



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Heron, P. N., Henderson, L. M., Crosland, S., Gilbody, S. M., Johnston, G. A., Moriarty, A. S., Newbronner, E., Paterson, A., Spanakis, P., Wadman, R., et al (2022). Sleep health among people with severe mental ill health during the COVID-19 pandemic: Results from a linked UK population cohort study. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13, 975593. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.975593

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <https://city-test.eprints-hosting.org/id/eprint/31649/>

Link to published version: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.975593>

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Teresa Arora,
Zayed University, United Arab Emirates

REVIEWED BY

Stuart Watson,
Newcastle University, United Kingdom
David Neubauer,
School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins
Medicine, United States
Joey W. Y. Chan,
The Chinese University of Hong
Kong, China

*CORRESPONDENCE

Paul N. Heron
paul.heron@york.ac.uk

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to
Public Mental Health,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychiatry

RECEIVED 22 June 2022

ACCEPTED 22 September 2022

PUBLISHED 10 October 2022

CITATION

Heron PN, Henderson LM, Crosland S,
Gilbody SM, Johnston GA, Moriarty AS,
Newbrunner E, Paterson A, Spanakis P,
Wadman R, Walker L and Peckham E
(2022) Sleep health among people
with severe mental ill health during the
COVID-19 pandemic: Results from a
linked UK population cohort study.
Front. Psychiatry 13:975593.
doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2022.975593

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Heron, Henderson, Crosland,
Gilbody, Johnston, Moriarty,
Newbrunner, Paterson, Spanakis,
Wadman, Walker and Peckham. This is
an open-access article distributed
under the terms of the [Creative
Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#).
The use, distribution or reproduction
in other forums is permitted, provided
the original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution
or reproduction is permitted which
does not comply with these terms.

Sleep health among people with severe mental ill health during the COVID-19 pandemic: Results from a linked UK population cohort study

Paul N. Heron^{1*}, Lisa M. Henderson², Suzanne Crosland¹,
Simon M. Gilbody^{1,3}, Gordon A. Johnston⁴,
Andrew S. Moriarty^{1,3}, Elizabeth Newbrunner¹,
Alastair Paterson⁵, Panagiotis Spanakis^{1,6,7}, Ruth Wadman¹,
Lauren Walker¹ and Emily Peckham¹

¹Mental Health and Addictions Research Group, Department of Health Science, University of York, York, United Kingdom, ²Department of Psychology, University of York, York, United Kingdom, ³Hull York Medical School, York, United Kingdom, ⁴Independent Peer Researcher, Stirling, United Kingdom, ⁵Faculty of Medical and Human Sciences, Manchester University, Manchester, United Kingdom, ⁶Department of Psychology, University of Crete, Rethymmon, Greece, ⁷School of Psychology, Mediterranean College, Athens, Greece

Objectives: Sleep problems are a transdiagnostic feature of nearly all psychiatric conditions, and a strong risk factor for initial and recurrent episodes. However, people with severe mental ill health (SMI) are often excluded from general population surveys, and as such the extent and associates of poor sleep in this population are less well understood. This study explores sleep health in an SMI sample during the COVID-19 pandemic, using multiple regression to identify risk factors, including daily routine, wellbeing and demographics.

Methods: An existing cohort of people with an SMI diagnosis were sampled. Participants were invited to complete a self-report survey about their health and the impacts of COVID-19 and associated public health measures. Sleep duration, efficiency, and quality were measured using items from the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI).

Results: Two hundred forty-nine adults (aged 21–84 years) completed the survey. Mean sleep duration and efficiency were similar to general population estimates, at 7 h 19 min and 78%, respectively. However, 43% reported “bad” sleep quality that was associated with being younger in age as well as disturbed routine and declined wellbeing. Indeed, 37% reported a disturbed routine during the pandemic.

Conclusions: High estimates of perceived poor sleep quality in the SMI population align with previous findings. Supporting people with SMI to maintain

routine regularity may work to protect sleep quality and wellbeing. Future research should more closely examine sleep health in people with SMI, using accessible and scalable measures of objective and subjective sleep, examining longitudinal trends.

KEYWORDS

sleep, severe mental ill health (SMI), bipolar disorder, psychosis, schizophrenia, wellbeing, routine

Introduction

Sleep often serves as an important factor in health, with poor sleep being a persistent and transdiagnostic feature of all psychiatric disorders (1). Sleep disturbances, such as insomnia, circadian rhythm disorders, or behaviors that affect sleep quality, are a risk factor for both initial and recurrent mental health disturbances (2, 3). The importance of understanding the role that sleep plays in mental ill health has heightened during the COVID-19 pandemic, with the government restrictions likely contributing to greater sleep disturbances. Indeed, a metaanalysis of sleep problems during COVID-19 and its relation to psychological distress conducted across 39 countries found that sleep problems appeared to be common during the pandemic and were associated with higher degrees of psychological distress (4).

Sleep health is a considerable problem among the people with severe mentally illness (SMI) population, where sleep disorders can have an impact on the onset, course, and treatment of mental ill health (5). This is due, in part, to sleep's regulatory role in various functions of the central nervous system, including mood and cognitive abilities (6). Notably, the rate of sleep disturbance (including insomnia, hypersomnia and delayed sleep phase) in schizophrenia and bipolar disorders has been estimated to be 78% and 69%, respectively, compared to 39% in healthy controls (5). In patients with schizophrenia, studies using polysomnography have shown evidence of insomnia, delayed latency to fall asleep, and reduced total sleep hours and sleep efficiency (7). Circadian rhythm disorders are also common in schizophrenia (8). Disrupted sleep can compound psychotic symptoms and cognitive deficits, and often coincides with acute episodes of psychosis (9). Antipsychotics used in the treatment of schizophrenia can improve sleep problems and quality of life, but are almost without exception sedating which reduces sleep latency and contribute to sleep disturbance (10). NICE guidelines recommend cognitive behavior therapies (CBT) for insomnia over pharmacotherapies where available (11). There is a need for evidence-based interventions for sleep disturbances in schizophrenia, such as CBT which may also help to improve psychotic symptoms (12).

