

# City Research Online

# City, University of London Institutional Repository

**Citation:** Sanders, J., Bowden, T., Woolfe-Loftus, N., Sekhon, M. & Aitken, L. M. (2022). Predictors of health-related quality of life after cardiac surgery: a systematic review. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 20(1), 79. doi: 10.1186/s12955-022-01980-4

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://city-test.eprints-hosting.org/id/eprint/28209/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-022-01980-4

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online: <a href="mailto:http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/">http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</a> <a href="mailto:publications@city.ac.uk/">publications@city.ac.uk/</a>

PREDICTORS OF HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE AFTER CARDIAC SURGERY: A SYSTEMATIC **REVIEW** Julie Sanders<sup>1,2</sup> Tracey Bowden<sup>3</sup>, Nicholas Woolfe-Loftus<sup>1</sup>, Mandeep Sekhon<sup>3</sup> Leanne M Aitken<sup>3,4</sup> <sup>1</sup>St Bartholomew's Hospital, West Smithfield, Barts Health NHS Trust. London, UK EC1A 7DN <sup>2</sup>William Harvey Research Institute, Charterhouse Square, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK, EC1M 6BQ <sup>3</sup>School of Health Sciences, City, University of London, London, UK. EC1V 0HB <sup>4</sup> School of Nursing and Midwifery, Griffith University, Nathan, Queensland, Australia. QLD 4111. CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Professor Julie Sanders, Director of Clinical Research, St Bartholomew's Hospital, West Smithfield, Barts Health NHS Trust. West Smithfield. London. EC1A 7DN. Telephone: +44 (0)7960 311979. Email address: j.sanders@qmul.ac.uk Twitter: @julessanders2 

26	PREDICTORS OF HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE AFTER CARDIAC SURGERY: A SYSTEMATIC
27	REVIEW
28	ABSTRACT
29	
30	BACKGROUND
31	Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is important in determining surgical success, particularly from
32	the patients' perspective.
33	
34	AIMS
35	To identify predictors for HRQoL outcome after cardiac surgery in order to identify potentially
36	modifiable risk factors where interventions to improve patient outcomes could be targeted.
37	
38	METHODS
39	Electronic databases (including MEDLINE, CINAHL, Embase) were searched between January 2001
40	and December 2020 for studies determining risk predictors of HRQoL (using a recognised and
41	validated tool) in adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery. Data extraction and quality assessments
42	were undertaken and data was summarised using descriptive statistics and narrative synthesis, as
43	appropriate.
44	
45	RESULTS
46	Overall, 3924 papers were screened with 41 papers included in the review. Considerable
47	methodological heterogeneity between studies was observed. Most were single-centre (75.6%)
48	prospective observational studies (73.2%) conducted in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass
49	graft (CABG) (n=51.2%) using a version of the SF-36 (n=63.4%). Overall, 103 independent predictors
50	(62 pre-operative, five intra-operative and 36 post-operative) were identified, where 34 (33.0%)
51	were reported in more than one study. Potential pre-operative modifiable risk factors include

alcohol use, BMI/weight, depression, pre-operative quality of life and smoking while in the post-operative period pain and strategies to reduce post-operative complications and intensive care and hospital length of stay are potential therapeutic targets. CONCLUSION Despite a lack of consistency across studies, several potentially modifiable risk factors were identified that could be targeted in interventions to improve patient or treatment outcomes. This may contribute to delivering more person-centred care involving shared decision-making to improve patient HRQoL after cardiac surgery. **KEYWORDS:** Health-related quality of live; predictors; cardiac surgery; quality of life; patient reported outcome 

77	PREDICTORS OF HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE AFTER CARDIAC SURGERY: A SYSTEMATIC
78	REVIEW
79	
80	INTRODUCTION
81	High quality surgical care should include mortality, morbidity and patient-centred outcome
82	measurement <sup>1</sup> . However, patient reported outcomes (PRO) are rarely recorded. Even in research
83	contexts, PROs have only been reported in 29% of cardiac surgery trials <sup>2</sup> , despite the fact that those
84	experiencing post-operative complications have worse quality of life <sup>3</sup> , which can last three years
85	after surgery <sup>4</sup> .
86	
87	Despite clinicians previously considering health-related quality of life (HRQoL) less important that
88	clinical measures <sup>5</sup> , globally health ministers have stated the need to invest in measures that matter
89	most to people <sup>6</sup> . HRQoL measurement allows a holistic, patient-centred perspective of recovery and
90	it is becoming increasingly recognised that HRQoL is important in determining surgical success both
91	from the patients <sup>7</sup> and health-care commissioners <sup>8</sup> perspective.
92	
93	Factors that predict cardiac surgery mortality do not predict post-operative HRQoL outcome <sup>9</sup> . Thus,
94	an understanding of the factors that do predict HRQoL would be useful to inform patients of the
95	implications of surgery and interventions to improve potentially modifiable risk factors could be
96	implemented. Certainly in the UK, HRQoL, and factors associated with it, was identified as the top
97	ten research priority for adult cardiac surgery research <sup>10</sup> . We therefore undertook a literature
98	review to ascertain the predictors of HRQoL after cardiac surgery, to identify potentially modifiable
99	risk factors that could be targeted for intervention.

METHODS

## **Protocol and registration**

This review was registered on PROSPERO, an international prospective register of systematic review (February 2019, reference CRD42019120080) and conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.

### **Eligibility criteria**

All studies that undertook multivariable analysis to identify independent predictors of HRQoL after cardiac surgery were eligible for inclusion. The detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are detailed in Table 1.

#### Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Adult patients (≥18 years of age)	Surgical ablation procedures in isolation
Primary research	Ventricular Assist Device (VAD) procedures
English language	Studies that did not include multivariable analysis of
Published 2001 – 2020	predictors of HRQoL only
Patients undergoing cardiac surgical	Congenital heart disease
procedures	Heart transplantation
	Transcatheter aortic valve implantation
	Descriptive exploration of interventions such as cardiac
	rehabilitation
	Studies that did not use a recognised quality of life instrument
	Comparison of quality of life in patients who underwent
	cardiac surgery with those who received percutaneous
	coronary intervention
	Secondly intervention

#### Information sources, search strategy and study selection

A search of MEDLINE, Cumulated Index of Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Embase, Cochrane Library and clinicaltrials.gov (<a href="www.clinicaltrials.gov">www.clinicaltrials.gov</a>) was undertaken for relevant papers in English between January 2001 and December 2020. Search terms included cardiac surgery OR Cardiac Surgical Procedures AND quality of life OR outcome assessment and were adapted for each database (Supplementary material 1). Two authors screened the title and abstracts of all citations for suitability against the inclusion and exclusion criteria (Table 1). The reference lists of any identified systematic reviews were also screened for eligible papers.

