 'minimal

³⁵⁹ On this point, see ibid., p. 500: '[...] les acteurs appliquent à leurs expériences des schèmes qui sont impuissants à leur ouvrir la voie d'une interprétation permettant la poursuite d'une interaction avec l'environnement'.

³⁶⁰ On this point, see ibid., p. 500: '[...] et cela particulièrement quand la réalité est confrontée à des changements majeurs qui mettent directement l'expérience au contact du monde, c'est-à-dire de l'incertain, voire de l'inconnu'.

³⁶¹ Ibid., p. 9: 'de ce qu'ils conçoivent comme la réalité'.

³⁶² Ibid., p. 9: 'l'univers de la marchandise'.

³⁶³ Ibid., p. 9: 'une compétence tacite'.

³⁶⁴ Ibid., p. 9: 'structures, intériorisées'.

³⁶⁵ Ibid., p. 9: 's'orienter dans l'univers de la marchandise'.

competence'³⁶⁶ to *internalize* key aspects of their positionally structured environments and to *externalize* key aspects of their dispositionally structured bodily apparatus, 'actors would be simply disoriented'³⁶⁷ and incapable of taking part in *any* type of inter- and transactions, including 'economic transactions'³⁶⁸.

To be sure, praxeological capacities may be 'unequally distributed'³⁶⁹ and, hence, asymmetrically structured.³⁷⁰ Notwithstanding their uneven supply among actors, however, the existence of socio-ontological competences – that is, of foundational capacities with which human actors need to be equipped in order to be able to contribute to shaping their life forms – is a precondition for the consolidation of social order.³⁷¹ There is no social 'field of possibles'³⁷² without a series of human competences allowing for the realization of objective, normative, and/or subjective potential.

For Boltanski and Esquerre, then, the unfolding of social life is unthinkable without the pivotal 'role of *discourse*, regardless of whether it takes an *analytical* or a *narrative* form'³⁷³, upon which actors draw in order to attribute *meaning* to their lives and the world by which they are surrounded. In the human universe, power relations are permeated by discursive

³⁶⁶ Ibid., p. 12: 'compétence minimale'.

³⁶⁷ Ibid., p. 12 (translation modified): 'un acteur serait simplement égaré et incapable'.

³⁶⁸ Ibid., p. 12: 'transactions marchandes'.

³⁶⁹ Ibid., p. 109: 'inégalement distribuées'.

³⁷⁰ On this point, see Susen (2013d) and Susen (2013e).

³⁷¹ On this point, see Susen (2007), Chapter 10. See also Susen (2016e).

³⁷² Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 443: 'le champ des possibles'. On this point, see also, for example: Bourdieu (1979a), pp. 52, 55, 122, 230, and 536; Bourdieu (2000 [1997]), p. 151; Bourdieu and Wacquant (1992a), pp. 152–153. In addition, see, for instance: Susen (2007), pp. 174–175 and 244; Susen (2011c), pp. 369 and 385; Susen (2013d), p. 228; Susen (2014c), p. 341; Susen (2014d), p. 690; Susen (2014e), p. 102 and 105; Susen (2014 [2015]), pp. 319, 322, and 325; Susen (2015a), pp. 178–179; Susen (2016a), p. 59; Susen (2017a), pp. 136 and 148n13.

³⁷³ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 497 (italics added): 'rôle du discours, qu'il prenne une forme analytique ou narrative'. On the distinction between 'analytical form' (or 'analytical presentation') and 'narrative form' (or 'narrative presentation'), see also, for example: ibid., pp. 167–170; Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), p. 69.

– or, if one prefers, justificatory or noumenal – practices.³⁷⁴ Thus, 'at the heart of power lies the power to develop a discourse about things'³⁷⁵. In capitalist societies, such a discourse – or, rather, set of discourses – involves the assumption that things have not only a use value, a symbolic value, or possibly a personal value, but also, crucially, an exchange value. Indeed, in any market economy, the worth of a commodity is defined by a combination of types of value, which may be discursively invoked by subjects capable of engaging in purposive processes of interaction and transaction.

In light of the above, there is no point in replacing *pragmatic structuralism* with *systemic structuralism*³⁷⁶ if such a paradigm shift is motivated by the conviction that the only persuasive 'metanarrative'³⁷⁷ is the one that puts systemic-structural constellations at the centre of the social universe. Instead of advocating such a narrow view, Boltanski and Esquerre, while insisting on the structural determinacy of human sociality, stress the vital role played by actors' critical and reflexive capacities.

Limitations

Let us, by way of conclusion, reflect on the *limitations* of Boltanski and Esquerre's analysis. For the sake of clarity, it makes sense to follow the thematic structure of the preceding sections:

1. Boltanski and Esquerre offer a compelling interpretation of the central place *commodities* occupy in capitalist societies. Yet, their inquiry contains

³⁷⁴ See, for instance: Forst (2015a); Forst (2015b); Susen (2018a). In addition, see, for example: Susen (2007); Susen (2008a); Susen (2008b); Susen (2009); Susen (2011a); Susen (2012a); Susen (2012b); Susen (2013d); Susen (2013e); Susen (2014a); Susen (2014e); Susen (2014b); Susen (2016c); Susen (2016e).

³⁷⁵ Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 497 (italics added): 'au cœur du pouvoir, se trouve le pouvoir de développer un discours sur les choses'. On this point, see also, for instance: Foucault (2002 [1966]); Foucault (2002 [1969]); Foucault (1980); Foucault (1988). In addition, see, for example: Habermas (1987 [1985]); Kögler (1996); Kögler (1996 [1992]); Susen (2008a); Susen (2008b); Susen (2013d), esp. pp. 205–208 and 223–225; Susen (2014b), esp. pp. 12–13; Susen (2014e); Susen (2015a), esp. Chapter 2 and pp. 117–118; Susen (2017a); Susen (2018a); Susen (2018c); Susen (2018d); Torfing (1999).

³⁷⁶ On *the concept of 'systemic structuralism'* ['structuralisme systémique'], see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 189–195, 496, and 500–502.

³⁷⁷ Ibid., p. 501: 'grand récit'.

little in the way of a systematic engagement with the question of whether or not there are *non-capitalist commodities* (and, indeed, *non-capitalist forms of commodity fetishism*). If so, a critical approach to reification should identify the qualitative differences between capitalist and non-capitalist commodities. In addition, it remains far from obvious what exactly determines which *type of value* (for instance, use value, exchange value, monetary value, personal value, reputational value, symbolic value, historic value, etc.) constitutes the *preponderant* force in defining the price of a commodity in a *particular* transactional context. Arguably, the worth of a commodity is the result not only of the *confluence of different types of value* but also of the *confluence of objective, normative, and subjective criteria*. A sociology of enrichment needs to provide a comprehensive account of the multifaceted composition of commodity value.

- 2. Boltanski and Esquerre rightly insist on the pivotal role played by *justification and critique* in the construction of prices and values. Yet, they tend to conceive of justification and critique as *system-immanent*, rather than *system-transcendent*, resources.³⁷⁸ The question remains, however, to what extent the normative forces of justification and critique can serve not only *corrective* (and, ultimately, *affirmative*) but also *transformative* (and, potentially, *subversive*) functions, enabling actors to challenge and, if required, to alter the rules of the economic game in a *radical* manner.
- 3. Boltanski and Esquerre draw a *distinction between 'things' and 'persons'*, which is crucial to the values attached to the lifespan of objects and subjects. It is striking, however, that they fail to reflect on the degree to which *traditional boundaries* such as those established between 'the non-human' and 'the human', 'the natural' and 'the cultural', 'the material' and 'the symbolic' are *increasingly blurred*. Given the interconnectedness of integral elements of the world, it appears that classical conceptual dichotomies do not capture the ontological

³⁷⁸ On this point, see Fraser (2017), p. 58: 'critique today is weak and disabled, its "artistic" strand recuperated and its "social" strand disoriented by a new type of capitalism'. On this issue, see also Boltanski and Chiapello (1999). In addition, see Boltanski (2009a), Susen (2012b), and Susen (2014 [2012]).

intertwinement of constitutive facets of our existence. It would have been interesting to scrutinize the extent to which, paradoxically, the rise of the enrichment economy has contributed to *both* the hardening *and* the blurring of traditional boundaries – not least because those participating in its exchange chains may use *eclectic* valorization criteria, which, in many cases, defy the stifling logic of semantic enclosure.

- Boltanski and Esquerre offer an astute assessment of the social conditions experienced by cultural workers in the economy of enrichment, emphasizing that their cultural capital, which forms the basis of their commercial competences, is essential to their relative success or failure. It is noticeable, however, that their investigation does not entail a thorough consideration of other forms of capital that also determine the asymmetrical positioning of actors in the economy of enrichment. In stratified societies, power relations are shaped by access to, engagement with, and employment of numerous forms of capital: social capital, cultural capital, educational capital, linguistic capital, political capital, economic capital, erotic capital, reputational capital, symbolic capital - to mention only a few.³⁷⁹ A critical sociology of enrichment needs to expose the extent to which, in terms of both opportunity and outcome, commodified exchange mechanisms are influenced by various types of capital, representing the multidimensional resources with which interconnected actors are equipped.
- 5. Boltanski and Esquerre give an insightful account of the spatiotem-poral contingency permeating the *critique of capitalism*, which reached different levels of intensity and radicality in different historical contexts. As they point out, it climaxed during the 1968 protests, but it was significantly weakened by the collapse of state socialism in 1989/1990. The systemic capacity of capitalism to overcome crisis must not be underestimated, as illustrated in the hegemonic influence of neoliberal regimes of governance across the world. What the two French sociologists have not looked into, however, is the degree to

³⁷⁹ On this point, see, for example: Bourdieu (1975b); Bourdieu (1979b); Bour-

which *new ideological narratives* may evolve in the medium-term future, permitting individual and collective actors not only to call the seemingly triumphant status of global capitalism into question, but also to provide a credible alternative. The 'new spirit of capitalism'³⁸⁰ may require a 'new spirit of anti- and/or post-capitalism'³⁸¹ to convert the economy of enrichment into a sphere of exchanges available to the many, rather than the few. And yet, it is far from clear how the creative energy, resourceful capacities, and cross-sectional potential of the 'multitude of actors'³⁸² can be mobilized in order to demonstrate that there *is* an alternative to the global hegemony of capitalism.

A broader problem arising in this regard relates to the question of whether or not Boltanski and Esquerre *overstate* the impact of enrichment economies – not only on social practices and structures, but also on collective struggles and antagonisms in the twenty-first century. They tend to *overestimate* the influence of the 'collection form' (enrichment economies) and to *underestimate* the influence of the 'standard form' (industrial economies), the 'trend form' (fashion economies),

- 380 See Boltanski and Chiapello (1999). On this point, see also, for instance: Boltanski, Rennes, and Susen (2010); Chiapello and Fairclough (2002); Fairclough (2002); Gadrey, Hatchuel, Boltanski, and Chiapello (2001); Susen (2012b); Susen (2012a); Susen (2015a), p. 201; Turner (2007).
- 381 On this point, see, for example: Browne and Susen (2014); Byrne (2012); Calhoun (2012); Castells (2011); Castells (2012); della Porta, Andretta, Mosca, and Reiter (2006); Holloway (1998); Holloway (2003); Holloway (2005 [2002]); Holloway (2010); Holloway, Matamoros Ponce, and Tischler Visquerra (2009); Holloway and Susen (2013); McDonald (2006); Pleyers (2010); Santos (2006); Streeck (2011); Susen (2008a); Susen (2008b); Susen (2010a); Susen (2012a); Taibo (2011); Torres López, Garzón, Ortega, Almenara, Roitman, and Tuduri (2011); Velasco (2011).
- 382 Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), p. 485: 'multitude d'acteurs'.

dieu (1986); Bourdieu (2013 [1978]). See also, for instance: Albrecht (2002); Aldridge (1998); Beasley-Murray (2000); Calhoun (1995); Gouanvic (2005); Hakim (2011); Hakim (2012); Herz (1996); Neveu (2013); Reay (2004); Robbins (2005); Shilling (2004); Sullivan (2001); Susen (2007), chapters 5–8; Susen (2011b), pp. 181, 194, and 195; Susen (2011c), pp. 368, 369, 370, 372, 384, 386, 387, 389, 390, 392, 403, 406, 408, and 409; Susen (2013d), pp. 210, 214–215, 219, 222, 226, and 229; Susen (2013e), pp. 324–325, 329, 349, 354, 370, and 371; Susen (2016a); Susen (2014e), pp. 105 and 107; Susen (2016b), pp. 53 and 71; Susen (2017a), pp. 135, 141–142, 143, and 146; Susen and Turner (2011), pp. xix, xxiii, and xxvi; Swain (2003); Urban (2003); Verter (2003); Wacquant (2004 [1997]); Wacquant (2013).

and the 'asset form' (financial economies) on contemporary societies. Granted, they rightly insist that these four principal forms of valorization hold sway in 'integral capitalism'³⁸³. This does not absolve them from the task of recognizing, however, that *all four* play a vital role in shaping power dynamics in advanced capitalist societies. The asymmetrical distribution of power under 'integral capitalism' hinges not *only* on 'exploitation through enrichment', but *also* on 'homogenization through industrialization', 'normalization through fashionization', and 'expropriation through financialization'.³⁸⁴ The sociological 'critique of commodities'³⁸⁵, in order to be genuinely comprehensive, should avoid giving undue weight to one dimension, at the expense of acknowledging the far-reaching significance of the others.