In bipolar disorder, the DSM-5 includes sleep disorders as diagnostic components of both manic and depressive episodes (13). Sleep disturbances are frequent and persistent; insomnia, hypersomnia, and circadian rhythm disorders are common and these disturbances are associated with functional impairment (14). Further, sleep disturbance is a feature of inter-episodic periods, with 70% of euthymic patients with bipolar disorders found to exhibit a clinically significant sleep disturbance, including decreased sleep efficiency, higher anxiety about poor sleep and a tendency to misperceive sleep (15). Actigraphic studies have found that people with bipolar disorder have more night-to-night variability and longer sleep onset latency than healthy comparison groups, as well as increased fragmentation of the sleep/wake cycle (16). There is also an increased prevalence of primary sleep disorders among people with bipolar disorder, including sleep-disturbed breathing (SDB) and obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome (OSAS). The increased incidence of OSAS is at least partly attributable to the effects of psychiatric medications, such as atypical antipsychotics, which are known to contribute to obesity and metabolic syndrome (7). However, relative to schizophrenia, and particularly other psychiatric disorders (e.g., anxiety and depression), there is limited understanding of the role that sleep disturbances play in the initiation, longevity and intervention effectiveness of bipolar disorder, with calls to advance research in this domain (14).

During COVID-19, those with pre-existing mental ill health may have experienced a worsening of symptoms and quality of life (19). Moreover, COVID-19 may pose a greater health risk to the SMI population due to an increased prevalence of medical comorbidities (20) and higher smoking prevalence (21), both of which can negatively impact the prognosis of a Covid infection (22). This increased risk may worsen anxiety symptoms which are commonly associated with sleep disturbances (23). A further key risk factor for worsening sleep during the pandemic is reduced daytime activity and changes in daily routine, owing to the public health restrictions during "lockdown" periods. Previous studies have found that reduced daytime activity contributes to sleep disturbance in schizophrenia (12). Considering these factors, sleep disorders may have increased in prevalence in the SMI population during the COVID-19 pandemic. Given the profound health

TABLE 1 Variables with respective survey items, responses and interpretation.

Variable	Question	Responses	Interpretation
Subjective sleep quality	“In the LAST MONTH, how would you rate your sleep quality overall?” Source: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) (17)	Very good; Fairly good	Good sleep quality
		Fairly bad; Very bad	Bad sleep quality
Sleep duration	“In the LAST MONTH, how many hours of actual sleep did you get each night? (hours and minutes) Source: PSQI		Hours and minutes of sleep per night
Habitual sleep efficiency	“During the past month, when have you usually got up in the morning?” and “In the LAST MONTH, at what time have you usually gone to bed at night?” Source: PSQI		= (sleep duration/hours in bed)*100
Decline in physical health	“Compared with 6 MONTHS AGO, how would you rate your physical health in general?”	Worse than before	Deterioration
		Better than before; About the same	No deterioration
Decline in mental health	“Compared with 6 MONTHS AGO, how would you rate your mental health in general?”	Worse than before	Deterioration
		About the same; Better than before	No deterioration
Change in wellbeing	ONS 4-item wellbeing scale, measured at both OWLS 1 & 2 (Supplementary material)	Individual timepoint score range = 0–40	OWLS 2 score minus OWLS 1 score (range –40–40). Higher score = greater wellbeing. A negative score indicates a worsening in wellbeing and a positive score indicates an improvement in wellbeing
Metabolic equivalent (MET) hours of physical activity per day	Six items from International Physical Activity Questionnaire –SF (IPAQ) (18)		MET hours per day
Sedentary hours per day	“In an average week in the LAST MONTH, how much time did you spend per day sitting or lying down, aside of sleeping (e.g. watching TV, sitting in front of computer, etc.?”		Sedentary hours per day
Smoking status	“I smoke...”	More than usual; About the same; Less than usual I don't do that in general	Smokes Does not smoke
Number of cigarettes smoked	“How many cigarettes per day do you usually smoke?” (please choose an option)	10 or less 11 to 20 21 to 30 31 or more	20 or less 11 to 20 21 to 30 31 or more

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Variable	Question	Responses	Interpretation
Deterioration in routine	"In the LAST MONTH, have you been able to maintain a daily routine in your life?"	Less than usual	Deterioration
		About the same;	No deterioration
		More than usual	
Care setting	"Have you needed to use community mental health services?" Source: OWLS 1 survey, regarding since the pandemic restrictions began	Yes	Secondary care
		No	Primary care
Primary mental health diagnosis	Source: participant's medical records. The "Other" diagnosis category contains participants who met the eligibility criteria but whose diagnosis was not specified.		Psychosis-spectrum disorder; Bipolar disorder; Schizoaffective disorder; Other
Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD)	Source: Postcode obtained at point of inception to CtG study. Measure of deprivation assigned by the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government as a decile (https://imd-by-postcode.opendatacommunities.org/imd/2019)	1	1
		2	
		3	2
		4	
		5	3
		6	
		7	4
		8	
		9	5
		10	

inequalities already experienced by this population (24), it is important to explore trends in sleep health in this population during the pandemic, not only to ensure that targets for intervention are identified. However, available evidence on the impact of COVID-19 on sleep in the SMI population is limited. To our knowledge, one cross-sectional analysis conducted among people with schizophrenia during COVID-19 found that psychological distress mediated an association between social anxiety and greater sleep disturbances (25). However, these findings come from a single-site study in Taiwan that did not confirm participants' diagnoses and so generalisability is limited. Thus, despite calls for more sleep-related interventions for people with SMI, we need further understanding of the nature and associates of sleep disturbance in this population, especially if we are to increase resilience in the face of future stressogenic situations. Examining sleep in SMI during the pandemic also allows us to capitalize on the natural and rich variation in key risk factors of poor sleep (namely changes in the daily routine and wellbeing).