#### Data collection and syntheses (data items and data collection process)

Data were extracted by two authors into a standardised proforma with disagreements resolved through discussion until consensus was achieved. Data extraction included author, country, year, study design, type of surgery, sample size, HRQoL tool used including the time-points where HRQoL was measured, and the independent predictors of HRQoL.

### Risk of bias and quality assessment

All included papers were quality reviewed using an adapted Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) template for cohort studies (https://casp-uk.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/CASP-Clinical-Prediction-Rule-Checklist\_2018.pdf). Initial papers were reviewed independently by two authors to ensure consistency and subsequent papers were reviewed by two of four authors with additional random checks undertaken at the end to be assured of continued assurance. A risk of bias graph was generated. Studies were not excluded on the basis of the quality assessment.

141 **Analysis** 142 Following data extraction, results were summarised using descriptive statistics, tables and narrative 143 synthesis, as appropriate. Interpretation of the analysis was discussed and agreed by all members of 144 the authorship team. Meta-analysis was not possible due to the heterogeneity of studies. 145 146 **RESULTS** 147 Study selection 148 A total of 3924 papers were identified for possible inclusion (Figure 1) with 100 papers undergoing 149 independent full-text assessment. This resulted in 41 papers being included for data synthesis. 150 151 **Study characteristics** 152 Thirty-two studies were conducted in Europe (two of which were in the UK), four each in Australia 153 the USA, and one in Canada (Table 2). The vast majority were single centre (n=31) with seven studies 154 conducted in two centres and three studies conducted in multiple centres. Most were prospective 155 observational studies (n=30) on patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) (n=21), 156 CABG and/or valve surgery (n=10), valve only (n=1) or all types of cardiac surgery (n=9), with sample 157 sizes in the HRQoL analysis ranging from 34 to 8676. A version of the SF-36 was used in the majority 158 of studies (n=26). 159 160 In most studies HRQoL was measured pre-operatively (n=35) in addition to at least one post-161 operative assessment (Table 2), usually within six months of surgery (n=20) with twenty-four studies 162 assessing outcome at one year or beyond (some studies assessed at more than one time-point). 163 HRQoL was not the primary outcome in all studies.

164

165

166

#### Risk of Bias

Figures 2 and 3 demonstrate the variable risk of bias across studies and also in considering studies individually.

#### Independent predictors of HRQoL

Due to the variation in analysis and reporting across the studies, the independent predictors identified were grouped by operative and follow-up time-frame (Table 3). In total, 103 independent predictors (62 pre-operative, 5 intra-operative and 36 post-operative) were identified. Of those 103 variables 34 (33.0%) were identified as significant in more than one study and almost all of those (n=33 (97.1%)) were also found to be non-significant in other studies (non-significant variable data detailed in Supplementary Table 2). Variables found to be predictive at all three time-points were age, angina, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabetes, gender, hypertension and NYHA class and peripheral vascular disease.

#### Potentially modifiable risk factors

Of the 62 pre-operative variables identified as independent risk factors for HRQoL outcome those that are potentially modifiable pre-surgery include alcohol use, body mass index (BMI)/weight, depression, pre-operative quality of life and smoking (Table 3).

Similarly, in the post-operative period independent predictors with the potential to be modified to improve HRQoL outcome were pain, traumatic memories and restlessness in the intensive care unit (ICU). Furthermore, general strategies to reduce post-operative complications (including infection, myocardial infarction, arrythmias and readmission) and shorten ICU and hospital length of stay are also identified as potential targets to improve post-surgical HRQoL (Table 3).

#### **DISCUSSION**

The inclusion, measurement and use of HRQoL and PRO in cardiac surgery is lacking. Healthcare organisations need to work with patients to deliver more person-centred care, sharing decision-making, to meaningfully improve care outcomes<sup>35</sup>. The 'holy grail' of prognostic factor research is to improve patient outcomes by providing a personalised approach to healthcare and risk prediction and how such factors could be used to improve patient or treatment outcomes<sup>36</sup>. Thus, we sought to identify known risk predictors for HRQoL outcome after cardiac surgery, specifically to focus on modifiable risk factors where interventions to improve patient or treatment outcomes could be targeted. We identified 41 studies, which were predominantly European-based single-centre prospective observational studies conducted in CABG patients. Certainly, recognition of the non-modifiable risk factors found to be particularly impactful both on short and longer-term HRQoL (age, angina, COPD, diabetes, gender, hypertension and NYHA class and peripheral vascular disease) may assist in identifying high risk patients and the identification of interventions and associated resources that might then be directed to assisting these patients to recover. In terms of potential modifiable risk factors, pre-operative factors include alcohol use, smoking, BMI/weight depression, and pre-operative quality of life, while ongoing pain management, prevention of post-operative

complications and general strategies to reduce ICU and hospital length of stay could also be beneficial.

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

219

220

Individually focused lifestyle and therapeutic interventions have shown effectiveness in weight and BMI reduction<sup>37</sup>, decreasing alcohol consumption<sup>38</sup>, psychological preparation (including depression and anxiety)<sup>39</sup> and smoking cessation<sup>40</sup>. Given that BMI<sup>41</sup>, alcohol use<sup>42</sup>, depression and anxiety<sup>43</sup> and smoking<sup>44</sup> have also been identified to be associated with many in-hospital post-operative complications, strategies to encourage their reduction are likely to have beneficial impacts on improving overall morbidity and general recovery. As yet, interventions specifically targeting preoperative HRQoL do not exist. While most tools combine physical, mental and social wellbeing traditionally greater emphasis clinically has placed on physical health. Nonetheless, the importance of psychological readiness and inclusion of social support and anxiety reduction in prehabilitation programmes is now recognised as part of cardiac surgery enhanced recovery<sup>45</sup>. Furthermore, we found that severe pain during the ICU stay was an independent predictor of HRQoL at six months<sup>46</sup>, while high pain scores at 15 months were predictive of HRQoL eight years after surgery in elderly patients<sup>47</sup>. Since up to 10% of cardiac surgery patients develop severe chronic post-surgical pain<sup>48</sup>, with predictors of chronic pain including early severe pain<sup>49</sup> personalised effective pain management is vital. Current recommendations suggest the use of multimodal opioid-sparing pain management alongside the use of a pain assessment tool to ensure the lowest opioid dose<sup>45</sup>.