6. Boltanski and Esquerre's study demonstrates that the *contradiction* between authenticity and inauthenticity lies at the core of the enrichment economy. This contradiction is reflected in the tension between 'anti-market' attitudes and 'pro-market' actions. It may (or may not) be possible to bridge the gap between, on the one hand, the pursuit of authenticity, market-transcendence, and ends-in-themselves and, on the other hand, the reality of inauthenticity, market-dependence, and meansto-an-end. Irrespective of whether or not one considers the task of resolving this discrepancy a worthwhile endeavour, however, a more fundamental issue concerns the very distinction between 'authenticity' and 'inauthenticity'. The term 'authenticity' is commonly

³⁸³ On *the concept of 'integral capitalism'*, see, for example: ibid., pp. 26, 375, 399–400, and 566; Boltanski and Esquerre (2017b), pp. 68 and 73–75.

³⁸⁴ For instance, Fraser criticizes Boltanski and Esquerre for, in her view, failing to shed light on the 'unacknowledged *asymmetry* between *finance* on the one hand, and *industry* and *enrichment* on the other'; see Fraser (2017), pp. 63–64 (italics added). See also ibid., p. 64 (italics in original): 'Whereas exploitation through enrichment must remain a relatively restricted, even provincial concern, *expropriation through financialization* is potentially of very broad interest.' On this point, see also Fraser (2016) and Lapavitsas (2013). In addition, one may ask to what extent Boltanski and Esquerre's account applies more to some countries (e.g. France, possibly also Italy and Spain) than to others (e.g. Germany, whose economy continues to have a strong manufacturing base).

³⁸⁵ See the subtitle of Boltanski and Esquerre's book: 'Une critique de la marchandise'; see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a).

employed to designate the genuineness of physical attributes (objective level), social constellations (normative level), and/or personal intentions (subjective level). Hence, words such as 'originality', 'legitimacy', and 'sincerity' are often used to describe particular states of 'authenticity'. One need not be an Adornian philosopher to be suspicious of 'the jargon of authenticity'386. Regardless of whether or not one shares Adorno's critique of Heidegger's obsession with 'authenticity' [Eigentlichkeit], it is somewhat disappointing that Boltanski and Esquerre's book does not contain a concise definition, let alone a detailed explanation and an in-depth discussion, of this term.³⁸⁷ The experience of authenticity may be enriching, just as the experience of enrichment may be authentic. Given the pivotal role that the relationship between 'authenticity' and 'enrichment' plays in Boltanski and Esquerre's inquiry, their readers deserve to know what exactly the authors have in mind when attributing a special place to both the latter and the former in their investigation. One may allude to objective criteria (realism), normative criteria (constructivism), and/or subjective criteria (perspectivism) when making a claim about the (in)authenticity of something or somebody. A critical sociology of enrichment needs to flesh out the various (mis)understandings of (in)authenticity, in order to shed light on the wide-ranging parameters defining value creation in capitalist societies.

7. For Boltanski and Esquerre, a resource that is of supreme importance to the economy of enrichment is *the past*. Historicity constitutes a key factor in the valorization of luxury goods: the older a product, the higher its symbolic and monetary value. Unlike most industrial

³⁸⁶ Adorno (2003 [1964]).

³⁸⁷ On *Boltanski and Esquerre's use of the term 'authenticity'*, see Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a), pp. 31, 34, 174, 209, 265, 268, 269, 283, 302, 331, 337, 341, 363, 393, 568, and 596. On *the concept of 'authenticity'*, see, for example: Adorno (2003 [1964]); Cooke (1997); Feldman (2015); Fleming (2009); Lindholm (2008); Varga (2012). See also, for instance: Susen (2007), pp. 109, 139, and 184; Susen (2010c), p. 75; Susen (2011d), pp. 60–61 and 70; Susen (2012a), pp. 311 and 325*n*170; Susen (2013e), p. 358; Susen (2015a), pp. 41, 42, 99, 230, 245, 259, 264; Susen (2016a), pp. 54, 73, and 95; Susen (2016b), p. 74; Susen (2016f), pp. 124, 125, 129, 135, and 136.

items, which tend to lose value over time, goods traded in the economy of enrichment tend to gain worth the longer they have existed. A central issue that Boltanski and Esquerre could have examined in more detail, however, concerns the ways in which goods can be situated simultaneously in (a) industrial economies of 'standard forms', (b) enrichment economies of 'collection forms', (c) fashion economies of 'trend forms', and (d) financial economies of 'asset forms'. The values attributed to an item may differ across 'form-specific' economies and across spatiotemporal contexts. To be precise, the set of values attached to a product is contingent not only on its historicity (that is, on how long it has been used for), but also on its socio-geographic location (that is, on where it is being used and where it is being sold). 388 The past of a product may either increase or decrease its value, depending not only on its objective properties (realism), but also on the ways in which its worth is normatively assembled by members of cultural communities (constructivism) and/or subjectively perceived by performatively engaged individuals (perspectivism). In brief, it is not always obvious in which particular economy a product is placed, let alone by which set of criteria its value can, or should, be judged.

8. Boltanski and Esquerre highlight the *stratified constitution* of society. In this respect, the divisive function of *social hierarchies* constructed around conceptual *binaries* is crucial: 'rich' vs. 'poor' (class), 'male' vs. 'female' (gender), 'white' vs. 'non-white' (ethnicity), 'young' vs. 'old' (age), and 'abled' vs. 'disabled' (ability) – to mention only a few. Power relations – which, in their asymmetrical forms, can be converted into modes of domination – permeate the *multiple* ways in which different types of wealth are distributed. It is not self-evident, however, *why* human societies produce inequalities of different kinds, let alone *why* most of them generate binary patterns of material and symbolic differentiation, on the basis of which structural asymmetries are

³⁸⁸ For instance, an old Chevrolet may have (a) a *high use value*, (b) a *medium exchange value*, and (c) a *low symbolic value* in twenty-first-century Havana, but (a) a *low use value*, (b) a *medium exchange value*, and (c) a *high symbolic value* in post-1989 Dresden.

justified. Constructivist truisms (such as 'anything that can be *con*structed can be *de*constructed and *re*constructed'³⁸⁹) will not get us
very far in minimizing the detrimental effects, let alone in grasping
the origins, of social inequality. Boltanski and Esquerre's interdisciplinary spirit, which seeks to combine insights form various areas
of research in the *humanities and social sciences*, has to be extended to
knowledge produced in the *natural sciences*, in order to demonstrate
how social inequality can be not only theoretically explained but also
practically challenged by recognizing the *multiple factors* shaping its
existence.³⁹⁰

9. Boltanski and Esquerre's study is a powerful reminder of the deep ambivalence of the modern condition. For modern life forms are characterized by the contradictory confluence of positive and negative, bright and dark, empowering and disempowering dimensions. Experiences of alienation, anonymity, and anomie belong to the condition of modernity no less than experiences of fulfilment, connectedness, and community. The sociologically more difficult question, however, is to what degree these tension-laden experiences are integral to all technologically advanced large-scale societies. Admittedly, different societies are separated by significant behavioural, ideological, and institutional specificities. If all of them – in particular, capitalist and state-socialist formations - are marked by the aforementioned confluence of empowering and disempowering experiences, a key task for critical sociologists consists in identifying not only the necessary conditions for human self-realization, but also the root causes behind the emergence of social pathologies. The uncomfortable truth to which we may have to face up, then, is that many of the rather unpleasant aspects of social reality, far from being reducible to historically contingent consequences of modernity, are built into the human condition.

³⁸⁹ On this point, see, for example: Susen (2007), pp. 174–175; Susen (2015a), pp. 4, 42, 67, 78, 106, 111, 146, 167–169, 173, 182, 201, 243, 269, 271, and 275.

³⁹⁰ On this point, see, for example, Pinker (2002). See also Flannery and Marcus (2012) as well as Price and Feinman (2010).

- 10. Boltanski and Esquerre give a solid account of the separation between markets of *mass production* and markets of *restricted production*. At least three questions arise when assessing the validity of their analysis:
 - (a) To what extent are the boundaries between these two spheres of production increasingly blurred?
 - (b) To what extent have more and more goods of 'markets of restricted production' become part of 'markets of mass production' (and/or vice versa)?
 - (c) To what extent would the separation between these two spheres continue (or cease) to exist in a post-capitalist world?

The point is not to overlook the fact that the economy of enrichment constitutes a sphere of material and symbolic exchanges. Rather, the point is to acknowledge that enrichment economies have existed long *before* the rise of modern capitalism (and, arguably, would continue to exist in a post-capitalist era), adjusting to different historical circumstances, including constantly evolving modes of production. Insofar as consumers' purchasing power increases over time, more and more sectors of society will be able to participate in the construction of the enrichment economy. This is not to suggest that one day it will be sufficiently widespread to colonize the sphere of economic exchanges to such an extent that it will effectively become the market of mass production *par excellence*. Rather, this is to recognize that, in the future, it may not retain the spirit of 'exclusivity' that Boltanski and Esquerre appear to attach to the *contemporary* enrichment economy.

11. Boltanski and Esquerre touch upon *central issues in the sociological analysis of capitalism*, especially in terms of paradigmatic tensions such as the following: (a) 'state capitalism' vs. 'private enterprise capitalism', (b) 'collectivization' vs. 'individualization', (c) 'commodification' vs. 'non-commodification', and (d) 'human' vs. 'non-human'. An important matter to which they pay hardly any attention, however, is the problem of *intersectionality*. It is difficult, if not impossible, to make sense of power relations in capitalist so-

cieties without scrutinizing their intersectional constitution, emanating from multiple sociological variables - notably class, ethnicity, gender, age, and ability.³⁹¹ Indeed, the aforementioned tensions - between (a) 'the public' and 'the private', (b) 'the collective' and 'the individual', (c) 'the commodified' and 'the non-commodified', (d) 'the human' and 'the non-human' - are pervaded by the intersectional, rather than monolithic, constitution of power relations.³⁹² It would have been interesting to explore to what degree and in what ways intersectionally constituted power dynamics shape the economy of enrichment. In any capitalist society, processes of production, distribution, circulation, and consumption are based on people's asymmetrically structured access to material, symbolic, and financial resources – that is, on the intersectional interplay between foundational sociological variables (such as class, ethnicity, gender, age, and ability). In this respect, the economy of enrichment is no exception.

12. Boltanski and Esquerre's theoretical framework, which they label *pragmatic structuralism*, is arguably the most disappointing aspect of their book. On several levels, this undertaking can be regarded as a synoptic repetition of Boltanski's previous attempt to reconcile his *sociology of critique* with Bourdieu's *critical sociology*:³⁹³

³⁹¹ On the problem of 'intersectionality', see, for example: Chow, Segal, and Tan (2011); Das Nair and Butler (2012); Doetsch-Kidder (2012); Fraser and Nicholson (1994 [1988]); Grabham (2009); Krizsán, Skjeie, and Squires (2012); Lutz, Herrera Vivar, and Supik (2011); Lykke (2010); MacDonald, Osborne, and Smith (2005); Nicholson (1990); Oliver, Flamez, and McNichols (2011); Susen (2012b), p. 716; Susen (2012a), pp. 284 and 290; Susen (2015a), pp. 9, 36, 71, 91, 109, 110, 111, 173, 184, 185, 186, 200, 201, 208, 220, 263, 280, 302n187, and 320n58; Susen (2016f), pp. 136–137; Taylor, Hines, and Casey (2011); Young (1994 [1989]); Young (1997).

³⁹² Cf. Fraser's perceptive remark that, in the contemporary world, we are confronted with 'a *congeries of different economies* – or, as I would prefer to call them, of different economic sectors, *each possessing its own form of value, mode of exploitation, and potential for conflict*'; see Fraser (2017), p. 63 (italics added). Arguably, this insight is relevant to the analysis of other – non-economic – social fields, whose relatively autonomous, and yet interconnected, logics of functioning can be studied in *intersectionalist* terms.

³⁹³ On the controversial relationship between 'critical sociology' and the 'sociology of critique', see, for instance: Bénatouïl (1999a); Bénatouïl (1999b); Callinicos

- The former may be characterized as *pragmatic*, in the sense that it seeks to take ordinary actors seriously, acknowledging that they are equipped with fundamental socio-ontological especially critical and reflexive capacities.
- The latter may be called *structural*, in the sense that it insists on the preponderant force of underlying power constellations, by which agents are largely determined, but whose ubiquitous influence largely escapes their doxic perception of the world.

One may go a step further by contending that the entire history of sociology is marked by the attempt to co-articulate – or even to reconcile and to cross-fertilize – pragmatist and structuralist approaches. Debates on core sociological dichotomies³⁹⁴ – such as 'interpretivism' vs. 'positivism', 'subjectivism' vs. 'objectivism', 'voluntarism' vs. 'determinism', 'methodological individualism' vs. 'social holism' – are intimately related to the paradigmatic opposition 'pragmatism' vs. 'structuralism'. It is far from obvious what Boltanski and Esquerre have contributed to these scholarly disputes in a genuinely original and thought-provoking manner. More importantly, unsympathetic readers may question whether Boltanski and Esquerre's pragmatic structuralism informs their analysis of the enrichment economy in an illuminating fashion. Unless it is possible to demonstrate that their theoretical framework permits them to make sense of their empirical data in a way that would not have been possible otherwise, it remains difficult to make a convincing case for its usefulness.