Materials and methods

Design

Data are drawn from the Optimizing Wellbeing During Self-isolation (OWLS) study which is part of the Closing the Gap (CtG) programme of work. CtG is a large transdiagnostic clinical cohort study ($n = 9,914$), the composition of which is described elsewhere (26). A sample of the CtG cohort were invited to take part in OWLS based on gender, age, ethnicity and whether they were recruited *via* primary or secondary care. Those selected to be invited were contacted by telephone or letter and invited to take part in the OWLS study. To be eligible to take part in the OWLS study participants had to be: (i) aged 18 and above, (ii) have documented diagnoses of schizophrenia or delusional/psychotic illness (ICD 10 F20.X & F22.X or DSM equivalent) or bipolar disorder (ICD F31.X or DSM-equivalent). Those who agreed to take part were provided with a range of options: (i) to carry out the survey over the phone with a

researcher, (ii) to be sent a link to complete the survey online, or (iii) to be sent a hard copy of the questionnaire in the post to complete and return. The full methods of recruitment to the OWLS study have been previously described (26) and are also outlined in the [Supplementary material](#).

Those who took part in the initial OWLS survey (OWLS 1, T1) were asked if they were willing to complete follow up surveys. We attempted to contact all those who consented to follow up surveys to take part in the follow up survey (OWLS 2, T2). OWLS 2 recruited participants between January 2021 and March 2021.

Ethical approval was granted by the Health Research Authority North West–Liverpool Central Research Ethics Committee (REC reference 20/NW/0276).

Measures

Where possible, we sought alignment with survey items from the Office of National Statistics (ONS) general population survey to improve comparability. Unless stated, the measures were taken at the OWLS 2 timepoint. Four items from the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) were used to measure three sleep sub-domains: subjective sleep quality, sleep duration, and habitual sleep efficiency (17). Participants were asked to report on usual sleep habits within the past month. Variables include: changes to physical and mental health, changes to wellbeing, metabolic equivalent physical activity, time spent sedentary, smoking status, changes to routine, and sociodemographic factors. [Table 1](#) describes the survey items, sources, and interpretation of each variable.

Analysis

The study analysis plan was registered on Open Science Framework (available at <https://osf.io/cmfw7>). Analyses were undertaken using SPSS v.27. Descriptive statistics were used to describe sleep, health, and sociodemographic characteristics.

To examine associations between the independent variables (physical health deterioration, mental health deterioration, change in wellbeing, MET physical activity, sedentary hours, smoking status, and decline in routine) and sleep duration and habitual sleep efficiency, we used multiple linear regression and we controlled for age, gender, ethnicity, socioeconomic deprivation, care setting, and diagnosis. To examine associations between the aforementioned independent variables and subjective sleep quality (whilst controlling for the same factors), we used a binary logistic regression. Associations of all independent variables with the dependent variable were first examined with a univariable regression analysis. Only variables with $p < 0.2$ in univariate models were included in multivariate models to filter out irrelevant variables (27). All

TABLE 2 Descriptive statistics for sociodemographic, health and sleep variables.

Factor	N (%)
Gender	
Men	128 (51.4)
Women	116 (46.6)
Transgender	5 (2)
Age (mean, range)	51.67, 21–84 years (Median: 52 years, interquartile range: 41–64 years)
Ethnicity	
White	210 (87.9)
Non-white	26 (15.2)
Diagnosis	
Psychosis-spectrum disorder	120 (48.2)
Bipolar disorder	83 (33.3)
Other SMI	16 (6.4)
Not recorded	30 (12)
IMD	
Very high deprivation	60 (24.1)
High deprivation	51 (20.5)
Moderate deprivation	49 (19.7)
Low deprivation	43 (17.3)
Very low deprivation	38 (15.3)
Mental health care setting	
Primary care	95 (38.2)
Secondary care	152 (61)
Physical health	
Deterioration	95 (38.2)
No deterioration	150 (60.2)
Mental health	
Deterioration	85 (34.1)
No deterioration	157 (63.1)
Wellbeing change (mean, SD)	−0.48 (7.53)
Smoking status	
Smokes	77 (30.9)
Does not smoke	170 (68.3)
Number of cigarettes per day	
10 or less	30 (40)
11 to 20	23 (29.9)
21 to 30	13 (16.9)
31 or more	9 (11.7)
Daily routine	
Declined routine	91 (36.5)
No declined routine	154 (61.8)
MET hours of physical activity per day [hours and minutes (SD)]	4 h, 7 min (5 h, 8 min) Median: 2 h, 46 min, interquartile range: 0 h 28 min – 6 h 12 min

(Continued)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Factor	N (%)
Sedentary hours per day [hours and minutes, (SD)]	8 h 38 min (4 h 46 min)
Sleep quality	
Very good	56 (22.5)
Fairly good	86 (34.5)
Fairly bad	61 (24.5)
Very bad	46 (18.5)
Sleep duration [mean hours and minutes, (SD)]	7 h 19 min (2 h 19 min)
Sleep efficiency (% ,SD)	78.16 (19.33)

independent variables were inserted all together at once in the multivariable models.

