



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Aloysius, A., Bell, N., Canning, A., Ferrara-Gonzalez, L., Marks, J., Murphy, R., Norburn, K., Parnell, K. & Harding, C. (2023). RCSLT Neonatal CEN response to ESPGHAN Preterm Enteral Nutrition Position Paper (2022) - Issues of oral feeding on CPAP. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology & Nutrition*, 77(5), E71-E72. doi: 10.1097/mpg.0000000000003915

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/31170/>

Link to published version: <https://doi.org/10.1097/mpg.0000000000003915>

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online:

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/>

publications@city.ac.uk

**RCSLT Neonatal CEN response to ESPGHAN Preterm Enteral Nutrition Position Paper
(2022) - Issues of oral feeding on CPAP.**

Annie **Aloysius** SLT, IBCLC

Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, RCSLT Neonatal CEN (chair) UK

annie.aloysius@nhs.net

Nicoll **Bell**, SLT

Guys and St Thomas NHS Trust, UK Nicoll.Bell@nhs.net

Angie **Canning**, BSpPath, CPSP

Gold Coast University Hospital, QLD Australia Angie.Canning@health.qld.gov.au

Louisa **Ferrara-Gonzalez** PhD, CCC-SLP, BCS-S, CNT

NTMTC NYU Langone Hospital - Long Island, NICU, USA Louisa.Ferrara123@gmail.com

Jo **Marks**, SLT

Manchester Foundation Trust, NWNODN AHP SLT, UK Jo.Marks@mft.nhs.uk

Rebecca **Murphy**, SLT

Kings College Hospital, London UK Rebecca.Murphy33@nhs.net

Katie **Norburn**, SLT

University College London Hospital, UK K.Norburn@nhs.net

Katy **Parnell**, SLT

Birmingham Women's and Children's NHS Foundation Trust. Lead Neonatal Network SLT

West Midlands Neonatal Operational Delivery Network, UK KatyParnell@nhs.net

Celia Harding, Professor

Emerita, City, University of London UK C.Harding@city.ac.uk

Corresponding Author Annie Aloysius QCCH & St Marys Hospitals Imperial College

Healthcare NHS Trust Email: annie.alloysius@nhs.net

Funding/conflicts of interest-none reported

ACCEPTED

Dear Editors,

The recently published ESPGHAN position paper; Enteral nutrition in preterm infants (1) presents an important critical literature review related to enteral nutrient intake and practice for infants born preterm with birthweight <1800g. We wish to comment on the section that considers oral feeding, rather than enteral nutrition.

As SLTs working in neonatal care we understand that the transition from enteral to oral feeding for some infants can be more complex than the summary in the oral feeding section would suggest. The RCSLT Neonatal Clinical Excellence Network Position Paper about oral feeding for infants receiving respiratory support recommends both caution and team decision-making if planning oral feeding by breast or bottle. This practice is challenging and worthy of its' own critical review. Several strategies are being investigated to ease transition, such as cue-based feeding, reducing milk flow, and pacing, trialled with the goal of reducing aspiration, while allowing the infant positive early oral-sensory feeding experiences and supporting infant-carer bonding. However, the risk of aspiration, mal-adaptive feeding behaviours, respiratory system morbidity and the negative influence of stress in the neonatal period continue to concern clinicians who want to safe-guard these infants' outcomes and long-term development. Table 1 includes some studies where feeding infants who require respiratory support has been investigated, demonstrating that outcomes, practice and conclusions are varied thereby stressing the necessity for large number randomised studies. Such studies may help to develop better evidence-based protocols to guide the best oral feeding interventions for infants receiving respiratory support.

References

1. Embleton ND, et al. Enteral Nutrition in Preterm Infants (2022) : A Position Paper From the ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition and Invited Experts. JPGN. 2022; 7 :248-268.
2. Dagleish SR, Kostecky LL, Blachly N. Eating in “SINC”: safe individualized nipple-feeding competence, a quality improvement project to explore infant-driven oral feeding for very premature infants requiring noninvasive respiratory support. Neonatal Network. 2016 Jan 1;35(4):217-27.
3. Dumpa V, Kamity R, Ferrara L, Akerman M, Hanna N. The effects of oral feeding while on nasal continuous positive airway pressure (NCPAP) in preterm infants. Journal of Perinatology. 2020 Jun;40(6):909-15.
4. Ferrara L, Bidiwala A, Sher I, Pirzada M, Barlev D, Islam S, Rosenfeld W, Crowley CC, Hanna N. Effect of nasal continuous positive airway pressure on the pharyngeal swallow in neonates. Journal of Perinatology. 2017 Apr;37(4):398-403.
5. Hanin M, Nuthakki S, Malkar MB, Jadcherla SR. Safety and efficacy of oral feeding in infants with BPD on nasal CPAP. Dysphagia. 2015 Apr;30(2):121-7.
6. LaTuga MS, Mittelstaedt G, Moon JY, Kim M, Murray-Keane L, Si W, Havranek T. Clinical characteristics of premature infants who orally feed on continuous positive airway pressure. Early Human Development. 2019 Dec 1;139:104833.
7. Leibel SL, Castro M, McBride T, Hassall K, Sarmiento K, Ye XY, Shah V. Comparison of Continuous positive airway pressure versus High flow nasal cannula for Oral feeding Preterm infants (CHOMP): randomized pilot study. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2022 Mar 4;35(5):951-7.