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

Certainly, future work requires more methodologically robust studies, including large multi-site studies with appropriate control of confounding factors. However, generally a greater emphasis on HRQoL as an outcome measure after cardiac surgery, both clinically and in research, is needed.

Although HRQoL has been previously undervalued by clinicians<sup>5</sup>, the landscape is changing with the importance of HRQoL now recognised in cardiac surgery clinical guidelines<sup>50</sup>, the enhanced recovery recommendations<sup>45</sup>, the cardiac surgery core outcome dataset<sup>51</sup> and that PROs are included in the

Swedish national registers<sup>52</sup> and emerging in the USA STS National Database<sup>53</sup>. Similarly, HRQoL is reported as a top research priority in cardiac surgery, both in the UK<sup>10</sup> and in the USA<sup>54</sup>. Therefore, our review is timely, in that it collates the available evidence on predictors of HRQoL, highlights potential modifiable factors on which interventions could be based in improve patient outcome and emphasises where greater research quality in prognosis factor research should reside in this area.

#### **Strengths and limitations**

Despite the methodological robustness of this review, there are three main limitations. Firstly, the methodological heterogeneity of the included studies restricts the ability to make strong conclusions or undertake a meta-analysis. Our review reflects that despite the considerable growth in prognosis research, the quality is often sub-standard<sup>55</sup>. Secondly, although only English language publications were included, studies from around the World have been included, providing a relatively wide base of evidence. Finally, included studies were limited to those published from 2001. A balance was struck between including all evidence and ensuring the results of this review were clinically appropriate outcome risk factors for the current time. A period of 20-years was deemed sufficient to address the balance needed.

In conclusion, despite a lack of consistency across studies, several potentially modifiable risk factors on which interventions to improve patient HRQoL outcomes could be targeted were identified. While this review has robustly collated the current best prognosis factor evidence relating to risk predictors of HRQoL after cardiac surgery, there is still a need for large multi-site studies, with appropriate control of confounding factors, to examine the role of these factors in affecting HRQoL outcome. Now that considerably more emphasis is placed on the importance of HRQoL and PROs after cardiac surgery, the hope is that this will contribute to delivering more person-centred care involving shared decision-making to improve patient short- and longer-term recovery.

271	IMPLIC	ATIONS FOR PRACTICE
272	•	Cardiac surgery and enhanced recovery guidelines highlight the importance of HRQoL
273	•	Pre-operative lifestyle and therapeutic interventions relating to weight, alcohol use,
274		psychological preparation and smoking cessation may improve HRQoL
275	•	Reducing chronic post-operative pain, in-hospital complications and length of hospital stay
276		could also improve HRQoL.
277	•	More person-centred care, including HRQoL and shared decision-making, is needed to
278		improve patient short- and longer-term recovery
279		
280	ABBRE	VIATIONS
281	ВМІ	body mass index
282	CABG	coronary artery bypass graft
283	CASP	Critical Appraisal Skills Programme
284	COPD	chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
285	HRQoL	health-related quality of life
286	ICU	intensive care unit
287	MCS	mental component score
288	NYHA	New York Heart Association
289	PCS	physical component score
290	PRISMA	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses
291	PRO	patient reported outcomes
292	PROSPE	RO International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews
293	SF-36	Short-Form 36
294		
295		

297	DECLARATIONS
298	Ethics approval and consent to participate
299	Not applicable
300	
301	Consent for publication
302	Not applicable
303	
304	Availability of data and materials
305	Not applicable
306	
307	Competing interests
308	The authors declare that they have no competing interests
309	
310	Funding
311	This work was supported by Barts Charity (Grant Reference Number: MRD0181) to Leanne Aitken for
312	research associate support.
313	
314	Authors' contributions
315 316 317 318 319 320 321	<b>JS</b> :Conceptualisation, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, supervision, validation, visualisation, writing-original draft; <b>TB</b> :data curation, formal analysis, investigation, validation, writing-review and editing; <b>NW</b> :data curation, investigation, writing-review and editing; <b>CA</b> :Conceptualisation, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, validation, writing-review and editing.
322	Acknowledgements
323	Not applicable
324	
225	

#### REFERENCES

- 329 1. Birkmeyer JD, Dimick JB, Birkmeyer NJO. Measuring the quality of surgical care: structure, process, or outcomes? *J Am Coll Surg* 2004; 198: 626–632.
- Goldfarb M, Drudi L, Almohammadi M, et al. Outcome reporting in cardiac surgery trials:
   Systematic review and critical appraisal. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2015; 4: 1–9.
- Martin C, Holmes S, Martin L, et al. Abstract P331: The Impact of In-Hospital Postoperative
   Complications on Health Related Quality of Life in Cardiac Surgery Patients. In: Circulation:

  Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes,
- Myles PS, Viira D, Hunt JO. Quality of life at three years after cardiac surgery: relationship
   with preoperative status and quality of recovery. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2006; 34: 176–83.
- Calvert M, Kyte D, Price G, et al. Maximising the impact of patient reported outcome
   assessment for patients and society. *BMJ* 2019; 364: 1–8.
- Ministers OH. *Ministerial Statement: The Next Generation of Health Reforms Ministerial* Statement. Epub ahead of print 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j.palaeo.2006.08.010.
- Colak Z, Segotic I, Uzun S, et al. Health related quality of life following cardiac surgery correlation with EuroSCORE. *Eur J Cardio-thoracic Surg* 2008; 33: 72–76.
- 344 8. Devlin NJ, Buxton M, Vallance-Owen A, et al. *Getting the most out of PROMs: health*345 *outcomes and NHS decision-making*, www.kingsfund.org.uk/publications (2010, accessed 13
  346 April 2018).
- Vainiola T, Pettilä V, Roine RP, et al. Comparison of two utility instruments, the EQ-5D and
   the 15D, in the critical care setting. *Intensive Care Med* 2010; 36: 2090–3.
- 10. Lai FY, Abbasciano RG, Tabberer B, et al. Identifying research priorities in cardiac surgery: A report from the James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership in adult heart surgery. *BMJ*351 *Open* 2020; 10: 1–9.