The calculation of the variable MET hours of physical activity per day deviated from the initial analysis plan; upon consultation with physical activity experts then “minutes of walking per day” was not included in the calculation to avoid double counting activity.

Results

The OWLS 2 survey was completed by 249 participants. 57% ($n = 142$) of participants reported good sleep quality and 43% ($n = 107$) reported bad quality. The mean sleep duration was 7 h, 19 min and the mean sleep efficiency was 78%. Descriptive statistics are presented in Table 2.

A linear regression on sleep efficiency violated the heterogeneity of variance assumption so we performed a bootstrapped regression analysis. Multivariate models demonstrated that bad sleep quality was associated with being younger in age (adjusted OR = 0.957, 95%CI 0.927–0.988, $p = 0.008$), a decline in routine (adjusted OR = 3.968, 95%CI 1.408–0.11.177, $p = 0.009$), and a worsening in wellbeing (adjusted OR = 0.923, 95%CI 0.857–0.993, $p = 0.032$). Bad sleep quality was reported by 68% of participants in the 18–30 age group ($n = 28$) compared to 39% in the 31–45 age group ($n = 64$), 41% in the 46–65 age group, and 39% in the 66+ age group. Shorter sleep duration was associated with more time spent sedentary (adjusted $B = -0.124$, $p = 0.015$). Poorer sleep efficiency was associated with women (adjusted $B = -7.918$, $p = 0.025$), whereas greater sleep efficiency was associated with having an “other SMI” diagnosis (adjusted $B = -22.297$, $p = 0.0087$). Multivariate models showed that sleep quality, duration, nor efficiency were associated with physical health deterioration, mental health deterioration, smoking, or physical activity. Associations for sleep quality are presented in Table 3, for sleep duration in Table 4, and for sleep efficiency in Table 5.

Discussion

The present study represents a novel analysis of sleep health in UK adults with SMI, addressing the extent to which subjective sleep parameters (namely, quantity, quality and efficiency) are associated with key stressors that were impacted by the pandemic.

There were mixed findings regarding sleep health in the present sample. The mean self-reported daily sleep duration was ~7 h and 19 min per day (with mean efficiency of 78%). These estimates are close to the mean 7.2 h of sleep reported per day for general population samples (28) and are similar to what other SMI studies report. Aschbrenner et al. (29) found that 69% of young adults with SMI reported 7 h or more of sleep per night, and 64% reported a sleep efficiency >75% (29); Keskin et al. (30) found that mean sleep duration in people with euthymic bipolar disorder was >8 h).

Despite these healthy levels of sleep duration and efficiency, two in five (43%) participants reported experiencing bad or very bad sleep quality. Compared to a metanalysis of general population studies conducted during COVID-19 where the pooled prevalence of sleep problems were 18% (4), perceived sleep problems were considerably more prevalent in this SMI sample. Poor sleep quality has previously been reported among other SMI samples; Aschbrenner et al. (29) found that 36% reported poor sleep quality, and Keskin et al. (30) found that 57% reported poor sleep quality. Although these studies offer valuable opportunities to which the present findings can be compared, the method of defining poor sleep quality varies by study, so these comparisons should be viewed with caution. For example, Keskin et al. (30) defined poor sleep quality according to the full 18 item PSQI score, whereas the present study defined it according to the sleep-quality sub-domain of the PSQI only.

One in three (37%) participants reported a decline in daily routine since an earlier pandemic stage and this was strongly associated with poor sleep quality and declined wellbeing. The pandemic has impacted many people’s daily routine, for example by reducing working hours and the freedom to leave one’s home. Analysis of big data indicates that the circadian rhythm of society has changed during COVID-19 as a result of changes to daily routine, with greater technology use later in the day, and changes to internet traffic that indicate later bedtimes and less overall sleep (31). Maintaining regularity in lifestyle may help to regulate the circadian rhythm and this helps to explain why people with insomnia report less regularity in their daily routines compared to those without insomnia (32). There is also evidence that sleep timing and irregularity is linked to greater daytime sleepiness and poorer sleep quality (33). Chiming with the present data, in a study of 91,105 participants in the UK Biobank population, disruption to rest-activity cycles was associated with increased risk of depression, bipolar disorder, neuroticism, low wellbeing and lower health satisfaction, even when controlling

TABLE 3 Associations between health and socioeconomic variables and sleep quality.