(2006), pp. 4–5, 15, 51–82, and 155–156; Celikates (2009), pp. 136–157; de Blic and Mouchard (2000a); de Blic and Mouchard (2000b); Frère (2004), esp. pp. 92–93 and 97n4; Nachi (2006), esp. pp. 188–189; Nachi (2014); Robbins (2014); Susen (2007), pp. 223–224, 227n25, 228n50, 229n51, 229n52, and 271n24; Susen (2014e); Susen (2014 [2015]); Susen (2015c); Susen (2016c); Susen (2017e), pp. 355–356; Wagner (1999); Wagner (2000). On this debate, see also, for example: Boltanski (1990a), pp. 9–134; Boltanski (1990b), pp. 124–134; Boltanski (1998), pp. 248–253; Boltanski and Chiapello (1999), pp. 303–311; Boltanski (2002), pp. 276–281 and 281–284; Boltanski (2003), pp. 153–161; Boltanski (2008); Boltanski (2009a), esp. pp. 39–82; Boltanski and Chiapello (1999), esp. pp. 633–640; Boltanski and Honneth (2009), pp. 81–86, 92–96, and 100–114; Boltanski, Rennes, and Susen (2010), pp. 152–154 and 160–162; Boltanski and Thévenot (1991), pp. 40, 41–43, 43–46, and 265–270; Boltanski and Thévenot (1999), pp. 364–365.

On a concluding note, it seems appropriate to consider the following observation:

In choosing the term 'form' [rather than 'spirit'] to name the concept through which they identify and analyze capitalism's different 'economies', Boltanski and Esquerre signal that they have shifted the plane of analysis from the subjective-motivational-ethical level [...] to the structural-institutional level [...]. 395

In Boltanski and Esquerre's defence, it must be said, however, that one of the principal aims of their project is to *co-articulate* these two levels of analysis, rather than to shift the emphasis from the former to the latter. In this sense, their 'two-level conception of capitalist society [...] encompasses both "spirit" and "form" taking into account both the 'subjective-motivational-ethical' conditions (à la Weber) and the 'objective-structural-institutional' conditions (à la Marx) by which economic processes are shaped. This comprehensive diagnostic focus, especially given the breadth and the depth of Boltanski and Esquerre's study, is a major intellectual achievement, for which the authors are to be commended.

Note

All in-text translations of passages from Boltanski and Esquerre (2017a) are mine.

References

Abercrombie, Nicholas, Stephen Hill, and Bryan S. Turner (1980) *The Dominant Ideology Thesis*, London: Allen & Unwin.

Abercrombie, Nicholas, Stephen Hill, and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) (1990) *Dominant Ideologies*, London: Unwin Hyman.

Addi, Lahouari (2001) 'Violence symbolique et statut du politique chez Bourdieu', *Revue française de science politique* 51(6): 949–964.

Adkins, Lisa (2011) 'Practice as Temporalisation: Bourdieu and Economic Crisis', in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Legacy of Pierre Bourdieu: Critical Essays*, London: Anthem Press, pp. 347–365.

³⁹⁵ Fraser (2017), p. 59 (italics added).

³⁹⁶ Ibid., p. 62 (italics added).

- Adkins, Lisa (2014) 'Luc Boltanski and the Problem of Time: Notes towards a Pragmatic Sociology of the Future', in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Spirit of Luc Boltanski: Essays on the 'Pragmatic Sociology of Critique'*, London: Anthem Press, pp. 517–538.
- Adorno, Theodor W. (1973 [1966]) *Negative Dialectics*, trans. E. B. Ashton, London: Routledge.
- Adorno, Theodor W. (1991) *The Culture Industry: Selected Essays on Mass Culture*, trans. Anson G. Rabinbach, edited with an introduction by J. M. Bernstein, London: Routledge.
- Adorno, Theodor W. (1997 [1970]) *Aesthetic Theory*, trans. Robert Hullot-Kentor, London: Athlone Press.
- Adorno, Theodor W. (2003 [1964]) *The Jargon of Authenticity*, trans. Knut Tarnowski and Frederic Will, London: Routledge.
- Adorno, Theodor W. and Max Horkheimer (1997 [1944/1969]) 'The Culture Industry: Enlightenment as Mass Deception', in Theodor W. Adorno and Max Horkheimer, *The Dialectic of Enlightenment*, trans. John Cumming, London: Verso, pp. 120–167.
- Albrecht, Steffen (2002) 'Netzwerke als Kapital: Zur unterschätzten Bedeutung des sozialen Kapitals für die gesellschaftliche Reproduktion', in Jörg Ebrecht and Frank Hillebrandt (eds.) *Bourdieus Theorie der Praxis: Erklärungskraft Anwendung Perspektiven*, Wiesbaden: Westdeutscher Verlag, pp. 199–224.
- Aldridge, Alan (1998) 'Habitus and Cultural Capital in the Field of Personal Finance', The Sociological Review 46(1): 1–23.
- Amin-Khan, Tariq (2012) *The Post-Colonial State in the Era of Capitalist Globalization: Historical, Political and Theoretical Approaches to State Formation*, New York: Routledge.
- Antonio, Robert J. (1989) 'The Normative Foundations of Emancipatory Theory: Evolutionary versus Pragmatic Perspectives', *American Journal of Sociology* 94(4): 721–748.
- Apel, Karl-Otto (ed.) (1971) *Hermeneutik und Ideologiekritik*, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Ashford, Nicholas Askounes and Ralph P. Hall (2011) *Technology, Globalization, and Sustainable Development: Transforming the Industrial State,* New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press.
- Baert, Patrick (2003) 'Pragmatism versus Sociological Hermeneutics', Current Perspectives in Social Theory 22: 349–365.
- Baert, Patrick (2017) 'The Existentialist Moment Defended: A Reply to Simon Susen', in Simon Susen and Patrick Baert, The Sociology of Intellectuals: After 'The Existentialist Moment', Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 123–146.

- Baert, Patrick and Filipe Carreira da Silva (2013) 'Pragmatism Defended: A Reply to Simon Susen', *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 14(1): 102–107.
- Baert, Patrick and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) (2007) *Pragmatism and European Social Theory*, Oxford: Bardwell Press.
- Baraith, Roop Singh and Damyanti Gupta (eds.) (2010) *State and Globalization*, Jaipur: Rawat Publications.
- Baudrillard, Jean (1968) Le système des objets, Paris: Gallimard.
- Bauman, Zygmunt (1991) Modernity and Ambivalence, Cambridge: Polity.
- Bauman, Zygmunt and Keith Tester (2007) 'On the Postmodernism Debate', in Pelagia Goulimari (ed.) *Postmodernism. What Moment?*, Manchester: Manchester University Press, pp. 22–31.
- Bautista, Juan José (1987) 'Realidad, práctica social y construcción del conocimiento: en torno del problema del compromiso intelectual', *Revista Mexicana de Sociología* XLIX(1): 109–141.
- Beasley-Murray, Jon (2000) 'Value and Capital in Bourdieu and Marx', in Nicholas Brown and Imre Szeman (eds.) *Pierre Bourdieu: Fieldwork in Culture*, Boston: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, pp. 100–119.
- Behrens, Roger (2002) Kritische Theorie, Hamburg: Europäische Verlagsanstalt.
- Bénatouïl, Thomas (1999a) 'Critique et pragmatique en sociologie. Quelques principes de lecture', *Annales HSS* 2, Mars–Avril: 281–317.
- Bénatouil, Thomas (1999b) 'A Tale of Two Sociologies: The Critical and the Pragmatic Stance in Contemporary French Sociology', *European Journal of Social Theory* 2(3): 379–396.
- Benhabib, Seyla (1986) *Critique, Norm, and Utopia: A Study of the Foundations of Critical Theory,* New York: Columbia University Press.
- Benjamin, Walter (2008) *The Work of Art in the Age of Its Technological Re- producibility, and Other Writings on Media*, edited by Michael W. Jennings, Brigid Doherty, Thomas Y. Levin, translated by Edmund Jephcott, Rodney Livingstone, Howard Eiland, and others, Cambridge, Mass.: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Bensussan, Gérard (1982) 'Émancipation', in Gérard Bensussan and Georges Labica (eds.) *Dictionnaire critique du marxisme*, Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, pp. 382–384.
- Berberoglu, Berch (ed.) (2010) *Globalization in the 21st Century: Labor, Capital, and the State on a World Scale, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.*
- Bettahar, Yamina and Marie-Jeanne Choffel-Mailfert (eds.) (2014) *Les universités au risque de l'histoire. Principes, configurations, modèles,* Nancy: Presses Universitaires de Lorraine.
- Bhaskar, Roy (1998) 'Facts and Values: Theory and Practice / Reason

- and the Dialectic of Human Emancipation / Depth, Rationality and Change', in Margaret Archer, Roy Bhaskar, Andrew Collier, Tony Lawson, and Alan Norrie (eds.) *Critical Realism: Essential Readings*, London: Routledge, pp. 409–443.
- Blackburn, R. J. (2000) 'The Philosophy of Historiography?', *History and Theory* 39(2): 263–272.
- Blokker, Paul and Andrea Brighenti (2011) 'Politics between Justification and Defiance', *European Journal of Social Theory* 14(3): 359–377.
- Boltanski, Luc (1975) 'Pouvoir et impuissance : projet intellectuel et sexualité dans le Journal d'Amiel', *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* 1(5–6): 80–108.
- Boltanski, Luc (1990a) L'amour et la justice comme compétences, Paris: Métailié.
- Boltanski, Luc (1990b) 'Sociologie critique et sociologie de la critique', *Politix* 10–11: 124–134.
- Boltanski, Luc (1998) 'Critique sociale et sens moral. Pour une sociologie du jugement', in Tetsuji Yamamoto (ed.) *Philosophical Designs for a Socio-Cultural Transformation: Beyond Violence and the Modern Era*, Tokyo; Boulder, CO: École des Hautes Études en Sciences Culturelles; Rowman & Littlefield, pp. 248–273.
- Boltanski, Luc (2002) 'Nécessité et justification', *Revue économique* 53(2): 275–289.
- Boltanski, Luc (2003) 'Usages faibles, usages forts de l'habitus', in Pierre Encrevé and Rose-Marie Lagrave (eds.) *Travailler avec Bourdieu*, Paris: Flammarion, pp. 153–161.
- Boltanski, Luc (2008) Rendre la réalité inacceptable. À propos de « La production de l'idéologie dominante », Paris: Demopolis.
- Boltanski, Luc (2009a) *De la critique. Précis de sociologie de l'émancipation,* Paris: Gallimard.
- Boltanski, Luc (2009b) 'Autour de *De la justification*. Un parcours dans le domaine de la sociologie morale', in Marc Breviglieri, Claudette Lafaye, and Danny Trom (eds.) *Compétences critiques et sens de la justice*, Paris: Economica, pp. 15–35.
- Boltanski, Luc and Eve Chiapello (1999) *Le nouvel esprit du capitalisme*, Paris: Gallimard.
- Boltanski, Luc and Arnaud Esquerre (2014a) *Vers l'extrême. Extension des domaines de la droite*, Bellevaux: Éditions Dehors.
- Boltanski, Luc and Arnaud Esquerre (2014b) 'La « collection », une forme neuve du capitalisme. La mise en valeur économique du passé et ses effets', *Les Temps Modernes* 679: 5–72.
- Boltanski, Luc and Arnaud Esquerre (2016) 'The Economic Life of Things:

- Commodities, Collectibles, Assets', New Left Review 98: 31–56.
- Boltanski, Luc and Arnaud Esquerre (2017a) *Enrichissement. Une critique de la marchandise*, Paris: Gallimard.
- Boltanski, Luc and Arnaud Esquerre (2017b) 'Enrichment, Profit, Critique: A Rejoinder to Nancy Fraser', New Left Review 106: 67–76.
- Boltanski, Luc, Arnaud Esquerre, and Fabian Muniesa (2015) 'Grappling with the Economy of Enrichment', *Valuation Studies* 3(1): 75–83.
- Boltanski, Luc and Axel Honneth (2009) 'Soziologie der Kritik oder Kritische Theorie? Ein Gespräch mit Robin Celikates', in Rahel Jaeggi and Tilo Wesche (eds.) *Was ist Kritik?*, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, pp. 81–114.
- Boltanski, Luc, Axel Honneth, and Robin Celikates (2014 [2009]) 'Sociology of Critique or Critical Theory? Luc Boltanski and Axel Honneth in Conversation with Robin Celikates', in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Spirit of Luc Boltanski: Essays on the 'Pragmatic Sociology of Critique'*, trans. Simon Susen, London: Anthem Press, pp. 561–589.
- Boltanski, Luc, Juliette Rennes, and Simon Susen (2010) 'La fragilité de la réalité. Entretien avec Luc Boltanski. Propos recueillis par Juliette Rennes et Simon Susen', *Mouvements* 64: 151–166.
- Boltanski, Luc and Laurent Thévenot (1991) *De la justification. Les économies de la grandeur*, Paris: Gallimard.
- Boltanski, Luc and Laurent Thévenot (1999) 'The Sociology of Critical Capacity', *European Journal of Social Theory* 2(3): 359–377.
- Boltanski, Luc and Laurent Thévenot (2006 [1991]) *On Justification: Economies of Worth*, trans. Catherine Porter, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Bonefeld, Werner, Richard Gunn, John Holloway, and Kosmas Psychopedis (eds.) (1995) *Open Marxism, Volume III: Emancipating Marx*, London: Pluto Press.
- Borch, Christian (2012) *The Politics of Crowds: An Alternative History of Sociology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Borghi, Vando (2011) 'One-Way Europe? Institutional Guidelines, Emerging Regimes of Justification, and Paradoxical Turns in European Welfare Capitalism', *European Journal of Social Theory* 14(3): 321–341.
- Boron, Atilio A. (1999) 'A Social Theory for the 21st Century?', *Current Sociology* 47(4): 47–64.
- Boschetti, Anna (1985) Sartre et « Les Temps modernes ». Une entreprise intellectuelle, Paris: Minuit.
- Boschetti, Anna (1988 [1985]) *The Intellectual Enterprise. Sartre and 'Les temps modernes'*, trans. Richard McCleary, Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press.