- 352 11. Myles PS, Hunt JO, Fletcher H, et al. Relation between Quality of Recovery in Hospital and
- 353 Quality of Life at 3 Months after Cardiac Surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2001; 95: 862–867.
- 354 12. Norkienė I, Urbanaviciute I, Kezyte G, et al. Impact of pre-operative health-related quality of
- 355 life on outcomes after heart surgery. ANZ J Surg 2018; 88: 332–336.
- 356 13. Kurfirst V, Mokráček A, Krupauerová M, et al. Health-related quality of life after cardiac
- 357 surgery--the effects of age, preoperative conditions and postoperative complications. J
- 358 *Cardiothorac Surg* 2014; 9: 46.
- 359 14. Baldassarre FG, Arthur HM, DiCenso A, et al. Effect of coronary artery bypass graft surgery on
- older women's health-related quality of life. *Hear Lung J Acute Crit Care* 2002; 31: 421–431.
- 361 15. Schelling G, Richter M, Roozendaal B, et al. Exposure to high stress in the intensive care unit
- may have negative effects on health-related quality-of-life outcomes after cardiac surgery.
- 363 *Crit Care Med* 2003; 31: 1971–1980.
- 364 16. Baberg HT, Dirlich M, Laczkovics A, et al. Determinants of health-related quality of life after
- aortic valve replacement in six-month survivors of intervention. *J Heart Valve Dis* 2004; 13:
- 366 914–920.
- 367 17. Rumsfeld JS, Ho PM, Magid DJ, et al. Predictors of health-related quality of life after coronary
- artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004; 77: 1508–1513.
- 369 18. Al-Ruzzeh S, Athanasiou T, Mangoush O, et al. Predictors of poor mid-term health related
- quality of life after primary isolated coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. *Heart* 2005; 91:
- 371 1557–62.
- 372 19. Le Grande MR, Elliott PC, Murphy BM, et al. Health related quality of life trajectories and
- predictors following coronary artery bypass surgery. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2006; 4: 49.
- 374 20. Grady KL, Lee R, Subačius H, et al. Improvements in Health-Related Quality of Life Before and
- 375 After Isolated Cardiac Operations. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011; 91: 777–783.
- 376 21. Patron E, Messerotti Benvenuti S, Palomba D. Preoperative biomedical risk and depressive
- 377 symptoms are differently associated with reduced health-related quality of life in patients

- 378 1 year after cardiac surgery. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2016; 40: 47–54.
- 379 22. Grand N, Bouchet JB, Zufferey P, et al. Quality of Life After Cardiac Operations Based on the Minimal Clinically Important Difference Concept. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2018; 106: 548–554.
- Coelho PNMP, Miranda LMRPC, Barros PMP, et al. Quality of life after elective cardiac surgery
   in elderly patients. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2019; 28: 199–205.
- 383 24. Blokzijl F, Houterman S, Van Straten BHM, et al. Quality of life after coronary bypass: A
  384 multicentre study of routinely collected health data in the Netherlands. *Eur J Cardio-thoracic*385 *Surg* 2019; 56: 526–533.
- Joskowiak D, Meusel D, Kamla C, et al. Impact of Preoperative Functional Status on Quality of
  Life after Cardiac Surgery. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. Epub ahead of print 2019. DOI: 10.1055/s0039-1696953.
- 26. Perrotti A, Ecamot F, Monaco F, et al. Quality of life 10 years after cardiac surgery in adults: a long-term follow-up study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2020; 18: 1–10.
- Jarvinen O, Julkunen J, Saarinen T, et al. Perioperative myocardial infarction has negative
   impact on health-related quality of life following coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Eur J Cardio-Thoracic Surg* 2004; 26: 621–627.
- 394 28. Rijnhart-De Jong H, Haenen J, Bol Raap G, et al. Determinants of non-recovery in physical
  395 health-related quality of life one year after cardiac surgery: A prospective single Centre
  396 observational study. *J Cardiothorac Surg* 2020; 15: 1–10.
- Juergens MC, Seekatz B, Moosdorf RG, et al. Illness beliefs before cardiac surgery predict
   disability, quality of life, and depression 3 months later. *J Psychosom Res* 2010; 68: 553–560.
- 399 30. Deaton C, Thourani V. Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass
   400 Graft Surgery: Predictors of Outcomes. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2009; 8: 48–56.
- 401 31. El Baz N, Middel B, Van Dijk JP, et al. EuroSCORE predicts poor health-related physical 402 functioning six month postcoronary artery bypass graft surgery. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)* 403 2008; 49: 663–72.

- 404 32. Humphreys JM, Denson LA, Baker RA, et al. The importance of depression and alcohol use in
- 405 coronary artery bypass graft surgery patients: Risk factors for delirium and poorer quality of
- 406 life. *J Geriatr Cardiol* 2016; 13: 51–57.
- 407 33. Falcoz PE, Chocron S, Stoica L, et al. Open Heart Surgery: One-Year Self-Assessment of Quality
- of Life and Functional Outcome. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003; 76: 1598–1604.
- 409 34. Kube T, Meyer J, Grieshaber P, et al. Patients' pre- and postoperative expectations as
- 410 predictors of clinical outcomes six months after cardiac surgery. *Psychol Heal Med* 2020; 25:
- 411 781–792.
- 412 35. Alderwick H, Dixon J. The NHS long term plan. *BMJ* 2019; 364: 1–136.
- 413 36. Riley RD, van der Windt DA, Croft P, et al. (eds). Prognosis research in healthcare: Concepts,
- 414 *methods and impact*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2019.
- 415 37. Johnson M, Desiree Backman M, Neal Kohatsu R, et al. *Interventions for Reducing Body Mass*
- 416 Index and Other Weight-related Indicators: A Review of Systematic Reviews,
- 417 https://www.ucdmc.ucdavis.edu/iphi/Programs/OP/resources/Interventions for Reducing
- BMI and Other Weight-related Indicators Review of Systematic Reviews\_12-15-16.pdf (2016,
- 419 accessed 19 April 2018).
- 420 38. Budworth L, Prestwich A, Lawton R, et al. Preoperative Interventions for Alcohol and Other
- 421 Recreational Substance Use: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Psychol 2019; 10:
- 422 34.
- 423 39. Salzmann S, Salzmann-Djufri M, Wilhelm M, et al. Psychological Preparation for Cardiac
- 424 Surgery. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2020; 22: 172.
- 425 40. Cahill, Stevens, Perera, et al. Pharmacological interventions for smoking cessation: an
- 426 overview and network meta-analysis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; N.PA-N.PA.
- 427 41. Gao M, Sun J, Young N, et al. Impact of Body Mass Index on Outcomes in Cardiac Surgery. J
- 428 *Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2016; 30: 1308–16.
- 429 42. Eliasen M, Grønkjær M, Skov-Ettrup LS, et al. Preoperative alcohol consumption and