	N (%)		Univariate model		Multivariate model	
	Good sleep quality	Bad sleep quality	Odds ratio [Exp (B)] (95%CI)	P	Odds ratio [Exp (B)] (95%CI)	P
Physical health						
No deterioration	101 (67.3)	49 (32.7)	0.353 (0.207–0.6)	<0.001	0.543 (0.184–1.606)	0.27
Deterioration	40 (42.1)	55 (57.9)	1		1	
Mental health						
No deterioration	110 (70.1)	47 (29.9)	0.233 (0.133–0.408)	<0.001	0.373 (0.125–1.117)	0.078
Deterioration	30 (35.3)	55 (64.7)	1		1	
Wellbeing change						
	Mean: 0.58 SD: 7.79	Mean: −1.91 SD: 6.95	0.954 (0.92–0.99)	0.012	0.923 (0.857–0.993)	0.032
MET physical activity						
	Mean: 4.69 SD: 5.13	Mean: 3.39 SD: 5.07	0.946 (0.894–1.001)	0.056	0.987 (0.91–1.071)	0.756
Time spent sedentary						
	Mean: 1.76 SD: 0.43	Mean: 1.46 SD: 0.5	1.117 (1.042–1.198)	0.002	1.089 (0.971–1.221)	0.146
Smoking status						
Does not smoke	101 (59.4)	69 (40.6)	1.354 (0.788–2.328)	0.273		
Smokes	40 (51.9)	37 (48.1)	1			
Maintain routine						
Decline	34 (37.36)	57 (62.64)	3.592 (2.086–6.186)	<0.001	3.968 (1.408–11.177)	0.009
No decline	105 (68.18)	49 (31.82)	1		1	
Gender						
Men	79 (61.72)	49 (38.28)	0.642 (0.386–1.069)	0.088	0.85 (0.3–2.411)	0.76
Women	59 (50.86)	57 (49.14)	1		1	
Ethnicity						
Non-white	26 (66.7)	13 (33.3)	1.621 (0.79–3.327)	0.188	1.257 (0.297–5.319)	0.756
White	116 (55.2)	94 (44.8)	1			
Age						
	Mean: 53.47 SD: 14.22	Mean: 49.3 SD: 15.85	0.981 (0.965–0.998)	0.031	0.957 (0.927–0.988)	0.008
IMD						
Very high	30 (50)	30 (50)	2.167 (0.925–5.074)	0.075	2.331 (0.487–11.164)	0.289
High	28 (54.9)	23 (45.1)	1.78 (0.739–4.285)	0.198	0.955 (0.198–4.599)	0.954
Medium	30 (61.2)	19 (38.8)	1.372 (0.562–3.353)	0.488	0.319 (0.062–1.632)	0.17
Low	25 (58.1)	18 (41.9)	1.56 (0.626–3.89)	0.34	1.033 (0.219–4.883)	0.967
Very low	26 (68.4)	12 (31.6)	1		1	
Care setting						
Secondary care	91 (59.9)	61 (40.1)	0.714 (0.426–1.197)	0.201	0.708 (0.261–1.922)	0.498
Primary care	49 (51.6)	46 (48.4)	1		1	
Diagnosis						
Not recorded	20 (66.7)	10 (33.3)	0.833 (0.358–1.939)	0.672	0.208 (0.031–1.382)	0.104
Other SMI	5 (37.5)	10 (62.5)	2.778 (0.946–8.159)	0.063	3 (0.307–29.298)	0.345
Bipolar	41 (49.4)	42 (50.6)	1.707 (0.968–3.011)	0.065	1.404 (0.476–4.139)	0.538
Psychosis	75 (62.5)	45 (37.5)	1	0.075	1	0.199

TABLE 4 Associations between health and socioeconomic variables and sleep duration.

	Univariate model		Multivariate model	
	<i>B</i> (standard error)	<i>P</i>	<i>B</i> (standard error)	<i>P</i>
Physical health				
No deterioration	−0.805 (0.3)	0.008	0.013 (0.485)	0.979
Deterioration	1		1	
Mental health				
No deterioration	−0.986 (0.309)	0.002	0.154 (0.511)	0.763
Deterioration	1		1	
Wellbeing change	0.011 (0.02)	0.575		
MET physical activity	0.068 (0.029)	0.019	0.026 (0.038)	0.495
Time spent sedentary	−0.133 (0.038)	<0.001	−0.124 (0.05)	0.015
Smoking status				
Smokes	0.056 (0.321)	0.862		
Does not smoke	1			
Maintain routine				
Decline	0.835 (0.304)	0.006	0.446 (0.469)	0.343
No decline	1		1	
Gender				
Men	−0.398 (0.297)	0.182	−0.218 (0.438)	0.62
Women	1		1	
Ethnicity				
Non-white	0.679 (0.406)	0.096	−0.059 (0.585)	0.92
White	1		1	
Age	0.001 (0.01)	0.881	0.003 (0.014)	0.84
IMDD				
Very high	−0.445 (0.482)	0.356	−0.805 (0.711)	0.26
High	−0.238 (0.501)	0.635	−0.36 (0.716)	0.616
Medium	−0.088 (0.505)	0.862	−0.266 (0.679)	0.696
Low	0.066 (0.521)	0.899	−0.058 (0.697)	0.934
Very low	1		1	
Care setting				
Secondary care	−0.834 (0.304)	0.007	−0.906 (0.447)	0.045
Primary care	1		1	
Diagnosis				
Not recorded	−0.779 (0.473)	0.101	−0.008 (0.669)	0.991
Other SMI	−1.661 (0.607)	0.007	−1.74 (0.973)	0.076
Bipolar	−0.545 (0.33)	0.1	−0.429 (0.478)	0.371
Psychosis	1		1	

for a number of demographic and environmental variables (34). Thus, it is possible that the pandemic has negatively affected people's ability to maintain a daily routine which may have worsened sleep quality and wellbeing. However, there were no comparative measures of sleep or routine regularity outside of the pandemic time period. Additionally, it may be that a decline in wellbeing and in sleep quality led to a declined ability to maintain a daily routine, but causality cannot be determined in the present findings. The concept of daily routine used in

this study is also general and does not differentiate between work, social or other types of routines. Lower social regularity was measured in people with bipolar disorder prior to the pandemic (35, 36), and was associated with greater depressive symptoms among patients with mood disorders during the pandemic (37); given these associations, it would be valuable to explore associations between sleep health and the regularity of different types of routine. Notwithstanding this, the present findings confirm that maintaining a regular routine may be an

TABLE 5 Associations between health and socioeconomic variables and habitual sleep efficiency.