- Böss, Michael (ed.) (2010) *The Nation-State in Transformation: Economic Globalisation, Institutional Mediation and Political Values*, Århus: Aarhus University Press.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1968) 'Éléments d'une théorie sociologique de la perception artistique', *Revue internationale des sciences sociales* 20(4): 640–664.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1971) 'Une interprétation de la théorie de la religion selon Max Weber', *Archives européennes de sociologie* 12(1): 3–21.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1975a) 'L'invention de la vie d'artiste', *Actes de la re-cherche en sciences sociales* 2: 67–93.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1975b) 'La lecture de Marx. Quelques remarques critiques à propos de « Quelques remarques critiques à propos de 'Lire le capital' »', *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* 5–6: 65–79.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1977) 'La production de la croyance : contribution à une économie des biens symboliques', *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* 13: 3–43.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1979a) *La distinction. Critique sociale du jugement,* Paris: Éditions de Minuit.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1979b) 'Les trois états du capital culturel', *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* 30: 3–6.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1980) Le sens pratique, Paris: Minuit.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1982a) Leçon sur la leçon, Paris: Minuit.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1982b) 'L'économie des échanges linguistiques', in Pierre Bourdieu, *Ce que parler veut dire. L'économie des échanges linguistiques*, Paris: Fayard, pp. 11–21.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1982c) La production et la reproduction de la langue légitime, in Pierre Bourdieu, Ce que parler veut dire. L'économie des échanges linguistiques, Paris: Fayard, pp. 23–58.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1984a) 'Espace social et genèse des « classes »', *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* 52–53: 3–14.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1984b) 'Le hit-parade des intellectuels français ou qui sera juge de la légitimité des juges ?', *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* 52–53: 95–100.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1986) 'The Forms of Capital', in John C. Richardson (ed.) *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education*, New York: Greenwood, pp. 241–258.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1992) *Language and Symbolic Power*, edited and introduced by John B. Thompson, translated by Gino Raymond and Matthew Adamson, Cambridge: Polity.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1992 [1977]) 'On Symbolic Power', in Pierre Bourdieu, Language and Symbolic Power, edited and introduced by John B.

- Thompson, translated by Gino Raymond and Matthew Adamson, Cambridge: Polity, pp. 163–170.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1993) 'Concluding Remarks: For a Sociogenetic Understanding of Intellectual Works', in Craig Calhoun, Edward LiPuma, and Moishe Postone (eds.) *Bourdieu: Critical Perspectives*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, pp. 263–275.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1993 [1984]-a) 'Are Intellectuals Out of Play?', in Pierre Bourdieu, *Sociology in Question*, London: Sage, pp. 36–40.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1993 [1984]-b) 'How Can "Free-Floating Intellectuals" Be Set Free?', in Pierre Bourdieu, *Sociology in Question*, London: Sage, pp. 41–48.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1993 [1984]-c) 'The Paradox of the Sociologist', in Pierre Bourdieu, *Sociology in Question*, London: Sage, pp. 54–59.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1993 [1984]-d) 'The Racism of "Intelligence", in Pierre Bourdieu, *Sociology in Question*, London: Sage, pp. 177–180.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1994a) *Raisons pratiques. Sur la théorie de l'action,* Paris: Seuil.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1994b) 'Stratégies de reproduction et modes de domination', *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* 105: 3–12.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1995a) 'La cause de la science', *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* 106–107: 3–10.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1995b) 'Sur les rapports entre la sociologie et l'histoire en Allemagne et en France', *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* 106–107: 108–122.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1997) *Méditations pascaliennes*, Paris: Éditions du Seuil. Bourdieu, Pierre (1998) *La domination masculine*, Paris: Seuil.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (2000 [1997]) *Pascalian Meditations*, trans. Richard Nice, Cambridge: Polity.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (2001a) « Si le monde social m'est supportable, c'est parce que je peux m'indigner ». Entretien avec Antoine Spire, Paris: Éditions de l'Aube.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (2001b) *Science de la science et réflexivité*, Paris: Raisons d'agir. Bourdieu, Pierre (2002) 'Wittgenstein, le sociologisme & la science sociale', in Jacques Bouveresse, Sandra Laugier, and Jean-Jacques Rosat (eds.) *Wittgenstein, dernières pensées*, Marseille: Fondation Hugot du Collège de France, Agone, pp. 343–353.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (2005 [2000]) *The Social Structures of the Economy*, trans. Chris Turner, Cambridge: Polity.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (2013 [1978]) 'Symbolic Capital and Social Classes (Introduction, Translation, and Notes by Loïc Wacquant)', *Journal of Classical Sociology* 13(2): 292–302.

- Bourdieu, Pierre and Luc Boltanski (1976) 'La production de l'idéologie dominante', *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* 2–3: 4–73.
- Bourdieu, Pierre and Luc Boltanski (2008 [1976]) *La production de l'idéologie dominante*, Paris: Demopolis / Raisons d'agir.
- Bourdieu, Pierre, Luc Boltanski, Robert Castel, and Jean-Claude Chamboredon (1965) *Un art moyen. Essai sur les usages sociaux de la photographie*, Paris: Minuit.
- Bourdieu, Pierre, Jean-Claude Chamboredon, and Jean-Claude Passeron (1968) *Le métier de sociologue. Préalables épistémologiques*, Paris: Éditions de l'École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales / Mouton.
- Bourdieu, Pierre and Alain Darbel (1969) *L'amour de l'art. Les musées d'art européens et leur public*, Paris: Minuit.
- Bourdieu, Pierre and Loïc Wacquant (1992a) *An Invitation to Reflexive Sociology*, Cambridge: Polity.
- Bourdieu, Pierre and Loïc Wacquant (1992b) 'Language, Gender, and Symbolic Violence', in Pierre Bourdieu and Loïc Wacquant, *An Invitation to Reflexive Sociology*, Cambridge: Polity, pp. 140–174.
- Bourdieu, Pierre and Loïc Wacquant (1992c) 'Sociology as Socioanalysis', in Pierre Bourdieu and Loïc Wacquant, *An Invitation to Reflexive Sociology*, Cambridge: Polity, pp. 62–74.
- Bourdieu, Pierre and Loïc Wacquant (1992d) 'Interest, Habitus, Rationality', in Pierre Bourdieu and Loïc Wacquant, *An Invitation to Reflexive Sociology*, Cambridge: Polity, pp. 115–140.
- Bourdieu, Pierre and Loïc Wacquant (1992e) 'Language, Gender, and Symbolic Violence', in Pierre Bourdieu and Loïc Wacquant, *An Invitation to Reflexive Sociology*, Cambridge: Polity, pp. 140–174.
- Boyer, Robert (1996) 'State and Market: A New Engagement for the Twenty-First Century?', in Robert Boyer and Daniel Drache (eds.) *States Against Markets: The Limits of Globalization*, London: Routledge, pp. 84–114.
- Boyer, Robert and Daniel Drache (eds.) (1996) *States Against Markets: The Limits of Globalization*, London: Routledge.
- Bradley, Karin and Johan Hedrén (eds.) (2014) *Green Utopianism: Perspectives, Politics and Micro-Practices*, New York: Routledge.
- Braudel, Fernand (1967) *Civilisation matérielle, économie et capitalisme, XV^e-XVIII^e siècle. Tome 1 : Les structures du quotidien,* Paris: Armand Colin.
- Braudel, Fernand (1979a) *Civilisation matérielle, économie et capitalisme, XV^e-XVIII^e siècle. Tome 2 : Les jeux de l'échange,* Paris: Armand Colin.
- Braudel, Fernand (1979b) *Civilisation matérielle, économie et capitalisme, XV^e-XVIII^e siècle. Tome 3 : Le temps du monde,* Paris: Armand Colin.
- Bronner, Stephen Eric (1994) *Of Critical Theory and Its Theorists*, Oxford: Blackwell.

- Browne, Craig and Simon Susen (2014) 'Austerity and Its Antitheses: Practical Negations of Capitalist Legitimacy', *South Atlantic Quarterly* 113(2): 217–230.
- Brummer, Alex (2009 [2008]) *The Crunch: How Greed and Incompetence Sparked the Credit Crisis*, Updated Edition, London: Random House Business Books.
- Butterwegge, Christoph (2009) *Armut in einem reichen Land. Wie das Problem verharmlost und verdrängt wird*, Frankfurt: Campus Verlag.
- Byrne, Janet (2012) The Occupy Handbook, Boston, Mass.: Back Bay.
- Calhoun, Craig (1995) 'Habitus, Field, and Capital: Historical Specificity in the Theory of Practice', in Craig Calhoun, *Critical Social Theory*, Oxford: Blackwell, pp. 132–161.
- Calhoun, Craig (2012) *The Roots of Radicalism: Tradition, the Public Sphere, and Early Nineteenth-Century Social Movements,* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Callinicos, Alex (2006) The Resources of Critique, Cambridge: Polity.
- Cannon, Bob (2001) *Rethinking the Normative Content of Critical Theory: Marx, Habermas and Beyond,* Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Carlson, Jon D. (2012) *Myths, State Expansion, and the Birth of Globalization: A Comparative Perspective*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Castells, Manuel (2011) 'The Crisis of Global Capitalism: Toward a New Economic Culture?', in Craig Calhoun and Georgi Derluguian (eds.) *Business as Usual: The Roots of the Global Financial Meltdown*, New York: New York University Press, pp. 185–210.
- Castells, Manuel (2012) *Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age*, Cambridge: Polity.
- Celikates, Robin (2009) Kritik als soziale Praxis. Gesellschaftliche Selbstverständigung und kritische Theorie, Frankfurt am Main: Campus Verlag.
- Chernilo, Daniel (2007) A Social Theory of the Nation-State: The Political Forms of Modernity beyond Methodological Nationalism, London: Routledge.
- Chernilo, Daniel (2008) 'Classical Sociology and the Nation-State: A Re-Interpretation', *Journal of Classical Sociology* 8(1): 27–43.
- Chiapello, Ève and Norman L. Fairclough (2002) 'Understanding the New Management Ideology: A Transdisciplinary Contribution from Critical Discourse Analysis and New Sociology of Capitalism', *Discourse and Society* 13(2): 185–208.
- Chow, Esther Ngan-ling, Marcia Texler Segal, and Lin Tan (eds.) (2011) Analyzing Gender, Intersectionality, and Multiple Inequalities: Global, Transnational and Local Contexts, Bingley: Emerald.
- Cohen, Robin (2006) *Migration and its Enemies: Global Capital, Migrant Labour and the Nation-State*, Aldershot: Ashgate.

- Collins, Randall (1979) *The Credential Society: An Historical Sociology of Education and Stratification*, New York: Academic Press.
- Collins, Randall (1998) *The Sociology of Philosophies. A Global Theory of Intellectual Change*, Cambridge, Mass.: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Conde-Costas, Luis A. (1991) *The Marxist Theory of Ideology: A Conceptual Analysis*, Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International.
- Cooke, Maeve (1997) 'Authenticity and Autonomy: Taylor, Habermas, and the Politics of Recognition', *Political Theory* 25(2): 258–288.
- Coole, Diana (1998) 'Master Narratives and Feminist Subversions', in James Good and Irving Velody (eds.) *The Politics of Postmodernity*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 107–125.
- Corcuff, Philippe (1998) 'Justification, stratégie et compassion : Apports de la sociologie des régimes d'action', *Correspondances (Bulletin d'information scientifique de l'Institut de Recherche sur le Maghreb Contemporain)* Tunis, 51: 1–9.
- Cordero, Rodrigo (2017) *Crisis and Critique: On the Fragile Foundations of Social Life,* London: Routledge.
- Crouch, Colin, Klaus Eder, and Damian Tambini (eds.) (2001) *Citizenship, Markets, and the State*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Das Nair, Roshan and Catherine Butler (eds.) (2012) *Intersectionality, Sexuality, and Psychological Therapies: Working with Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Diversity,* Chichester, West Sussex: BPS Blackwell.
- Davies, William (2014) *The Limits of Neoliberalism: Authority, Sovereignty and the Logic of Competition*, London: Sage.
- de Blic, Damien and Daniel Mouchard (2000a) 'La cause de la critique (I) Entretien avec Luc Boltanski', *Raisons politiques* 3: 159–184.
- de Blic, Damien and Daniel Mouchard (2000b) 'La cause de la critique (II) Entretien avec Luc Boltanski', *Raisons politiques* 4: 135–159.
- de Larrinaga, Miguel and Marc G. Doucet (eds.) (2010) *Security and Global Governmentality: Globalization, Governance and the State*, London: Routledge.
- Delanty, Gerard (2000) Modernity and Postmodernity: Knowledge, Power and the Self, London: Sage.
- Deledalle, Gerard (1959) 'Durkheim et Dewey. Un double centenaire', *Les Études philosophiques* 4: 493–498.
- della Porta, Donatella, Massimiliano Andretta, Lorenzo Mosca, and Herbert Reiter (2006) *Globalization From Below: Transnational Activists and Protest Networks*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Doetsch-Kidder, Sharon (2012) *Social Change and Intersectional Activism: The Spirit of Social Movement,* Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