- postoperative complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg* 2013; 258:
- 431 930–42.
- 432 43. Pignay-Demaria V, Lespérance F, Demaria RG, et al. Depression and anxiety and outcomes of
- 433 coronary artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003; 75: 314–321.
- 434 44. Sepehripour AH, Lo TT, McCormack DJ, et al. Is there benefit in smoking cessation prior to
- 435 cardiac surgery? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2012; 15: 726–732.
- 436 45. Engelman DT, Ben Ali W, Williams JB, et al. Guidelines for Perioperative Care in Cardiac
- Surgery: Enhanced Recovery after Surgery Society Recommendations. *JAMA Surg* 2019; 12:
- 438 1–12.
- 439 46. Vainiola T, Roine RP, Suojaranta-Ylinen R, et al. Can factors related to mortality be used to
- 440 predict the follow-up health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in cardiac surgery patients?
- 441 *Intensive Crit Care Nurs* 2013; 29: 337–43.
- 442 47. Jokinen JJ, Hippelainen MJ, Hanninen T, et al. Prospective assessment of quality of life of
- octogenarians after cardiac surgery: factors predicting long-term outcome. *Interact*
- 444 *Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2008; 7: 813–818.
- 445 48. Gjeilo KH, Stenseth R, Klepstad P. Risk Factors and Early Pharmacological Interventions to
- 446 Prevent Chronic Postsurgical Pain Following Cardiac Surgery. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs* 2014; 14:
- 447 335–342.
- 448 49. Bjørnnes AK, Parry M, Lie I, et al. Pain experiences of men and women after cardiac surgery. J
- 449 *Clin Nurs* 2016; 25: 3058–3068.
- 450 50. Sousa-Uva M, Neumann FJ, Ahlsson A, et al. 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial
- revascularization. *Eur J Cardio-Thoracic Surg* 2019; 55: 4–90.
- 452 51. Benstoem C, Moza A, Meybohm P, et al. A core outcome set for adult cardiac surgery trials: A
- 453 consensus study. *PLoS One* 2017; 12: 1–12.
- 454 52. Nilsson E, Orwelius L, Kristenson M. Patient-reported outcomes in the Swedish National
- 455 Quality Registers. *J Intern Med* 2016; 279: 141–153.

- 456 53. D'Agostino RS, Jacobs JP, Badhwar V, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac
- Surgery Database: 2019 Update on Outcomes and Quality. Ann Thorac Surg 2019; 107: 24–
- 458 32.
- 459 54. Khazanie P, Krumholz HM, Kiefe CI, et al. Priorities for Cardiovascular Outcomes Research: A
- 460 Report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's Centers for Cardiovascular
- Outcomes Research Working Group. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2017; 10: 1–8.
- 462 55. Riley R, Moons KG, Hayden J, et al. Prognostic Factor Research. In: Riley R, van der Windt D,
- 463 Croft P, et al. (eds) *Prognosis Research in Healthcare*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2019,
- 464 pp. 107–138.
- 465 56. Herlitz J, Brandrup-Wognsen G, Caidahl K, et al. Improvement and factors associated with
- improvement in quality of life during 10 years after coronary artery bypass drafting. *Coron*
- 467 *Artery Dis* 2003; 14: 509–517.
- 468 57. Schelling G, Richter M, Roozendaal B, et al. Exposure to high stress in the intensive care unit
- may have negative effects on health-related quality-of-life outcomes after cardiac surgery.
- 470 *Crit Care Med.* 2003; 31: 1971–1980.
- 471 58. Herlitz J, Brandrup-Wognsen G, Caidahl K, et al. Determinants for an impaired quality of life
- 472 10 years after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Int J Cardiol* 2005; 98: 447–452.
- 473 59. Peric V, Borzanovic M, Jovanovic A, et al. The relationship between EuroSCORE preoperative
- 474 risk prediction and quality of life changes after coronary artery by-pass surgery. *Interact*
- 475 *Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2005; 4: 622–626.
- 476 60. Noyez L, Markou A, van Breugel F. Quality of life one year after myocardial revascularization.
- 477 Is preoperative quality of life important? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2006; 5: 115–120.
- 478 61. Panagopoulou E, Montgomery A, Benos A. Quality of life after coronary artery bypass
- grafting: evaluating the influence of preoperative physical and psychosocial functioning. *J*
- 480 *Psychosom Res* 2006; 60: 639–644.
- 481 62. Dunning J, Waller JRL, Smith B, et al. Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting is Associated With