	Univariate model		Multivariate model	
	<i>B</i> (standard error)	<i>P</i>	<i>B</i> (standard error)	<i>P</i>
Physical health				
No deterioration	−7.517 (2.555)	0.004	−0.49 (3.871)	0.899
Deterioration	1		1	
Mental health				
Deterioration	−9.085 (2.667)	<0.001	−1.913 (4.016)	0.635
No deterioration	1		1	
Wellbeing change				
MET physical activity	0.122 (0.172)	0.478		
Time spent sedentary	−0.462 (0.326)	0.159	0.226 (0.305)	0.46
Smoking status				
Smokes	−1.589 (2.78)	0.568	−0.15 (0.397)	0.706
Does not smoke	1			
Maintain routine				
Decline	6.476 (2.651)	0.015	1.636 (3.775)	0.666
No decline	1		1	
Gender				
Men	−4.977 (2.553)	0.052	−7.918 (3.495)	0.025
Women	1		1	
Ethnicity				
Non-white	6.235 (3.491)	0.075	−2.525 (4.593)	0.584
White	1		1	
Age				
Age	−0.068 (0.085)	0.425	−0.088 (0.113)	0.437
IMD				
Very high	−0.869 (4.07)	0.831	−8.905 (5.606)	0.115
High	−4.139 (4.278)	0.334	−9.616 (5.676)	0.093
Medium	4.402 (4.3)	0.307	1.264 (5.435)	0.817
Low	−0.955 (4.397)	0.828	−2.522 (5.456)	0.645
Very low	1		1	
Care setting				
Secondary care	−4.722 (2.636)	0.075	−5.379 (3.568)	0.134
Primary care	1		1	
Diagnosis				
Not recorded	−5.931	0.139	−1.078 (5.252)	0.838
Other SMI	−18.588	<0.001	−22.297 (8.173)	0.007
Bipolar	−4.693	0.094	−4.103 (3.779)	0.28
Psychosis	1		1	

important target for intervention both to improve sleep and wellbeing in the SMI population.

In the present sample, a number of demographic variables were associated with poor sleep. For instance, poor sleep quality was associated with being younger in age; 68% of participants aged 18–30 years reported bad sleep compared to 39%–41% across all other age groups. General population studies also report that younger people experience poorer sleep quality (38). Poor sleep efficiency was also associated with women. This

supports previous findings that women with schizophrenia are more likely than women without schizophrenia to report sleep reduced sleep efficiency (39). It also resonates with findings of greater female susceptibility to sleep difficulties in other disorders. For example, females with autism are at greater risk of poor sleep efficiency than males (40), with claims that an increased incidence of internalizing difficulties in females with autism may put them at greater risk of sleep difficulties (or vice versa) (41).

Despite the commonly-reported association between sleep and mental ill health (23), the present analysis found no significant associations between sleep factors and a self-reported deterioration in mental health since an earlier phase of the pandemic. However, poor sleep quality (but not sleep duration/efficiency) was associated with declines in the 4-item wellbeing measure during the pandemic. Similarly, Gould et al. (42) found that subjective quality of sleep (also measured *via* the PSQI) was a stronger predictor of depression and anxiety in an aging adult population than objective measures of sleep architecture (including total sleep time). One possibility here is that perceived sleep quality captures subtle difficulties with sleep (e.g., sleep onset problems, bedtime anxiety) better than quantity-based measures, or alternatively that perception of poor sleep is a further symptom of psychiatric disorders that is independent of objective sleep. Further research is needed to address these possibilities.

Strengths and limitations

The recruitment method resulted in a sample highly representative of its population, and explores both psychosis spectrum and bipolar disorders. The survey examined a range of health domains which allowed a variety of factor associations to be explored. The present study therefore provides a useful broad exploration of current sleep trends in SMI populations and offers recommendations for a more in-depth analysis of this subject. However, there are also important limitations to consider. For instance, psychiatric symptoms are closely-related to sleep and routine regularity and could explain variance in sleep outcomes, but symptoms were not measured in this study. Additionally, it was not possible to compare sleep health prior to the pandemic to sleep health during the pandemic as no pre-pandemic sleep measures had been collected from our sample. However, that wellbeing and daily routine were measured as change since an earlier phase in the pandemic improved contextualization of the findings to the pandemic period. Utilizing the PSQI was advantageous because its wide usage allowed comparison of present with previous findings. However, the PSQI does not capture daytime sleepiness or napping which are commonly reported among SMI populations (43). Further, future studies are needed that utilize scalable measures of both subjective and objective measures of sleep, capturing both trait and state features, to ascertain a richer picture of sleep health in this population.

Conclusion

Relatively little is known about sleep health among SMI populations, compared to other psychiatric disorders. The

present study shows that poor sleep quality is a common experience for people with SMI and it is associated with declines in routine regularity and wellbeing. One third of participants reported a decline in routine regularity during the pandemic and this may have impacted on sleep quality. Future research should explore the impact of sleep trends by collecting longitudinal sleep data in SMI samples. Sleep health is complex, particularly in this population, and studies would benefit from qualitative exploration or more comprehensive evaluations of sleep health. Supporting people with SMI to maintain regularity in daily routine may reap important benefits to sleep quality and to wellbeing. Indeed, poor sleep has historically been neglected as a specific treatment target in mental health programmes (44). However, targeting sleep difficulties alongside mainstream interventions should be examined as an effective and scalable model for improving outcomes (45).

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: <http://doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-855270>.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Health Research Authority North West–Liverpool Central Research Ethics Committee (REC reference 20/NW/0276). Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

PH, SC, EN, PS, LW, and EP contributed to data collection. PH, PS, and EP contributed to the data analysis. All authors contributed to the development and write-up of the study.