- Doyle, Timothy (2005) *Environmental Movements in Minority and Majority Worlds: A Global Perspective*, New Brunswick, N.J.: Rutgers University Press.
- Doyle, Timothy and Sherilyn MacGregor (eds.) (2014) *Environmental Movements around the World: Shades of Green in Politics and Culture*, Santa Barbara, California: Praeger.
- Doyran, Mine Aysen (2011) Financial Crisis Management and the Pursuit of Power: American Pre-Eminence and the Credit Crunch, Farnham: Ashgate.
- Dunning, John H. (ed.) (1997) *Governments, Globalization, and International Business*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Durkheim, Émile (1955) *Pragmatisme et sociologie*, Cours inédit prononcé à la Sorbonne en 1913–1914 et restitué par Armand Cuvillier d'après des notes d'étudiants, Paris: J. Vrin.
- Durkheim, Émile (1983 [1955]) *Pragmatism and Sociology*, trans. J. C. Whitehouse, edited and introduced by John B. Allcock, with a preface by Armand Cuvillier, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Durkheim, Émile (2010 [1898/1924]) 'Individual and Collective Representations', in Émile Durkheim, *Sociology and Philosophy*, trans. David Francis Pocock, London: Routledge, pp. 1–34.
- Eagleton, Terry (1995) 'Where Do Postmodernists Come From?', *Monthly Review* 47(3): 59–70.
- Eagleton, Terry (2006 [1976]) Criticism and Ideology: A Study in Marxist Literary Theory, New Edition, London: Verso.
- Eagleton, Terry (2007 [1991]) *Ideology: An Introduction*, New and Updated Edition, London: Verso.
- Eulriet, Irène (2014) 'The Civil Sphere and On Justification: Two Models of Public Culture', in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) The Spirit of Luc Boltanski: Essays on the 'Pragmatic Sociology of Critique', London: Anthem Press, pp. 413–423.
- Fairclough, Norman L. (2002) 'Language in New Capitalism', *Discourse and Society* 13(2): 163–166.
- Farrar, John H. and David G. Mayes (eds.) (2013) *Globalisation, the Global Financial Crisis and the State*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Feldman, Simon (2015) Against Authenticity: Why You Shouldn't Be Yourself, Lanham: Lexington Books.
- Fischer, Anton M. (1978) Der reale Schein und die Theorie des Kapitals bei Karl Marx, Zürich: Europa Verlag.
- Flannery, Kent V. and Joyce Marcus (2012) *The Creation of Inequality: How Our Prehistoric Ancestors Set the Stage for Monarchy, Slavery, and Empire,* Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Fleming, Peter (2009) Authenticity and the Cultural Politics of Work: New

- Forms of Informal Control, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Forst, Rainer (2015a) 'Noumenal Power', *Journal of Political Philosophy* 23(2): 111–127.
- Forst, Rainer (2015b) 'Noumenale Macht', in Rainer Forst, *Normativität und Macht. Zur Analyse sozialer Rechtfertigungsordnungen*, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, pp. 58–81.
- Foucault, Michel (1980) *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings* 1972–1977, edited by Colin Gordon, translated by Colin Gordon [et al.], Brighton: Harvester Press.
- Foucault, Michel (1988) *Politics, Philosophy, Culture: Interviews and Other Writings* 1977–1984, trans. Alan Sheridan and others, edited with an introduction by Lawrence D. Kritzman, London: Routledge.
- Foucault, Michel (2002 [1966]) *The Order of Things: An Archaeology of the Human Sciences*, London: Routledge.
- Foucault, Michel (2002 [1969]) *Archaeology of Knowledge*, trans. A. M. Sheridan Smith, London: Routledge.
- Foucault, Michel (2004) Naissance de la biopolitique. Cours au Collège de France (1978–1979), Paris: Seuil/Gallimard.
- Fraser, Nancy (2016) 'Expropriation and Exploitation in Racialized Capitalism: A Reply to Michael Dawson', *Critical Historical Studies* 3(1): 163–178.
- Fraser, Nancy (2017) 'A New Form of Capitalism? A Reply to Boltanski and Esquerre', *New Left Review* 106: 57–65.
- Fraser, Nancy and Linda Nicholson (1994 [1988]) 'Social Criticism without Philosophy: An Encounter between Feminism and Postmodernism', in Steven Seidman (ed.) *The Postmodern Turn: New Perspectives on Social Theory*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 242–261.
- Frère, Bruno (2004) 'Genetic Structuralism, Psychological Sociology and Pragmatic Social Actor Theory: Proposals for a Convergence of French Sociologies', *Theory, Culture & Society* 21(3): 85–99.
- Frère, Bruno (ed.) (2015) *Le tournant de la théorie critique*, Paris: Desclée de Brouwer.
- Friedrich, Rainer (2012) 'The Enlightenment Gone Mad (I) The Dismal Discourse of Postmodernism's Grand Narratives', *Arion* 19(3): 31–78.
- Fritsch, Philippe (2005) 'Contre le totémisme intellectuel', in Gérard Mauger (ed.) *Rencontres avec Pierre Bourdieu*, Broissieux, Bellecombe-en-Bauges: Éditions du Croquant, pp. 81–100.
- Fukuyama, Francis (1992) *The End of History and the Last Man*, London: Penguin. Fukuyama, Francis (2002) *Our Posthuman Future: Consequences of the Biotechnology Revolution*, London: Profile.
- Fuller, Brian W. (2016) 'Adorno Reading and Writing Sociology', European Journal of Social Theory 19(3): 431–448.

- Fuller, Steve (2005) *The Intellectual. The Positive Power of Negative Thinking*, Thriplow: Icon Books.
- Fuller, Steve (2009) *The Sociology of Intellectual Life. The Career of the Mind in and around the Academy*, London: SAGE.
- Gadrey, Jean, Armand Hatchuel, Luc Boltanski, and Ève Chiapello (2001) 'Symposium sur *Le nouvel esprit du capitalisme* de Luc Boltanski et Ève Chiapello', *Sociologie du travail* 43(3): 389–421.
- Gane, Mike and Nicholas Gane (2007) 'The Postmodern: After the (Non-) Event', in Pelagia Goulimari (ed.) *Postmodernism. What Moment?*, Manchester: Manchester University Press, pp. 127–138.
- Gane, Nicholas (2014) 'Sociology and Neoliberalism: A Missing History', *Sociology* 48(6): 1092–1106.
- Gartman, David (2012) 'Bourdieu and Adorno: Converging Theories of Culture and Inequality', *Theory and Society* 41(1): 41–72.
- Geuss, Raymond (1981) *The Idea of a Critical Theory: Habermas and the Frankfurt School*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Good, James and Irving Velody (1998) 'Introduction: Postmodernity and the Political', in James Good and Irving Velody (eds.) *The Politics of Postmodernity*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 1–18.
- Gouanvic, Jean-Marc (2005) 'A Bourdieusian Theory of Translation, or the Coincidence of Practical Instances: Field, "Habitus", Capital and "Illusio", *The Translator* 11(2): 147–166.
- Gould-Wartofsky, Michael A. (2015) *The Occupiers: The Making of the 99 Percent Movement*, New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Grabham, Emily (ed.) (2009) *Intersectionality and Beyond: Law, Power and the Politics of Location*, Abingdon: Routledge-Cavendish.
- Grenfell, Michael and Michael Kelly (eds.) (1999) *Pierre Bourdieu: Language, Culture, and Education: Theory Into Practice,* Bern: Peter Lang.
- Gritsch, Maria (2005) 'The Nation-State and Economic Globalization: Soft Geo-Politics and Increased State Autonomy?', *Review of International Political Economy* 12(1): 1–25.
- Gross, Neil (2002) 'Becoming a Pragmatist Philosopher: Status, Self-Concept, and Intellectual Choice', *American Sociological Review* 67(1): 52–76.
- Gross, Neil (2008) *Richard Rorty. The Making of an American Philosopher*, Chicago, Ill.: University of Chicago Press.
- Habermas, Jürgen (1981a) Theorie des kommunikativen Handelns. Band 1. Handlungsrationalität und gesellschaftliche Rationalisierung, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Habermas, Jürgen (1981b) *Theorie des kommunikativen Handelns. Band 2.* Zur Kritik der funktionalistischen Vernunft, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.

- Habermas, Jürgen (1987 [1985]) 'Some Questions Concerning the Theory of Power: Foucault Again', in Jürgen Habermas, *The Philosophical Discourse of Modernity*, trans. Frederick Lawrence, Cambridge: Polity, pp. 266–293.
- Habermas, Jürgen (1988 [1973]) *Legitimation Crisis*, trans. Thomas McCarthy, Cambridge: Polity.
- Habermas, Jürgen (2004 [1999]) Wahrheit und Rechtfertigung: Philosophische Aufsätze, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Hakim, Catherine (2011) Honey Money: The Power of Erotic Capital, London: Allen Lane.
- Hakim, Catherine (2012) *The New Rules: Internet Dating, Playfairs and Erotic Power*, London: Gibson Square.
- Hall, Peter A. and David W. Soskice (eds.) (2001) *Varieties of Capitalism: The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Halttunen, Karen (1999) 'Cultural History and the Challenge of Narrativity', in Victoria E. Bonnell, Lynn Hunt, and Richard Biernacki (eds.) *Beyond the Cultural Turn: New Directions in the Study of Society and Culture,* Berkeley, Calif.: University of California Press, pp. 165–181.
- Hammond, Philip (2011) 'Simulation and Dissimulation', *Journal of War and Culture Studies* 3(3): 305–318.
- Hancké, Bob (ed.) (2009) *Debating 'Varieties of Capitalism': A Reader*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hancké, Bob, Martin Feb Rhodes, and Mark Thatcher (eds.) (2007) Beyond Varieties of Capitalism: Conflict, Contradictions, and Complementarities in the European Economy, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Harding, Sandra (1992) 'Subjectivity, Experience and Knowledge: An Epistemology from/for Rainbow Coalition Politics', in Jan Nederveen Pieterse (ed.) *Emancipations, Modern and Postmodern*, London: Sage, pp. 175–193.
- Harvey, David (2001) *Spaces of Capital: Towards a Critical Geography*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Harvey, David (2006) Spaces of Global Capitalism: Towards a Theory of Uneven Geographical Development, London: Verso.
- Haug, Frigga (1999a) 'Gebrauchswert', in Wolfgang Fritz Haug (ed.) *Historisch-kritisches Wörterbuch des Marxismus (Band 4)*, Hamburg: Argument-Verlag, pp. 1259–1289.
- Haug, Wolfgang Fritz (1999b) 'Falsches Bewusstsein', in Wolfgang Fritz Haug (ed.) *Historisch-kritisches Wörterbuch des Marxismus (Band 4)*, Hamburg: Argument-Verlag, pp. 78–91.
- Heidegger, Martin (2001 [1927]) Sein und Zeit, Achtzehnte Auflage, Tübingen: Max-Niemeyer-Verlag.

- Heinich, Nathalie (2004) La sociologie de l'art, Paris: La Découverte.
- Held, David (1980) *Introduction to Critical Theory: From Horkheimer to Habermas*, Cambridge: Polity.
- Herrschel, Tassilo (2014) Cities, State and Globalization: City-Regional Governance in Europe and North America, London: Routledge.
- Herz, Martin (1996) Disposition und Kapital. Ein Beitrag zur Bourdieu-Debatte, Wien: Braumüller.
- Hirschman, Albert O. (1970) Exit, Voice, and Loyalty. Responses to Decline in Firms, Organizations, and States, Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Hirschman, Albert O. (2013 [1977]) *The Passions and the Interests: Political Arguments for Capitalism before Its Triumph*, First Princeton Classics edition, foreword by Amartya Sen, with a new afterword by Jeremy Adelman, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Hirst, Paul and Grahame Thompson (1995) 'Globalization and the Future of the Nation State', *Economy and Society* 24(3): 408–442.
- Holloway, John (1998) 'The Communist Manifesto Today', *Common Sense* 23: 83–88.
- Holloway, John (2003) 'In the Beginning was the Scream', in Werner Bonefeld (ed.) *Revolutionary Writing: Common Sense Essays in Post-Political Politics*, New York: Autonomedia, pp. 15–22.
- Holloway, John (2005 [2002]) *Change the World Without Taking Power. The Meaning of Revolution Today*, New Edition, London: Pluto Press.
- Holloway, John (2010) Crack Capitalism, London: Pluto Press.
- Holloway, John, Fernando Matamoros Ponce, and Sergio Tischler Visquerra (2009) 'Negativity and Revolution: Adorno and Political Activism', in John Holloway, Fernando Matamoros Ponce, and Sergio Tischler Visquerra (eds.) *Negativity and Revolution: Adorno and Political Activism,* London: Pluto Press, pp. 3–11.
- Holloway, John and Simon Susen (2013) 'Change the World by Cracking Capitalism? A Critical Encounter between John Holloway and Simon Susen', *Sociological Analysis* 7(1): 23–42.
- Holton, Robert J. (2011 [1998]) *Globalization and the Nation State*, 2nd Edition, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Honneth, Axel (1984) 'Die zerrissene Welt der symbolischen Formen. Zum kultursoziologischen Werk Pierre Bourdieus', Kölner Zeitschrift für Soziologie und Sozialpsychologie 36(1): 147–164.
- Honneth, Axel (1991 [1986]) *The Critique of Power. Reflective Stages in a Critical Social Theory*, Cambridge, Mass.; London: MIT Press.
- Horrocks, Chris (1999) Baudrillard and the Millennium, Duxford: Icon.
- How, Alan (2003) Critical Theory, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