482		Excellent Long-Term Survival and Quality of Life: A Prospective Cohort Study. <i>Ann Thorac Surg</i>
483		2008; 85: 1988–1993.
484	63.	Peric V, Borzanovic M, Stolic R, et al. Predictors of Worsening of Patients' Quality of Life Six
485		Months After Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery. <i>J Card Surg</i> 2008; 23: 648–654.
486	64.	Herlitz J, Brandrup-Wognsen G, Evander MH, et al. Quality of life 15 years after coronary
487		artery bypass grafting. Coron Artery Dis 2009; 20: 363–369.
488	65.	Maisano F, Viganò G, Calabrese C, et al. Quality of life of elderly patients following valve
489		surgery for chronic organic mitral regurgitation. <i>Eur J Cardio-thoracic Surg</i> 2009; 36: 261–266.
490	66.	Rantanen A, Kaunonen M, Tarkka M, et al. Patients' and significant others' health-related
491		quality of life one month after coronary artery bypass grafting predicts later health-related
492		quality of life. Hear Lung J Acute Crit Care 2009; 38: 318–329.
493	67.	Peric V, Borzanovic M, Stolic R, et al. Quality of life in patients related to gender differences
494		before and after coronary artery bypass surgery☆. <i>Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg</i> 2010; 10:
495		232–238.
496	68.	Bjørnnes AK, Parry M, Falk R, et al. Impact of marital status and comorbid disorders on
497		health-related quality of life after cardiac surgery. Qual Life Res 2017; 26: 2421–2434.
498	69.	Bishawi M, Hattler B, Almassi GH, et al. Preoperative factors associated with worsening in
499		health-related quality of life following coronary artery bypass grafting in the Randomized
500		On/Off Bypass (ROOBY) trial. Am Heart J 2018; 198: 33–38.
501	70.	Schaal NK, Assmann A, Rosendahl J, et al. Health-related quality of life after heart surgery –
502		Identification of high-risk patients: A cohort study. <i>Int J Surg</i> 2020; 76: 171–177.
503		

# 505 Table 2: Study characteristics (n=41).

Study	Study design	Patients (population (	Patients (population (type of surgery) and sample size)			
(Author, year,	(including (number of					
country)	sites))	Type of surgery	Samp	le size	predictive model	
					(months unless	
			Participation rate of	Completed follow-up:	otherwise stated)	
			eligible persons	total cohort		
Myles 2001 <sup>11</sup>	Pre-op post-op (1)	CABG, valve,	120/125 (96%)	108/120 (90%)	SF-36(3)	
Australia		combined, other				
Baldassarre	Prospective cohort (1)	Isolated CABG	34/64 (53%)	30/34 (88%)	SF-36(3)	
200214		(primary)				
Canada						

Falcoz 2003 <sup>33</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	CABG, valve,	293/452 (65%)	264/293 (90%)	SF-36(12)
France		combined, other			
		(elective)			
Herlitz 2003 <sup>56</sup>	Prospective cohort (2)	Isolated CABG	1225/2000 (61%)	976/2000 (49%)	NHP(10years)
Sweden		(primary)			
Schelling 2003 <sup>57</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	CABG, valve,	223/387 (58%)	148/223 (66%)	SF-36(6)
Germany		combined			
Baberg 2004 <sup>16</sup>	Prospective and	AVR +/- MVR	201/414 (47%)	201/414 (49%)	SF-36(3years) <sup>a</sup>
Germany	retrospective cohort				
	(1)				
Jarvinen 2004 <sup>27</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	Isolated CABG	501/1128 (44%)	458/501 (91%)	SF-36(12)
Finland					

Rumsfeld 2004 <sup>17</sup>	Prospective cohort	Isolated CABG	2480/3956 (63%)	1973/2480 (80%)	SF-36(6)
America	(14)	(primary)			
Al-Ruzzeh	Cross-sectional (1)	Isolated CABG	437/463 (94%)	NA	SF-36(12) <sup>a</sup>
200518		(primary)			
UK					
Herlitz 2005 <sup>58</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	Isolated CABG	1225/2000 (61%)	637/1225 (52%)	NHP(10years) <sup>b</sup>
Sweden	,	(primary)			( 2 / 22 2 /
Sweden		(primary)			
Peric 2005 <sup>59</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	Elective CABG	243 (no mention of	226/243 (93%)	NHP(6)
Serbia and			consent/refusal rate)		
Montenegro					
Le Grande	Pre-op post-op (1)	Elective CABG	182/407 (45%)	117/182 (64%)	SF-36(2, 6)
2006 <sup>19</sup>					

Australia					
Myles 2006 <sup>4</sup>	Pre-op post-op (1)	CABG, valve,	108/120 (90%)	93/108 (86%)	SF-36(3, 3years)
Australia		combined, other			
Noyez 2006 <sup>60</sup>	Retrospective cohort	Isolated CABG	428/428 (100%)	428/428 (100%)	EQ-5D(12)
Netherlands	(1)				
Panagopoulou2	Prospective cohort (1)	Elective CABG	157/256 (61%)	1mo:117/157 (75%)	MNHD-Q(1,6)
006 <sup>61</sup>				6mo:104/157 (66%)	
Greece					
Dunning 2008 <sup>62</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	Isolated CABG	911/1180 (77%)	621/911 (68%)	EQ-5D(10) <sup>a</sup>
England					
El Baz 2008 <sup>31</sup>	Prospective	CABG	198/256 (73%)	168/198 (85%)	SF-36(6)
Netherlands	observational (2)				

Jokinen 2008 <sup>47</sup>	Prospective	CABG, valve,	91/98 (93%)	46/91 (51%)	NHP(15, 8.2years) <sup>b</sup>
Finland	observational cohort	combined, other			
	(1)				
Peric 2008 <sup>63</sup>	Pre-op post-op (1)	Isolated CABG	Not reported	192/208 (92%)	NHP(6)
Serbia					
Deaton 2009 <sup>30</sup>	Prospective cohort (2)	Isolated CABG	317/442 (72%)	270/317 (85%)	SF-36(3) <sup>a</sup>
USA					
Herlitz 2009 <sup>64</sup>	Prospective cohort (2)	Isolated CABG	Not reported.	639/2000 (32%)	NHP(15 years)
Sweden		(primary)	2000 screened		
Maisano 2009 <sup>65</sup>	Retrospective cohort	Mitral valve surgery	225/225 (100%)	220/225 (98%)	MLHF(3 years) <sup>a</sup>
Italy (implied by	with prospective	(± AVR,± TV			
authorship, not	assessment of HRQoL	surgery,± CABG)			
stated)	(1) (implied)				
Rantanen	Prospective cohort (1)	Elective CABG	1mo:274/367 (75%)	1mo:270/274 (99%)	15D(1,6,12)
2009 <sup>66</sup>			6mo:244/271 (90%)	6mo:240/244 (98%)	