8. Shimizu D, Araki S, Kawamura M, Kuwamura M, Suga S, Miyake F, Ichikawa S, Kinjo T, Kusuhara K. Impact of high flow nasal cannula therapy on oral feeding in very low birth weight infants with chronic lung disease. *Journal of UOEH*. 2019 Jun 1;41(2):131-8.
9. Taha DK, Kornhauser M, Greenspan JS, Dysart KC, Aghai ZH. High flow nasal cannula use is associated with increased morbidity and length of hospitalization in extremely low birth weight infants. *The Journal of pediatrics*. 2016 Jun 1;173:50-5.

Table 1: Summary of key studies that have investigated oral feeding when receiving respiratory support

Study	Aim	Outcome
Dagleish et al., 2016 (2)	Quality Improvement Project across 5 NICUs investigating individualised nipple feeding competence for preterm infants requiring respiratory support. (N = 196).	Consistent suck feeding management may be safe in the short-term. The authors acknowledge that more research is needed.
Dumpa et al., 2020 (3)	A retrospective study investigating the effect of oral feeding while on nCPAP* in prems ≥ 32 gestational birth age. (N = 109).	Infants receiving suck feeds when on nCPAP* did not enable quicker transition to full oral feeds or discharge home. The authors acknowledge that further research is needed to develop more evidence to support suitable protocols.
Ferrara et al., 2017 (4)	An investigation into the swallowing of N = 7 infants to see if nCPAP* alters swallow function.	Findings from this study indicated that oral feeding whilst receiving nCPAP* increases the risk of laryngeal penetration and aspiration events.
Hanin et al., 2015 (5)	A retrospective cohort study that investigated a controlled introduction of suck feeds in infants (N = 26) with BPD*** who are receiving nCPAP*.	The authors argue that their sample received specific strategies such as pacing, elevated side lying, etc., but acknowledge that further research is necessary.

La Tuga et al., 2019 (6)	An investigation of the clinical characteristics of preterm infant (<32 weeks post conceptual age) feeding when receiving nCPAP*. Infants were all born less than 32 weeks. Suck feeds started at 34 weeks regardless of respiratory status. 31% (n=243) had their first suck feed whilst on nCPAP*.	No clear information regarding risks associated with aspiration when feeding infants receiving respiratory support. Infants fed whilst receiving respiratory support took longer to achieve full oral feeding and needed to stay longer in hospital.
Leibel et al., 2022 (7)	A randomized controlled comparison of nCPAP* versus High flow nasal cannula when introducing oral feeding for preterm infants from ≤28 weeks gestational birth age. This randomized pilot study recruited N = 25.	The authors did not discuss the possible adverse effects of feeding infants receiving ventilation.
Shimizu et al., 2019 (8)	A study of the impact of HFNC** therapy on oral feeding (N = 11) in very low birth weight infants with chronic lung disease compared with infants (N = 34) who were no longer receiving respiratory support.	The authors report no adverse feeding events such as aspiration when feeding infants receiving HFNC** therapy. The benefits for maternal mental health are cited as a reason for implementing oral feeding when an infant is receiving HFNC** therapy, but there is an acknowledgement that further studies are necessary.
Taha et al., 2016 (9)	A study comparing outcomes within HFNC** and nCPAP* groups	This paper does not include a specific oral feeding protocol for infants

	including number of days to achieve oral feeding and length of hospital stay. The authors suggest that HFNC**use is associated with increased morbidity and length of hospitalization in extremely low birth weight infants (N = 2487).	receiving respiratory support. However, the authors acknowledge the limited evidence base and highlight that infants developing oral feeding skills when receiving respiratory support are likely to take longer to achieve oral feeding skills.
--	---	--

*Nasal CPAP = nCPAP

**High Flow nasal cannula = HFNC

***Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia = BPD