- Hoy, David Couzens and Thomas McCarthy (1994) *Critical Theory*, Oxford; Cambridge, Mass.: Blackwell.
- Iggers, Georg G. (2005 [1997]) Historiography in the Twentieth Century: From Scientific Objectivity to the Postmodern Challenge, New Edition, Middletown, Con.: Wesleyan University Press.
- Ingram, David (1990) *Critical Theory and Philosophy*, St. Paul, Minnesota: Paragon House.
- Jacobsen, Michael Hviid and Sophia Marshman (2008) 'Bauman's Metaphors: The Poetic Imagination in Sociology', *Current Sociology* 56(5): 798–818.
- Jenks, Chris (ed.) (1998) Core Sociological Dichotomies, London: Sage.
- Jessop, Bob (ed.) (2001) Regulation Theory and the Crisis of Capitalism, 5 Volumes, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Jessop, Bob (2007) State Power, Cambridge: Polity.
- Jetté, Christian (2003) *Du don comme principe de justification*, Montréal: Cahiers du Larepps, Université du Québec.
- Joas, Hans (1984) 'Émile Durkheim et le pragmatisme', *Revue française de sociologie* 25(4): 560–581.
- Jurt, Joseph (2004) 'Pierre Bourdieu (1930–2002). Eine Soziologie der symbolischen Güter', in Martin Ludwig Hofmann, Tobias F. Korta, and Sibylle Niekisch (eds.) *Culture Club. Klassiker der Kulturtheorie*, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, pp. 204–219.
- Karsenti, Bruno (2012) 'Sociology Face to Face with Pragmatism: Action, Concept, and Person', *Journal of Classical Sociology* 12(3–4): 398–427 (trans. Simon Susen).
- Kauppi, Niilo (2000) 'The Sociologist as *Moraliste*: Pierre Bourdieu's Practice of Theory and the French Intellectual Tradition', *SubStance* 29(3): 7–21.
- Kellner, Douglas (1989) Critical Theory, Marxism and Modernity, Cambridge: Polity.
- Kellner, Douglas (2007) 'Reappraising the Postmodern: Novelties, Mapping and Historical Narratives', in Pelagia Goulimari (ed.) *Postmodernism. What Moment?*, Manchester: Manchester University Press, pp. 102–126.
- Kellner, Hans (1987) 'Narrativity in History: Post-Structuralism and Since', *History and Theory* 26(4): 1–29.
- Kögler, Hans-Herbert (1996) 'The Self-Empowered Subject: Habermas, Foucault and Hermeneutic Reflexivity', *Philosophy & Social Criticism* 22(4): 13–44.
- Kögler, Hans-Herbert (1996 [1992]) *The Power of Dialogue: Critical Hermeneutics after Gadamer and Foucault*, trans. Paul Hendrickson, Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

- Krizsán, Andrea, Hege Skjeie, and Judith Squires (eds.) (2012) *Institutionalizing Intersectionality: The Changing Nature of European Equality Regimes*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Lachmann, Richard (2010) States and Power, Cambridge: Polity.
- Laclau, Ernesto (1992) 'Beyond Emancipation', in Jan Nederveen Pieterse (ed.) *Emancipations, Modern and Postmodern*, London: Sage, pp. 121–137.
- Laclau, Ernesto (1996) *Emancipation(s)*, London: Verso.
- Lamont, Michèle (2012) 'Toward a Comparative Sociology of Valuation and Evaluation', *Annual Review of Sociology* 38(21): 201–221.
- Lapavitsas, Costas (2013) Profiting without Producing: How Finance Exploits Us All, London: Verso.
- Lapoujade, David (1997) William James. Empirisme et pragmatisme, Paris: PUF.
- Larrain, Jorge (1991 [1983]) 'Ideology', in Tom Bottomore (ed.) *A Dictionary of Marxist Thought*, 2nd Edition, Oxford: Blackwell Reference, pp. 247–252.
- Lascelles, David and Nick Carn (2009) *The Credit Crunch Diaries: The Financial Crisis by Those Who Made It Happen*, edited by Jay Elwes, London: Centre for the Study of Financial Innovation.
- Lavoie, Don (ed.) (1990) Economics and Hermeneutics, London: Routledge.Le Bon, Gustave (1977 [1995]) The Crowd. A Study of the Popular Mind, New York: introduction by R. K. Merton, Penguin.
- Ledeneva, Alena V. (1994) 'Language as an Instrument of Power in the Works of Pierre Bourdieu', *Manchester Sociology Occasional Papers* 41 (November), Editor: Peter Halfpenny, Department of Sociology, University of Manchester, 34 pages.
- Lemieux, Cyril (2014) 'The Moral Idealism of Ordinary People as a Sociological Challenge: Reflections on the French Reception of Luc Boltanski and Laurent Thévenot's *On Justification*', in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Spirit of Luc Boltanski: Essays on the 'Pragmatic Sociology of Critique'*, London: Anthem Press, pp. 153–170.
- Leneveu, Claude (2002) 'À propos de concept de pratique : matérialisme historique & interactionnisme symbolique', in Jean Lojkine (ed.) *Les sociologues critiques du capitalisme : en hommage à Pierre Bourdieu*, Paris: Collection Actuel Marx Confrontation, Presses Universitaires de France, pp. 195–204.
- Lévi-Strauss, Claude (1949) *Les structures élémentaires de la parenté*, Paris: PUF. Lévi-Strauss, Claude (1962) *La pensée sauvage*, Paris: Plon.
- Lévi-Strauss, Claude (1971) L'homme nu. Mythologiques, tome IV, Paris: Plon.

- Lindholm, Charles (2008) Culture and Authenticity, Oxford: Blackwell.
- Livet, Pierre (2009) 'Régimes de justification, émotions et révisions', in Marc Breviglieri, Claudette Lafaye, and Danny Trom (eds.) *Compétences critiques et sens de la justice*, Colloque de Cerisy, Paris: Economica, pp. 215–224.
- Löhr, Isabella and Roland Wenzlhuemer (eds.) (2013) *The Nation State and Beyond: Governing Globalization Processes in the Nineteenth and Early Twentieth Centuries*, Berlin: Springer.
- Lukes, Steven (1991 [1983]) 'Emancipation', in Tom Bottomore (ed.) *A Dictionary of Marxist Thought*, 2nd Edition, Oxford: Blackwell Reference, pp. 172–173.
- Lutz, Helma, Maria Teresa Herrera Vivar, and Linda Supik (eds.) (2011) Framing Intersectionality: Debates on a Multi-Faceted Concept in Gender Studies, Farnham: Ashgate.
- Lykke, Nina (2010) Feminist Studies: A Guide to Intersectional Theory, Methodology and Writing, London: Routledge.
- MacDonald, Gayle Michelle, Rachael Osborne, and Charles C. Smith (eds.) (2005) *Feminism, Law, Inclusion: Intersectionality in Action*, Toronto: Sumach Press.
- Macey, David (2000) *The Penguin Dictionary of Critical Theory*, London: Penguin Books.
- Mahar, Cheleen (1990) 'Pierre Bourdieu: The Intellectual Project', in Richard Harker, Cheleen Mahar, and Chris Wilkes (eds.) *An Introduction to the Work of Pierre Bourdieu: The Practice of Theory*, Basingstoke: Macmillan, pp. 26–57.
- Maniglier, Patrice (2002) Le vocabulaire de Lévi-Strauss, Paris: Ellipses.
- Marcos, Sous-Commandant (1997) 'Sept pièces du puzzle néolibéral : la quatrième guerre mondiale a commencé', *Le monde diplomatique* août: 4–5.
- Marx, Karl (2000/1977 [1857–1858/1941]) '*Grundrisse*', in David McLellan (ed.) *Karl Marx: Selected Writings*, 2nd Edition, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 379–423.
- Marx, Karl (2000/1977 [1859]) 'Preface to *A Critique of Political Economy*', in David McLellan (ed.) *Karl Marx: Selected Writings*, 2nd Edition, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 424–428.
- Marx, Karl (2000/1977 [1867]) 'Capital', in David McLellan (ed.) *Karl Marx: Selected Writings*, 2nd Edition, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 452–546.
- Marx, Karl and Friedrich Engels (1953 [1845–1847]) *Die deutsche Ideologie*, Berlin: Dietz.
- Marx, Karl and Friedrich Engels (1987/1945 [1848]) Manifest der kommunistischen Partei, 54. Auflage, Berlin: Dietz Verlag.

- Marx, Karl and Friedrich Engels (2000/1977 [1846]) 'The German Ideology', in David McLellan (ed.) *Karl Marx: Selected Writings*, 2nd Edition, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 175–208.
- Marxhausen, Thomas (1999) 'Fetischcharakter der Ware', in Wolfgang Fritz Haug (ed.) *Historisch-kritisches Wörterbuch des Marxismus (Band 4)*, Hamburg: Argument-Verlag, pp. 343–354.
- Mauger, Gérard (2005) 'Über symbolische Gewalt', in Catherine Colliot-Thélène, Etienne François, and Gunter Gebauer (eds.) *Pierre Bourdieu: Deutsch-französische Perspektiven*, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, pp. 208–230.
- McDonald, Kevin (2006) Global Movements: Action and Culture, Malden, Mass.: Blackwell.
- Miller, Andrew John (2003) 'Pierre Bourdieu and the Perils of *Allodoxia*: Nationalism, Globalism and the Geopolitics of Intellectual Exchange', *Cultural Studies* 17(3/4): 553–571.
- Miller, Max H. (ed.) (2005) Worlds of Capitalism: Institutions, Governance and Economic Change in the Era of Globalization, London: Routledge.
- Mimiko, Nahzeem Oluwafemi (2012) Globalization: The Politics of Global Economic Relations and International Business, Durham, N.C.: Carolina Academic Press.
- Moreau Ricaud, Michelle (2011) Freud collectionneur, Paris: Campagne Première.
- Morris, Lydia (1997) 'Globalization, Migration and the Nation-State: The Path to a Post-National Europe?', *British Journal of Sociology* 48(2): 192–209.
- Moulin, Raymonde (1987 [1967]) *The French Art Market: A Sociological View*, trans. Arthur Goldhammer, New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press.
- Mulinari, Diana and Kerstin Sandell (2009) 'A Feminist Re-reading of Theories of Late Modernity: Beck, Giddens and the Location of Gender', *Critical Sociology* 35(4): 493–508.
- Müller-Doohm, Stefan (2000) 'Kritik in kritischen Theorien. Oder: Wie kritisches Denken selber zu rechtfertigen sei', in Stefan Müller-Doohm (ed.) Das Interesse der Vernunft: Rückblicke auf das Werk von Jürgen Habermas seit "Erkenntnis und Interesse", Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, pp. 71–106.
- Nachi, Mohamed (2006) *Introduction à la sociologie pragmatique*, Paris: Armand Colin.
- Nachi, Mohamed (2014) 'Beyond Pragmatic Sociology: A Theoretical Compromise between "Critical Sociology" and the "Pragmatic Sociology of Critique", in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Spirit of Luc Boltanski: Essays on the 'Pragmatic Sociology of Critique'*, London: Anthem Press, pp. 293–312.

- Nash, Roy (2005) 'Cognitive *Habitus* and Collective Intelligence: Concepts for the Explanation of Inequality of Educational Opportunity', *Journal of Education Policy* 20(1): 3–21.
- Nayar, Baldev Raj (2009) *The Myth of the Shrinking State: Globalization and the State in India*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Nederveen Pieterse, Jan (ed.) (1992a) *Emancipations, Modern and Postmodern*, London: Sage.
- Nederveen Pieterse, Jan (1992b) 'Emancipations, Modern and Postmodern', in Jan Nederveen Pieterse (ed.) *Emancipations, Modern and Postmodern*, London: Sage, pp. 5–41.
- Neveu, Érik (2013) 'Les sciences sociales doivent-elles accumuler les capitaux ? À propos de Catherine Hakim, *Erotic Capital*, et de quelques marcottages intempestifs de la notion de capital', *Revue Française de Science Politique* 63(2): 337–358.
- Nicholson, Linda J. (ed.) (1990) Feminism/Postmodernism, London: Routledge.
- Nuyen, A. T. (1998) 'The Politics of Emancipation: From Self to Society', *Human Studies* 21(1): 27–43.
- Oliver, Marvarene, Brande Flamez, and Christine McNichols (2011) 'Postmodern Applications within Latino/a Cultures', *Journal of Professional Counseling, Practice, Theory, & Research* 38(3): 33–48.
- Osamu, Nishitani (2002) 'Repenser la « fin de l'Histoire » La Modernité et l'Histoire', in Henri Meschonnic and Shiguehiko Hasumi (eds.) La modernité après le post-moderne, Paris: Maisonneuve & Larose, pp. 147–155.
- Outhwaite, William (2018) 'Book Review: Enrichissement. Une critique de la marchandise (Paris: Gallimard, 2017)', Journal of Classical Sociology 18(1): 81–83.
- Paulus, Andreas L. (2001) 'International Law After Postmodernism: Towards Renewal or Decline of International Law?', *Leiden Journal of International Law* 14(4): 727–755.
- Pease, Bob (2002) 'Rethinking Empowerment: A Postmodern Reappraisal for Emancipatory Practice', *British Journal of Social Work* 32(2): 135–147.
- Pecourt, Juan (2007) 'El intelectual y el campo cultural. Una variación sobre Bourdieu', *Revista Internacional de Sociología* 65(47): 23–43.
- Pecourt, Juan (2008) Los intelectuales y la transición política. Un estudio del campo de las revistas políticas en España, Madrid: Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas.
- Pels, Dick (1995) 'Knowledge, Politics and Anti-Politics: Toward a Critical Appraisal of Bourdieu's Concept of Intellectual Autonomy', *Theory and Society* 24(1): 79–104.