Finland			12mo:236/266 (89%)	12mo:235/236 (100%)	
Juergens 2010 <sup>29</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	Elective CABG, valve,	56/85(65%)	42/65(75%)	SF-12(3)
Germany		combined			
Peric 2010 <sup>67</sup>	Prospective pre-op	Elective CABG	243/243 (100%)	226/243 (93%)	NHP(6)
Serbia	post-op (1)				
Grady 2011 <sup>20</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	CABG, valve, maze,	840/2524 (33%)	0mo:173/840 (21%)	SF-36(3, 6, 12,
America		combined		6mo:177/840 (21%)	2years, 3years)
				12mo:174/840 (21%)	
				24mo:129/840 (15%)	
				36mo:69/840 (8%)	
				Total:816/840 (97%)	
Vainiola 2013 <sup>46</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	CABG, valve,	785/980 (80%)	571/785 (73%)	15-D(6)
Finland		combined, aortic,			
		other			

Kurfirst 2014 <sup>13</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	CABG, valve,	310 eligible.	260/310 (84%)	SF-36(12)
Czech republic		combined (elective)			
Humphreys	Prospective cohort (1)	Elective CABG	180 agreed to	173/180 (96%)	SF-36(6)
2016 <sup>32</sup>			participate. No		
Australia			further details		
Patron 2016 <sup>21</sup>	Pre-op post-op (1)	CABG, valve,	92/92 (100%)	75/92 (82%)	SF-12(12)
Italy		combined (primary,			
		elective)			
Bjornnes 2017 <sup>68</sup>	Secondary analysis of	CABG, valve,	416/525 (79%)	349/416 (84%)	15D(2 weeks,
Norway	RCT (2)	combined			3,6,12)

Norkiene 2018 <sup>12</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	CABG, valve,	210 / 210 (100%)	105/210(50%)	SF-36(12)
Lithuania		combined	No further details		
Bishawi 2018 <sup>69</sup>	Secondary analysis of	Isolated CABG	2203/3670 (60%)	1770 / 2203 (80%)	SAQVR-36(12)
America	RCT (18)	(urgent or elective)			
Grand 2018 <sup>22</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	CABG, valve,	495/548 (90%)	326/495 (66%)	SF-36(6)
France		combined (elective)			
Coelho 2019 <sup>23</sup>	Prospective cohort	CABG, valve,	Not stated	384/430 (89%)	SF-36(12)
Portugal	(1) (implied)	combined (elective)			
Blokzijl 2019 <sup>24</sup>	Retrospective cohort	Elective CABG	2606/8643 (30%)	2606/8643 (30%)	SF-36 or SF12(10-
	·	Liective CABG	2000/8043 (30%)	2000/8043 (30%)	,
Netherlands	multicentre (3)				14)
Joskowiak	Prospective cohort (1)	CABG, valve,	164 consented but	164/164 (100%)	SF-36(12)
2019 <sup>25</sup>		combined, aortic,	does not state number		
Germany		other, redo (elective)			

			who were eligible and		
			screened		
Perrotti 2019 <sup>26</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	Isolated CABG	272/272 (100%)	118/272 (43%)	SF-36(10years)
France		(elective)			
Kube 2020 <sup>34</sup>	Prospective cohort (2)	CABG, valve,	70/110 (64%)	53/70 (76%)	SF-12(6)
Germany		combined (elective)			
Rijnhart-de Jong	Prospective cohort (1)	Non-salvage cardiac	1544/1773 (87%)	874/1544 (57%)	SF-36(12)
2020 <sup>28</sup>		surgery			
Schaal 2020 <sup>70</sup>	Prospective cohort (1)	CABG, valve,	8676/14043 (62%)	8676/14043 (62%)	NHP(6)
Germany		combined, aortic,			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> no preoperative HRQoL assessment performed; <sup>b</sup> preoperative HRQoL assessment carried out in some, but not all patients; **Abbreviations**: AVR:aortic valve replacement; CABG:Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery; EQ-5D:EuroQol- 5 Dimension; HRQoL:Health-related Quality of Life; MLHF:Minnesota Living with Heart Failure questionnaire; MNHD-Q:MacNew Heart Disease Quality of Life Questionnaire; MVR:mitral valve replacement; NHP:Nottingham Health Profile;

PF:physical function; SAQ:Seattle Angina Questionnaire; SF-12:12 item short form health survey; SF-36:36 item short form health survey; TV:tricuspid valve;

510 VR-36:Veteran's Rand(version of SF36)

509

511

# Table 3: Independent variables by operative and follow-up time period

	Independent predictors of HRQoL between	Independent predictors of HRQoL between	Independent predictors of HRQoL at 8.2
	1 and 6 months follow-up	1year and 3.5years follow-up	years and beyond
Pre-operative	Age <sup>14,17,32, 34,70</sup>	Atrial fibrillation <sup>65</sup>	Age <sup>56,58,64</sup>
variables	Alcohol use <sup>32</sup>	Age <sup>20, 23,25,65,66, 68</sup>	Angina <sup>26</sup>
	ASA score <sup>15,22</sup>	Angina class <sup>33</sup>	COPD <sup>58</sup>
	Angina <sup>22, 31,70</sup>	Back/neck problems <sup>68</sup>	COPD/asthma <sup>62</sup>
	BMI <sup>30</sup>	BMI <sup>20</sup>	CCSC <sup>62</sup>
	Cerebrovascular disease <sup>32, 33,69</sup>	Chronic Heart Failure <sup>18,20</sup>	Diabetes <sup>26,47,58,62,64</sup>
	Charlton Comorbidity Index <sup>30</sup>	COPD <sup>20, 33,69</sup>	Duration of cardiac symptoms pre-op >120
	Chronic Heart Failure <sup>32</sup>	Cerebrovascular accident <sup>69</sup>	days <sup>47</sup>
	Chronic neurological disease <sup>17</sup>	Depression <sup>21,68,69</sup>	Dyspnea <sup>26</sup>