Funding

EP, PS, PH, GJ, EN, and SG Medical Research Council (grant reference MR/V028529) <https://mrc.ukri.org/funding/>. SG Economic and Social Research Council (ES/S004459/1) <https://www.ukri.org>. SG, RW, EN, and PS National Institute for Health Research (NIHR200166) <https://www.nihr.ac.uk>.

Acknowledgments

We thank the participants and members of the lived experience panel of the OWLS study, the NHS mental health staff, and the NIHR Yorkshire and Humber ARC for their support with this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

- Harvey AG, Murray G, Chandler RA, Soehner A. Sleep disturbance as transdiagnostic: Consideration of neurobiological mechanisms. *Clin Psychol Rev.* (2011) 31:225–35. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2010.04.003
- Freeman D, Sheaves B, Goodwin GM, Yu L-M, Nickless A, Harrison PJ, et al. The effects of improving sleep on mental health (OASIS): a randomised controlled trial with mediation analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry.* (2017) 4:749–58. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30328-0
- Harvey AG. Insomnia: symptom or diagnosis? *Clin Psychol Rev.* (2001) 21:1037–59. doi: 10.1016/S0272-7358(00)00083-0
- Alimoradi Z, Broström A, Tsang HWH, Griffiths MD, Haghayegh S, Ohayon MM, et al. Sleep problems during COVID-19 pandemic and its association to psychological distress: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *EclinicalMedicine.* (2021) 36:100916. doi: 10.1016/j.eclim.2021.100916
- Laskemoen JF, Simonsen C, Büchmann C, Barrett EA, Bjella T, Lagerberg TV, et al. Sleep disturbances in schizophrenia spectrum and bipolar disorders – a transdiagnostic perspective. *Compr Psychiatry.* (2019) 91:6–12. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsy.2019.02.006
- Gica S, Selvi Y. Sleep interventions in the treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Noro psikiyatri arsivi.* (2021) 58:S53–60. doi: 10.29399/npa.27467
- Benca RM, Obermeyer WH, Thisted RA, Gillin JC. Sleep and psychiatric disorders. A meta-analysis. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* (1992) 49:651–68. discussion 669–670. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1992.01820080059010
- Wulff K, Joyce E. Circadian rhythms and cognition in schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry.* (2011) 198:250–2. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.110.085068
- Sprecher KE, Ferrarelli F, Benca RM. Sleep and plasticity in schizophrenia. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci.* (2015) 25:433–58. doi: 10.1007/7854_2014_366
- Krystal AD, Goforth HW, Roth T. Effects of antipsychotic medications on sleep in schizophrenia. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol.* (2008) 23:150–60. doi: 10.1097/YIC.0b013e3282f39703
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). (2022). *Scenario: Managing long-term insomnia (more than 3 months duration)*. Available online at: <https://cks.nice.org.uk/topics/insomnia/management/managing-long-term-insomnia-greater-3-months/> (accessed 16 June 2022).
- Waite F, Myers E, Harvey AG, Espie CA, Startup H, Sheaves B, et al. Treating Sleep problems in patients with schizophrenia. *Behav Cogn Psychother.* (2016) 44:273–87. doi: 10.1017/S1352465815000430
- APA. (2013). *American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5)*, 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing. Available online at: <https://www.psychiatry.org/psychiatrists/> (accessed 16 June 2022).
- Kaplan KA. Sleep and sleep treatments in bipolar disorder. *Curr Opin Psychol.* (2020) 34:117–22. doi: 10.1016/j.copsyc.2020.02.001
- Harvey AG, Schmidt DA, Scarnà A, Semler CN, Goodwin GM. Sleep-related functioning in euthymic patients with bipolar disorder, patients with insomnia, and subjects without sleep problems. *Am J Psychiatry.* (2005) 162:50–7. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.162.1.50
- Millar AL, Espie CA, Scott J. The sleep of remitted bipolar outpatients: a controlled naturalistic study using actigraphy. *J Affect Disord.* (2004) 80:145–53. doi: 10.1016/S0165-0327(03)00055-7
- Buyssse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res.* (1989) 28:193–213. doi: 10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4
- Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2003) 35:1381–95. doi: 10.1249/01.MSS.0000078924.61453.FB
- Sheridan Rains L, Johnson S, Barnett P, Steare T, Needle JJ, Carr S, et al. Early impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care and on people with mental health conditions: framework synthesis of international experiences and responses. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* (2021) 56:13–24. doi: 10.1007/s00127-020-01924-7
- Bahorik AL, Satre DD, Kline-Simon AH, Weisner CM, Campbell CI. Serious mental illness and medical comorbidities: findings from an integrated health care system. *J Psychosom Res.* (2017) 100:35–45. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2017.07.004
- Szatkowski L, McNeill A. Diverging trends in smoking behaviors according to mental health status. *Nicotine Tob Res.* (2015) 17:356–60. doi: 10.1093/ntr/ntu173
- Espejo-Paeres C, Núñez-Gil JJ, Estrada V, Fernández-Pérez C, Uribe-Heredia G, Cabré-Verdiell C, et al. Impact of smoking on COVID-19 outcomes: a HOPE Registry subanalysis. *BMJ Nutr Prev Health.* (2021) 4:285–92. doi: 10.1136/bmjnp-2021-000269
- Alvaro PK, Roberts RM, Harris JK. A systematic review assessing bidirectionality between sleep disturbances, anxiety, and depression. *Sleep.* (2013) 36:1059–68. doi: 10.5665/sleep.2810
- Hayes JF, Marston L, Walters K, King MB, Osborn DPJ. Mortality gap for people with bipolar disorder and schizophrenia: UK-based cohort study 2000–2014. *Br J Psychiatry.* (2017) 211:175–81. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.117.202606
- Li D-J, Chou L-S, Chou FH-C, Hsu S-T, Hsieh K-Y, Wu H-C, et al. COVID-related psychological distress fully mediates the association from social impact to sleep disturbance among patients with chronic schizophrenia. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:16524–16524. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-96022-2
- Peckham E, Allgar V, Crosland S, Heron P, Johnston G, Newbronner E, et al. Health risk behaviours among people with severe mental ill health during the COVID-19 pandemic: analysis of linked cohort data. *PLoS ONE.* (2021) 16:e0258349. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0258349
- Vittinghoff E, Glidden DV, Shiboski SC, McCulloch CE. *Regression Methods in Biostatistics*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag (2012).