- Perec, Georges (1965) *Les choses. Une histoire des années soixante*, Paris: Julliard. Peter, Lothar (2004) 'Pierre Bourdieus Theorie der symbolischen Gewalt', in Margareta Steinrücke (ed.) *Pierre Bourdieu. Politisches Forschen, Denken und Eingreifen*, Hamburg: VSA, pp. 48–73.
- Picò, Josep and Juan Pecourt (2013) *Los intelectuales nunca mueren. Una aproximación socio-histórica* (1900–2000), Barcelona: RBA Libros.
- Pieters, Jürgen (2000) 'New Historicism: Postmodern Historiography between Narrativism and Heterology', *History and Theory* 39(1): 21–38.
- Piketty, Thomas (2013) *Le capital au XXI^e siècle*, Paris: Éditions du Seuil.
- Pinker, Steven (2002) *The Blank Slate. The Modern Denial of Human Nature*, London: Allen Lane.
- Pinto, Louis (1991) 'La doxa intellectuelle', *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* 90: 95–100.
- Pleyers, Geoffrey (2010) *Alter-Globalization: Becoming Actors in the Global Age*, Cambridge: Polity.
- Price, T. Douglas and Gary M. Feinman (eds.) (2010) *Pathways to Power: New Perspectives on the Emergence of Social Inequality*, New York: Springer.
- Prychitko, David L. (ed.) (1995) *Individuals, Institutions, Interpretations: Hermeneutics Applied to Economics*, Aldershot: Avebury.
- Quicke, John (1999) 'The Postmodern Turn: Problems and Possibilities', *British Journal of Sociology of Education* 20(2): 281–284.
- Rawls, Anne Warfield (1997) 'Durkheim and Pragmatism: An Old Twist on a Contemporary Debate', *Sociological Theory* 15(1): 5–29.
- Ray, Larry (1993) Rethinking Critical Theory: Emancipation in the Age of Global Social Movements, London: Sage.
- Reay, Diane (2004) 'Gendering Bourdieu's Concepts of Capitals? Emotional Capital, Women and Social Class', *The Sociological Review* 52(s2): 57–74.
- Rehmann, Jan (2004) 'Ideologietheorie', in Wolfgang Fritz Haug (ed.) *Historisch-kritisches Wörterbuch des Marxismus (Band 6/I)*, Hamburg: Argument-Verlag, pp. 717–760.
- Reid, Alan, Judith Gill, and Alan M. Sears (eds.) (2010) *Globalization, the Nation-State and the Citizen: Dilemmas and Directions for Civics and Citizenship Education*, London: Routledge.
- Reitz, Tilman (2004) 'Ideologiekritik', in Wolfgang Fritz Haug (ed.) *Historisch-kritisches Wörterbuch des Marxismus (Band 6/I)*, Hamburg: Argument-Verlag, pp. 689–717.
- Ricardo, David (1966) *Economic Essays*, edited with introductory essay and notes by E. C. K. Gonner, London: Cass.
- Ringer, Fritz (2000 [1990]) 'The Intellectual Field, Intellectual History, and the Sociology of Knowledge', in Derek Robbins (ed.) *Pierre Bourdieu. Volume III*, London: Sage, pp. 66–86.

- Ripsman, Norrin M. and T. V. Paul (2010) *Globalization and the National Security State*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Robbins, Derek (2005) 'The Origins, Early Development and Status of Bourdieu's Concept of "Cultural Capital", *British Journal of Sociology* 56(1): 13–30.
- Robbins, Derek (2014) 'Pierre Bourdieu and the Early Luc Boltanski (1960–1975): Collective Ethos and Individual Difference', in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Spirit of Luc Boltanski: Essays on the 'Pragmatic Sociology of Critique'*, London: Anthem Press, pp. 265–291.
- Rosecrance, Richard (1996) 'The Rise of the Virtual State', *Foreign Affairs* 75(4): 45–61.
- Santos, Boaventura de Sousa (ed.) (2006) *Another Production is Possible: Beyond the Capitalist Canon*, London: Verso.
- Santos, Boaventura de Sousa (ed.) (2007) *Another Knowledge is Possible: Beyond Northern Epistemologies*, London: Verso.
- Schneider, Christian, Cordelia Stillke, and Bernd Leineweber (2000) *Trauma und Kritik*: Zur Generationsgeschichte der Kritischen Theorie, Münster: Westfälisches Dampfboot.
- Schroyer, Trent (1973) *The Critique of Domination: The Origins and Development of Critical Theory*, New York: George Braziller.
- Schwengel, Hermann (2003) 'Epilog: Der Eingriff des Intellektuellen', in Boike Rehbein, Gernot Saalmann, and Hermann Schwengel (eds.) *Pierre Bourdieus Theorie des Sozialen: Probleme und Perspektiven*, Konstanz: UVK, pp. 287–294.
- Scruton, Roger (1996) 'Emancipation', in Roger Scruton (ed.) *A Dictionary of Political Thought*, 2nd Edition, London: Macmillan, p. 162.
- Shilling, Chris (2004) 'Physical Capital and Situated Action: A New Direction for Corporeal Sociology', *British Journal of Sociology of Education* 25(4): 473–487.
- Silber, Ilana F. (2011) 'Emotions as Regime of Justification? The Case of Civic Anger', *European Journal of Social Theory* 14(3): 301–320.
- Simons, Herbert W. and Michael Billig (eds.) (1994) *After Postmodernism: Reconstructing Ideology Critique*, London: Sage.
- Sintomer, Yves (2005) 'Intellektuelle Kritik zwischen Korporatismus des Universellen und Öffentlichkeit', in Catherine Colliot-Thélène, Etienne François, and Gunter Gebauer (eds.) *Pierre Bourdieu: Deutsch-französische Perspektiven*, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, pp. 276–298.
- Sintomer, Yves (2011) 'Intellectual Critique and the Public Sphere: Between the Corporatism of the Universal and the *Realpolitik* of Reason', in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Legacy of Pierre Bourdieu: Critical Essays*, London: Anthem Press, pp. 329–345.

- Sloterdijk, Peter (2013 [2005]) *In the World Interior of Capital: For a Philosophical Theory of Globalization*, trans. Wieland Hoban, Cambridge: Polity.
- Smart, Barry (1998) Facing Modernity: Ambivalence, Reflexivity and Morality, London: Sage.
- Smith, Adam (2008 [1776]) *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*, Selected Edition, edited with an introduction and notes by Kathryn Sutherland, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Soederberg, Susanne, Georg Menz, and Philip G. Cerny (eds.) (2005) *Internalizing Globalization: The Rise of Neoliberalism and the Decline of National Varieties of Capitalism*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Spengler, Oswald (1973 [1918/1922]) Der Untergang des Abendlandes. Umrisse einer Morphologie der Weltgeschichte, München: Beck.
- Sperber, Dan (1996) Explaining Culture. A Naturalistic Approach, Oxford: Blackwell.
- Stark, David (2009) *The Sense of Dissonance. Accounts of Worth in Economic Life*, Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.
- Stark, David (2017) 'For What It's Worth', in Charlotte Cloutier, Jean-Pascal Gond, and Bernard Leca (eds.) *Justification, Evaluation and Critique in the Study of Organizations: Contributions from French Pragmatist Sociology*, Book Series: *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, Volume 52, Bingley: Emerald, pp. 383–397.
- Steiner, Helmut (2008) 'Klassenanalyse', in Wolfgang Fritz Haug (ed.) *Historisch-kritisches Wörterbuch des Marxismus (Band 7/I)*, Hamburg: Argument-Verlag, pp. 776–786.
- Stewart, Simon (2014) *A Sociology of Culture, Taste and Value,* Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Stirk, Peter M. R. (2000) *Critical Theory, Politics and Society: An Introduction*, London: Pinter.
- Stone, Lawrence (1979) 'The Revival of Narrative: Reflections on a New Old History', *Past and Present* 85(1): 3–24.
- Strange, Susan (1997 [1986]) *Casino Capitalism*, Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Streeck, Wolfgang (2011) 'The Crises of Democratic Capitalism', *New Left Review* 71: 5–29.
- Sullivan, Alice (2001) 'Cultural Capital and Educational Attainment', *Sociology* 35(4): 893–912.
- Susen, Simon (2007) *The Foundations of the Social: Between Critical Theory and Reflexive Sociology*, Oxford: Bardwell Press.
- Susen, Simon (2008a) 'Poder y anti-poder (I–III)', *Erasmus: Revista para el diálogo intercultural* 10(1): 49–90.

- Susen, Simon (2008b) 'Poder y anti-poder (IV–V)', *Erasmus: Revista para el diálogo intercultural* 10(2): 133–180.
- Susen, Simon (2009) 'Between Emancipation and Domination: Habermasian Reflections on the Empowerment and Disempowerment of the Human Subject', *Pli: The Warwick Journal of Philosophy* 20: 80–110.
- Susen, Simon (2010a) 'Los movimientos sociales en las sociedades complejas', in Celia Basconzuelo, Teresita Morel, and Simon Susen (eds.) Ciudadanía territorial y movimientos sociales. Historia y nuevas problemáticas en el escenario latinoamericano y mundial, Río Cuarto: Ediciones del ICALA, pp. 149–226.
- Susen, Simon (2010b) 'Remarks on the Concept of Critique in Habermasian Thought', *Journal of Global Ethics* 6(2): 103–126.
- Susen, Simon (2010c) 'Meadian Reflections on the Existential Ambivalence of Human Selfhood', *Studies in Social and Political Thought* 17: 62–81.
- Susen, Simon (2011a) 'Kritische Gesellschaftstheorie or kritische Gesellschaftspraxis? Robin Celikates, Kritik als soziale Praxis. Gesellschaftliche Selbstverständigung und kritische Theorie (Frankfurt am Main, Campus Verlag, 2009)', Archives Européennes de Sociologie / European Journal of Sociology 52(3): 447–463.
- Susen, Simon (2011b) 'Bourdieu and Adorno on the Transformation of Culture in Modern Society: Towards a Critical Theory of Cultural Production', in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Legacy of Pierre Bourdieu: Critical Essays*, London: Anthem Press, pp. 173–202.
- Susen, Simon (2011c) 'Afterword: Concluding Reflections on the Legacy of Pierre Bourdieu', in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Legacy of Pierre Bourdieu: Critical Essays*, London: Anthem Press, pp. 367–409.
- Susen, Simon (2011d) 'Epistemological Tensions in Bourdieu's Conception of Social Science', *Theory of Science* 33(1): 43–82.
- Susen, Simon (2012a) "Open Marxism" against and beyond the "Great Enclosure"? Reflections on How (Not) to Crack Capitalism', *Journal of Classical Sociology* 12(2): 281–331.
- Susen, Simon (2012b) 'Une sociologie pragmatique de la critique est-elle possible? Quelques réflexions sur *De la critique* de Luc Boltanski', *Revue Philosophique de Louvain* 110(4): 685–728.
- Susen, Simon (ed.) (2013a) Special Issue: 'Bourdieu and Language', Social Epistemology 27(3–4): 195–393.
- Susen, Simon (2013b) 'Comments on Patrick Baert and Filipe Carreira da Silva's *Social Theory in the Twentieth Century and Beyond* Towards a "Hermeneutics-Inspired Pragmatism"?', *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 14(1): 80–101.