COPD <sup>17</sup>	Diabetes Mellitus <sup>16, 28,65,69</sup>	Gender:Female <sup>62,64</sup>
Delirium <sup>32</sup>	Education <sup>21,33,68</sup>	Height <sup>58</sup>
Depression <sup>32</sup>	Ejection Fraction <sup>24,33</sup>	Hypertension <sup>56,58</sup>
Diabetes mellitus <sup>46,63,67,</sup>	Ethnicity (non-white) <sup>20</sup>	NYHA class <sup>56,64</sup>
Ejection fraction <sup>17,63</sup>	EuroSCORE <sup>21,65</sup>	Obesity <sup>58,64</sup>
Ethnicity (aboriginal) <sup>32</sup>	Gastrointestinal tract problems <sup>18</sup>	Pre-op Nottingham Health Profile <sup>56</sup>
Everyday functioning questionnaire <sup>19</sup>	Gender <sup>23</sup>	Pre-op inferior QoL <sup>64</sup>
EuroSCORE <sup>31,59</sup>	Gender:Female <sup>20,28</sup>	Protective use of statin <sup>47</sup>
FEV1 <sup>17</sup>	Gender/marital status interaction <sup>68</sup>	Peripheral Vascular Disease <sup>62</sup>
Gender <sup>63,67</sup>	Hypertension <sup>20</sup>	Redo surgery <sup>62</sup>
Gender:Male <sup>46,70</sup>	Infection <sup>28</sup>	Smoking <sup>62</sup>
Gender:Female <sup>30</sup>	MI <sup>20</sup>	
Geriatric depression scale <sup>30</sup>	Mobility <sup>60</sup>	
Health behaviours <sup>32</sup>	Neurological disease <sup>25</sup>	
History of renal insufficiency <sup>31</sup>	NYHA class <sup>16,20, 33,65</sup>	

Hypertension <sup>17,32</sup>	Other diseases <sup>66</sup>	
Illness perception questionnaire <sup>29</sup>	Pain intensity <sup>68</sup>	
Living alone <sup>30,70</sup>	Parsonnet score <sup>33</sup>	
NYHA class <sup>17,19,31,70</sup>	PCI<1yr <sup>28</sup>	
Peripheral vascular disease <sup>17</sup>	Peripheral or cerebral vascular Disease <sup>33</sup>	
Previous cardiac surgery <sup>19</sup>	Peripheral Vascular Disease <sup>18,20</sup>	
Pre-op 15-D <sup>46</sup>	Pre-op MCS <sup>13,12,23</sup> <sup>24,25</sup>	
Pre-op expectations (IPQ-E) <sup>34</sup>	Pre-op PCS <sup>13,12,21, 23 24,25,28</sup>	
Pre-op MacNew score <sup>61</sup>	Pre-op SAQ <sup>69</sup>	
Pre-op MCS <sup>17</sup>	Pre-op SF-36⁴	
Pre-op PCS <sup>17</sup>	Pre-op VAS <sup>60</sup>	
Pre-op SF-12 physical QoL <sup>34</sup>	Pre-op VR36 <sup>69</sup>	
Pre-op SF-12 psychological Qo <sup>34</sup>	Presence coronary artery disease <sup>20</sup>	
Profile of mood state vigor-activity <sup>19</sup>	Pulmonary disease <sup>24</sup>	
Profile of mood state fatigue-inertia <sup>19</sup>	QoR-40 <sup>4</sup>	

	Psychiatric disease <sup>17</sup>	Redo surgery <sup>20</sup>	
	Psychological distress <sup>61</sup>	Renal disease <sup>24</sup>	
	QoR-40 <sup>11</sup>	Segment wall motion (abnormal) <sup>33</sup>	
	Serum creatinine <sup>17</sup>	Serum creatinine <sup>65</sup>	
	Smoking <sup>17, 31,67</sup>	Type D personality <sup>18</sup>	
	Stress symptom score <sup>15</sup>	Type valvular heart disease <sup>16</sup>	
	Work:Not in workforce <sup>19,70</sup>		
	Work manual occupation <sup>19</sup>		
Intra-operative	Cardiopulmonary bypass duration <sup>22</sup>	On cardiopulmonary bypass <sup>33</sup>	Inotropic drugs at time of surgery <sup>64</sup>
variables	Higher mean pulmonary pressure <sup>19</sup>	Prosthetic valve type <sup>16</sup>	
	CABG procedure <sup>70</sup>	CABG procedure <sup>25</sup>	
Post-operative	Aid from network members <sup>66</sup>	Infective complications <sup>18</sup>	High pain score at 15 months <sup>47</sup>
variables	Complications <sup>63,67</sup>	Ejection fraction at follow-up <sup>65</sup>	ICU time <sup>64</sup>
	Dobutamine <sup>22</sup>	Hospital LOS <sup>4,23</sup>	ICU 2 days <sup>62</sup>
	Length of hospital stay <sup>31</sup>	ICU LOS <sup>23</sup>	ICU >3 days <sup>47</sup>

MI <sup>66</sup>	Mitral regurgitation at follow-up echo <sup>65</sup>	Length ventilator time <sup>58</sup>
Prolonged LOS <sup>30</sup>	Perioperative MI <sup>27</sup>	Low energy score at 15months <sup>47</sup>
New cardiac arrhythmia <sup>19</sup>	QoL at 1month (15D) <sup>66</sup>	
No of categories of traumatic memory <sup>15</sup>	Quality of life at 3months <sup>4</sup>	
Other diseases <sup>66</sup>	Poor QOR-40 at 1month <sup>4</sup>	
Pain:severe and unbearable <sup>46</sup>	Physical exertion causing symptoms <sup>66</sup>	
Physical exertion causing symptoms <sup>66</sup>	Post-operative rhythm <sup>16</sup>	
Post-op expectations (IPQ-E) <sup>34</sup>	Sternal complications <sup>16</sup>	
Post-op SF-12 physical QoL <sup>34</sup>	Symptoms on mild exertion or at rest <sup>66</sup>	
Post-op SF-12 psychological QoL <sup>34</sup>	Systolic pulmonary artery pressure at follow-	
QoL at 1month (15D) 61,66	up <sup>65</sup>	
Readmission to hospital within 6weeks <sup>31</sup>		
Reexploration <sup>31</sup>		
Renal replacement for acute renal failure <sup>22</sup>		
Restlessness during ICU treatment <sup>46</sup>		

Sternal resuturing <sup>31</sup>			
Symptoms on mild exertion or at rest <sup>66</sup>			
Ventilation >48hrs <sup>22</sup>			

- FEV1:Forced Expiratory Volume; ICU:Intensive Care Unit; LOS:Length of Stay; MCS:mental component score; MI:Myocardial Infarction; NYHA:New York
- Heart Association classification; PCI:Percutaneous Coronary Intervention; PCS:Physical Component Score; QoL:Quality of Life; SAQ:Seattle Angina
- Questionnaire; VAS:Visual Analogue Scale; VR36:Veteran's Ran