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.975593/full#supplementary-material>

28. Dashti HS, Jones SE, Wood AR, Lane JM, van Hees VT, Wang H, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies genetic loci for self-reported habitual sleep duration supported by accelerometer-derived estimates. *Nat Commun.* (2019) 10:1100. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-08917-4
29. Aschbrenner KA, Naslund JA, Salwen-Deremer JK, Browne J, Bartels SJ, Wolfe RS, et al. Sleep quality and its relationship to mental health, physical health and health behaviours among young adults with serious mental illness enrolled in a lifestyle intervention trial. *Early Interv Psychiatry.* (2022) 16:106–10. doi: 10.1111/eip.13129
30. Keskin N, Tamam L, Ozpoyraz N. Assessment of sleep quality in bipolar euthymic patients. *Compr Psychiatry.* (2018) 80:116–25. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2017.09.012
31. Paterson A. A Month of Sundays? What technology Can Tell us About Sleep on Lockdown. (2020). doi: 10.47795/WKF S4313
32. Moss TG, Carney CE, Haynes P, Harris AL. Is daily routine important for sleep? An investigation of social rhythms in a clinical insomnia population. *Chronobiol Int.* (2015) 32:92–102. doi: 10.3109/07420528.2014.956361
33. Irish LA, Kline CE, Gunn HE, Buysse DJ, Hall MH. The role of sleep hygiene in promoting public health: a review of empirical evidence. *Sleep Med Rev.* (2015) 22:23–36. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2014.10.001
34. Lyall LM, Wyse CA, Graham N, Ferguson A, Lyall DM, Cullen B, et al. Association of disrupted circadian rhythmicity with mood disorders, subjective wellbeing, and cognitive function: a cross-sectional study of 91 105 participants from the UK Biobank. *Lancet Psychiatry.* (2018) 5:507–14. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(18)30139-1
35. Ashman SB, Monk TH, Kupfer DJ, Clark CH, Myers FS, Frank E, et al. Relationship between social rhythms and mood in patients with rapid cycling bipolar disorder. *Psychiatry Res.* (1999) 86:1–8. doi: 10.1016/S0165-1781(99)00019-0
36. Shen GH, Alloy LB, Abramson LY, Sylvia LG. Social rhythm regularity and the onset of affective episodes in bipolar spectrum individuals. *Bipolar Disord.* (2008) 10:520–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-5618.2008.00583.x
37. Kahawage P, Bullock B, Meyer D, Gottlieb J, Crowe M, Swartz HA, et al. Social rhythm disruption is associated with greater depressive symptoms in people with mood disorders: findings from a multinational online survey during COVID-19. *Can J Psychiatry.* (2022). doi: 10.1177/07067437221097905. [Epub ahead of print].
38. Gadie A, Shafto M, Leng Y, Kievit RA, Cam CAN. How are age-related differences in sleep quality associated with health outcomes? An epidemiological investigation in a UK cohort of 2406 adults. *BMJ Open.* (2017) 7:e014920–e014920. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014920
39. Chen MH, Korenic SA, Wickwire EM, Wijtenburg SA, Hong LE, Rowland LM. Sex differences in subjective sleep quality patterns in schizophrenia. *Behav Sleep Med.* (2020) 18:668–79. doi: 10.1080/15402002.2019.1660168
40. Henderson LM, St Clair M, Knowland V, van Rijn E, Walker S, Gaskell MG. Stronger associations between sleep and mental health in adults with autism: a UK Biobank study. *J Autism Dev Disord.* (2021). doi: 10.1007/s10803-021-05382-1
41. Jovevska S, Richdale AL, Lawson LP, Uljarevic M, Arnold SRC, Trollor JN. Sleep quality in autism from adolescence to old age. *Autism Adulthood.* (2020). doi: 10.1089/aut.2019.0034
42. Gould CE, Karna R, Jordan J, Kawai M, Hirst R, Hantke N, et al. Subjective but not objective sleep is associated with subsyndromal anxiety and depression in community-dwelling older adults. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2018) 26:806–11. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2018.03.010
43. Sharma P, Dikshit R, Shah N, Karia S, De Sousa A. Excessive daytime sleepiness in schizophrenia: A naturalistic clinical study. *J Clin Diagn Res.* (2016) 10:VC06–VC08. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2016/21272.8627
44. Freeman D, Sheaves B, Waite F, Harvey AG, Harrison PJ. Sleep disturbance and psychiatric disorders. *Lancet Psychiatry.* (2020) 7:628–37. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30136-X
45. Stott R, Pimm J, Emsley R, Miller CB, Espie CA. Does adjunctive digital CBT for insomnia improve clinical outcomes in an improving access to psychological therapies service? *Behav Res Ther.* (2021) 144:103922. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2021.103922