- Susen, Simon (2013c) 'Introduction: Bourdieu and Language', *Social Epistemology* 27(3–4): 195–198.
- Susen, Simon (2013d) 'Bourdieusian Reflections on Language: Unavoidable Conditions of the Real Speech Situation', *Social Epistemology* 27(3–4): 199–246.
- Susen, Simon (2013e) 'A Reply to My Critics: The Critical Spirit of Bourdieusian Language', *Social Epistemology* 27(3–4): 323–393.
- Susen, Simon (2014a) 'Emancipation', in Michael T. Gibbons, Diana Coole, Elisabeth Ellis, and Kennan Ferguson (eds.) *The Encyclopedia of Political Thought*, Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, pp. 1024–1038.
- Susen, Simon (2014b) '15 Theses on Power', *Philosophy and Society* 25(3): 7–28. Susen, Simon (2014c) 'The Place of Space in Social and Cultural Theory', in Anthony Elliott (ed.) *Routledge Handbook of Social and Cultural Theory*, London: Routledge, pp. 333–357.
- Susen, Simon (2014d) 'Luc Boltanski and His Critics: An Afterword', in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Spirit of Luc Boltanski: Essays on the 'Pragmatic Sociology of Critique'*, London: Anthem Press, pp. 613–801.
- Susen, Simon (2014e) 'Reflections on Ideology: Lessons from Pierre Bourdieu and Luc Boltanski', *Thesis Eleven* 124(1): 90–113.
- Susen, Simon (2014 [2012]) 'Is There Such a Thing as a "Pragmatic Sociology of Critique"? Reflections on Luc Boltanski's *On Critique*', in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Spirit of Luc Boltanski: Essays on the 'Pragmatic Sociology of Critique'*, trans. Simon Susen, London: Anthem Press, pp. 173–210.
- Susen, Simon (2014 [2015]) 'Towards a Dialogue between Pierre Bourdieu's "Critical Sociology" and Luc Boltanski's "Pragmatic Sociology of Critique", in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Spirit of Luc Boltanski: Essays on the 'Pragmatic Sociology of Critique'*, trans. Simon Susen, London: Anthem Press, pp. 313–348.
- Susen, Simon (2015a) *The 'Postmodern Turn' in the Social Sciences*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Susen, Simon (2015b) 'Emancipation', in Michael T. Gibbons, Diana Coole, Elisabeth Ellis, and Kennan Ferguson (eds.) *The Encyclopedia of Political Thought*, Vol. 3, Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, pp. 1024–1038.
- Susen, Simon (2015c) 'Une réconciliation entre Pierre Bourdieu et Luc Boltanski est-elle possible ? Pour un dialogue entre la sociologie critique et la sociologie pragmatique de la critique', in Bruno Frère (ed.) *Le tournant de la théorie critique*, Paris: Desclée de Brouwer, pp. 151–186.
- Susen, Simon (2016a) Pierre Bourdieu et la distinction sociale. Un essai philosophique, Oxford: Peter Lang.
- Susen, Simon (2016b) 'The Sociological Challenge of Reflexivity in Bour-

- dieusian Thought', in Derek Robbins (ed.) *The Anthem Companion to Pierre Bourdieu*, London: Anthem Press, pp. 49–93.
- Susen, Simon (2016c) 'Towards a Critical Sociology of Dominant Ideologies: An Unexpected Reunion between Pierre Bourdieu and Luc Boltanski', *Cultural Sociology* 10(2): 195–246.
- Susen, Simon (2016d) 'Further Reflections on the "Postmodern Turn" in the Social Sciences: A Reply to William Outhwaite', *International Journal of Politics, Culture, and Society* 29(4): 429–438.
- Susen, Simon (2016e) 'Scattered Remarks on the Concept of Engagement: A Socio-Philosophical Approach', *Philosophy and Society* 27(2): 459–463.
- Susen, Simon (2016f) 'Reconstructing the Self: A Goffmanian Perspective', in Harry F. Dahms and Eric R. Lybeck (eds.) *Reconstructing Social Theory, History and Practice,* Book Series: *Current Perspectives in Social Theory,* Volume 35, Bingley: Emerald, pp. 111–143.
- Susen, Simon (2017a) 'Hermeneutic Bourdieu', in Lisa Adkins, Caragh Brosnan, and Steven Threadgold (eds.) *Bourdieusian Prospects*, London: Routledge, pp. 132–159.
- Susen, Simon (2017b) 'No Exit from Brexit?', in William Outhwaite (ed.) *Brexit: Sociological Responses*, London: Anthem Press, pp. 153–182.
- Susen, Simon (2017c) 'Between Crisis and Critique: The Fragile Foundations of Social Life à la Rodrigo Cordero', *Distinktion: Journal of Social Theory* 18(1): 95–124.
- Susen, Simon (2017d) 'Following the Footprints of the "Postmodern Turn": A Reply to Gregor McLennan', European Journal of Cultural and Political Sociology 4(1): 104–123.
- Susen, Simon (2017e) 'Remarks on the Nature of Justification: A Socio-Pragmatic Perspective', in Charlotte Cloutier, Jean-Pascal Gond, and Bernard Leca (eds.) *Justification, Evaluation and Critique in the Study of Organizations: Contributions from French Pragmatist Sociology, Book Series: Research in the Sociology of Organizations, Volume 52, Bingley: Emerald, pp. 349–381.*
- Susen, Simon (2017f) 'Reflections on Patrick Baert's *The Existentialist Moment: The Rise of Sartre as a Public Intellectual*', in Simon Susen and Patrick Baert, *The Sociology of Intellectuals: After 'The Existentialist Moment'*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 1–122.
- Susen, Simon (2018a) 'The Seductive Force of "Noumenal Power": A New Path (or Impasse) for Critical Theory?', *Journal of Political Power* 11(1): 4–45.
- Susen, Simon (2018b) 'Jürgen Habermas: Between Democratic Deliberation and Deliberative Democracy', in Ruth Wodak and Bernhard Forchtner (eds.) *The Routledge Handbook of Language and Politics*, London: Routledge, pp. 43–66.

- Susen, Simon (2018c) 'Language', in Bryan S. Turner, Chang Kyung-Sup, Cynthia F. Epstein, Peter Kivisto, William Outhwaite, and J. Michael Ryan (eds.) *The Wiley Blackwell Encyclopedia of Social Theory*, Volume III, Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, pp. 1278–1290.
- Susen, Simon (2018d) 'Saussure, Ferdinand de', in Bryan S. Turner, Chang Kyung-Sup, Cynthia F. Epstein, Peter Kivisto, William Outhwaite, and J. Michael Ryan (eds.) *The Wiley Blackwell Encyclopedia of Social Theory*, Volume V, Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, pp. 2001–2006.
- Susen, Simon and Patrick Baert (2017a) *The Sociology of Intellectuals: After 'The Existentialist Moment'*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Susen, Simon and Patrick Baert (2017b) 'Introduction: Key Issues in the Sociology of Intellectuals', in Simon Susen and Patrick Baert, *The Sociology of Intellectuals: After 'The Existentialist Moment'*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. vii–xi.
- Susen, Simon and Bryan S. Turner (2011) 'Introduction: Preliminary Reflections on the Legacy of Pierre Bourdieu', in Simon Susen and Bryan S. Turner (eds.) *The Legacy of Pierre Bourdieu: Critical Essays*, London: Anthem Press, pp. xiii–xxix.
- Swain, Nigel (2003) 'Social Capital and its Uses', European Journal of Sociology 44(2): 185–212.
- Taibo, Carlos (2011) *La rebelión de los indignados. Movimiento 15 M: democracia real ¡ya!*, Madrid: Editorial Popular.
- Tasset, Cyprien (2014a) 'Les « intellos précaires » et la « classe créative » : le recours à la quantification dans deux projets concurrents de regroupement social', in Isabelle Bruno, Emmanuel Didier, and Julien Prévieux (eds.) *Statactivisme. Comment lutter avec des nombres*, Paris: La Découverte, coll. Zones, pp. 117–132.
- Tasset, Cyprien (2014b) 'Comment juguler la production de prolétaires intellectuels ? Les discours réformateurs contre la surproduction universitaire, 17^e-21^e siècles', in Isabelle Bruno, Emmanuel Didier, and Julien Prévieux (eds.) *Statactivisme. Comment lutter avec des nombres*, Paris: La Découverte, coll. Zones, pp. 183–213.
- Tasset, Cyprien (2015) *Les intellectuels précaires. Genèses et réalités d'une figure critique*, Paris: Thèse de l'EHESS.
- Taylor, Yvette, Sally Hines, and Mark E. Casey (eds.) (2011) *Theorizing Intersectionality and Sexuality*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Terray, Emmanuel (2003) 'Propos sur la violence symbolique', in Pierre Encrevé and Rose-Marie Lagrave (eds.) *Travailler avec Bourdieu*, Paris: Flammarion, pp. 299–304.
- Thompson, Craig J. (1993) 'Modern Truth and Postmodern Incredulity: A Hermeneutic Deconstruction of the Metanarrative of "Scientific

- Truth" in Marketing Research', *International Journal of Research in Marketing* 10(3): 325–338.
- Thompson, Toby (2017) *Heidegger and Executive Education: The Management of Time*, London: Routledge.
- Torfing, Jacob (1999) New Theories of Discourse: Laclau, Mouffe and Žižek, Oxford: Blackwell.
- Torres López, Juan, Alberto Garzón, Aitor Romero Ortega, Joel Serafín Almenara, Marcos Roitman, and Gerardo Tuduri (2011) *Hablan los indignados. Propuestas y materiales de trabajo*, Madrid: Popular.
- Turner, Bryan S. (2007) 'Justification, the City and Late Capitalism: An Extended Review of *The New Spirit of Capitalism*', *The Sociological Review* 55(2): 410–415.
- Turner, Graham (2008) *The Credit Crunch: Housing Bubbles, Globalisation* and the Worldwide Economic Crisis, London: Pluto Press in association with GFC Economics.
- Urban, Hugh B. (2003) 'Sacred Capital: Pierre Bourdieu and the Study of Religion', *Method & Theory in the Study of Religion* 15(4): 354–389.
- Vaisey, Stephen (2009) 'Motivation and Justification: A Dual-Process Model of Culture in Action', *American Journal of Sociology* 114(6): 1675–1715.
- van Raaij, W. Fred (1993) 'Postmodern Consumption', *Journal of Economic Psychology* 14(3): 541–563.
- Varga, Somogy (2012) Authenticity as an Ethical Ideal, New York: Routledge.
- Veblen, Thorstein (1970 [1899]) *The Theory of the Leisure Class. An Economic Study of Institutions*, with an introduction by C. Wright Mills, London: Allen and Unwin.
- Velasco, Pilar (2011) *No nos representan. El manifiesto de los indignados en 25 porpuestas*, Madrid: Temas de Hoy.
- Verter, Bradford (2003) 'Spiritual Capital: Theorizing Religion with Bourdieu Against Bourdieu', *Sociological Theory* 21(2): 150–174.
- Vester, Michael (2008) 'Klasse an sich / für sich', in Wolfgang Fritz Haug (ed.) *Historisch-kritisches Wörterbuch des Marxismus (Band 7/I)*, Hamburg: Argument-Verlag, pp. 736–775.
- Wacquant, Loïc (2002 [1993]) 'De l'idéologie à la violence symbolique : culture, classe et conscience chez Marx et Bourdieu', in Jean Lojkine (ed.) *Les sociologues critiques du capitalisme. En hommage à Pierre Bourdieu*, Paris: Collection Actuel Marx Confrontation, Presses Universitaires de France, pp. 25–40.
- Wacquant, Loïc (2013) 'Symbolic Power and Group-Making: On Pierre Bourdieu's Reframing of Class', *Journal of Classical Sociology* 13(2): 274–291.

- Wacquant, Loïc (2004 [1997]) 'Lire « Le Capital » de Pierre Bourdieu', in Louis Pinto, Gisèle Sapiro, and Patrick Champagne (eds.) *Pierre Bourdieu, sociologue*, Paris: Fayard, pp. 211–230.
- Wagner, Peter (1999) 'After *Justification*: Repertoires of Evaluation and the Sociology of Modernity', *European Journal of Social Theory* 2(3): 341–357.
- Wagner, Peter (2000) 'Dispute, Uncertainty and Institution in Recent French Debates', *The Journal of Political Philosophy* 2(3): 270–289.
- Weber, Thomas (1995) 'Basis', in Wolfgang Fritz Haug (ed.) *Historisch-kritisches Wörterbuch des Marxismus (Band 2)*, Hamburg: Argument-Verlag, pp. 27–49.
- Weiss, Linda (1997a) 'Globalization and the Myth of the Powerless State', *New Left Review* 225: 3–27.
- Weiss, Linda (1998) *The Myth of the Powerless State: Governing the Economy in a Global Era*, Cambridge: Polity.
- Weiss, Ulrich (1997b) 'Emanzipation', in Wolfgang Fritz Haug (ed.) *Historisch-kritisches Wörterbuch des Marxismus (Band 3)*, Hamburg: Argument-Verlag, pp. 272–290.
- Wellmer, Albrecht (1985) Zur Dialektik von Moderne und Postmoderne: Vernunftkritik nach Adorno, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- White, Hayden (1980) 'The Value of Narrativity in the Representation of Reality', *Critical Inquiry* 7(1): 5–27.
- White, Hayden (1984) 'The Question of Narrative in Contemporary Historical Theory', *History and Theory* 23(1): 1–33.
- White, Hayden (1987) *The Content of the Form: Narrative Discourse and Historical Representation*, Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Williams, Robert C. (2010) 'Confusing History', *History and Theory* 49(2): 304–309.
- Wolff, Rick (2004) ,Ideologische Staatsapparate / repressiver Staatsapparat', in Wolfgang Fritz Haug (ed.) *Historisch-kritisches Wörterbuch des Marxismus (Band 6/I)*, Hamburg: Argument-Verlag, pp. 761–772.
- Young, Iris Marion (1994 [1989]) 'Polity and Group Difference: A Critique of the Ideal of Universal Citizenship', in Bryan S. Turner and Peter Hamilton (eds.) *Citizenship: Critical Concepts*, Vol. 2, London: Routledge, pp. 386–408.
- Young, Iris Marion (1997) *Intersecting Voices: Dilemmas of Gender, Politi*cal Philosophy, and Policy, Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Zagorin, Perez (1999) 'History, the Referent, and Narrative: Reflections on Postmodernism Now', *History and Theory* 38(1): 1–24.
- Žižek, Slavoj (1989) The Sublime Object of Ideology, London: Verso.
- Žižek, Slavoj (ed.) (1994) Mapping Ideology, London: Verso.