



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Schwartz, S. H., Caprara, G. V., Vecchione, M., Bain, P., Bianchi, G., Caprara, M. G., Cieciuch, J., Kirmanoglu, H., Baslevant, C., Lönnqvist, J. E., et al (2013). Basic Personal Values Underlie and Give Coherence to Political Values: A Cross National Study in 15 Countries. *Political Behavior*, 36(4), pp. 1-32. doi: 10.1007/s11109-013-9255-z

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/4287/>

Link to published version: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-013-9255-z>

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online:

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/>

publications@city.ac.uk

Political Behavior

Basic Personal Values Constrain and Give Coherence to Political Values: A Cross National Study in 15 Countries

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Full Title:	Basic Personal Values Constrain and Give Coherence to Political Values: A Cross National Study in 15 Countries
Article Type:	Original Research
Keywords:	political values; basic personal values; value coherence; structure of political thought
Corresponding Author:	Shalom H. Schwartz, PhD The Hebrew University of Jerusalem & National Research University-Higher School of Economics Jerusalem, ISRAEL
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	The Hebrew University of Jerusalem & National Research University-Higher School of Economics
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Shalom H. Schwartz, PhD
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Shalom H. Schwartz, PhD Gian Vittorio Caprara, Phd Michelle Vecchione, PhD Paul Bain, Phd Gabriel Bianchi, PhD Maria Giovanna Caprara, Phd Jan Cieciuch, Phd Hasan Kirmanoglu, Phd Cem Baslevent, Phd Catalin Mamali, PhD Jorge Manzi, PhD Vassilis Pavlopoulos, PhD Tetyana Posnova, PhD Harald Schoen, PhD Jo Silvester, PhD Carmen Taberno, PhD Claudio Torres, PhD Markku Verkasalo, PhD Jan-Erik Lönnqvist, PhD Eva Eva Vondráková, PhD Christian Welzel, PhD

	Zbigniew Zaleski, PhD
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	<p>Do the political values of the general public form a coherent system? What might be the source of coherence? We view political values as expressions, in the political domain, of more basic personal values. Basic personal values (e.g., security, achievement, benevolence, hedonism) are organized on a circular continuum that reflects their conflicting and compatible motivations. We theorize that this circular motivational structure also gives coherence to political values. We assess this theorizing with data from 15 countries, using eight core political values (e.g., free enterprise, law and order) and 10 basic personal values. We specify the underlying basic values expected to promote or oppose each political value. We offer different hypotheses for the 12 non-communist and three post-communist countries studied, where the political context suggests different meanings of a basic or political value. Correlation and regression analyses support almost all hypotheses. Moreover, basic values account for substantially more variance in political values than age, gender, education, and income and largely mediate the effects of these variables. Multidimensional scaling analyses demonstrate graphically how the circular motivational continuum of basic personal values structures relations among core political values. This study strengthens the assumption that individual differences in basic personal values play a critical role in political thought.</p>

Shalom H. Schwartz

Department of Psychology, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel and
Laboratory of Socio-cultural Research, National Research University—Higher School of
Economics, Moscow, Russia

Corresponding author: msshach@mcc.huji.ac.il, Ph: +97225817892, Fax: Same

Gian Vittorio Caprara and Michele Vecchione

Department of Psychology, University of Rome “La Sapienza,” Rome, Italy

Paul Bain

School of Psychology, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

Gabriel Bianchi

Department of Social and Biological Communication, Slovak Academy of Sciences,
Bratislava, Slovakia

Maria Giovanna Caprara

Universidad a Distancia de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Jan Cieciuch

Faculty of Psychology, University of Finance and Management, Warsaw, Poland

Hasan Kirmanoglu and Cem Baslevent

Department of Economics, Istanbul Bilgi University, Turkey

Catalin Mamali

Department of Philosophy, University of Wisconsin-Platteville, Platteville, Wisconsin, USA

Jorge Manzi

Department of Psychology, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile

Vassilis Pavlopoulos

Department of Psychology, University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Tetyana Posnova

Practical Psychology Department, Chernivtsy Yuri Fedkovych National University,
Chernivtsi, Ukraine

Harald Schoen

Department of Political Sociology, University of Bamberg, Bamberg, Germany

Jo Silvester

Department of Psychology, City University London, London, United Kingdom

Carmen Tabernero

Department of Psychology, University of Cordoba, Cordoba, Spain

Claudio Torres

Institute of Psychology, University of Brasilia, Brasilia, Brazil

Markku Verkasalo and Jan-Erik Lönnqvist

Institute of Behavioral Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Eva Vondráková

Faculty of Social Sciences and Health Care, Constantine the Philosopher University, Nitra,
Slovak Republic

Christian Welzel

Institute of Political Science, Leuphana University Lueneburg, Lueneburg, Germany

Zbigniew Zaleski

Department of Psychology, Catholic University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland

1
2
3
4 **Basic Personal Values Constrain and Give Coherence to Political Values:**

5
6
7 **A Cross National Study in 15 Countries**

8
9 **Abstract**

10
11 Do the political values of the general public form a coherent system? What might be the source
12 of coherence? We view political values as expressions, in the political domain, of more basic
13 personal values. Basic personal values (e.g., security, achievement, benevolence, hedonism) are
14 organized on a circular continuum that reflects their conflicting and compatible motivations. We
15 theorize that this circular motivational structure also gives coherence to political values. We
16 assess this theorizing with data from 15 countries, using eight core political values (e.g., free
17 enterprise, law and order) and 10 basic personal values. We specify the underlying basic values
18 expected to promote or oppose each political value. We offer different hypotheses for the 12
19 non-communist and three post-communist countries studied, where the political context suggests
20 different meanings of a basic or political value. Correlation and regression analyses support
21 almost all hypotheses. Moreover, basic values account for substantially more variance in political
22 values than age, gender, education, and income and largely mediate the effects of these variables.
23 Multidimensional scaling analyses demonstrate graphically how the circular motivational
24 continuum of basic personal values structures relations among core political values. This study
25 strengthens the assumption that individual differences in basic personal values play a critical role
26 in political thought.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 **Keywords:** Political Values, Basic Personal Values, Value Coherence, Structure of Political
54
55 **Thought**
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Is there a meaningful structure to the political thought of the general public? That is, do
5
6 the political attitudes and values of the general public form a coherent system? If so, what
7
8 accounts for this structure and gives political values their coherence? We seek to answer these
9
10 questions in a cross-national context. We argue that the motivational structure of basic personal
11
12 values serves as the source of coherence for the core political values of the public. Moreover, this
13
14 is the case across different countries and political systems, with only minor variations.
15
16
17

18
19 A popular explanation holds that the single left-right or liberal-conservative ideological
20
21 dimension structures political thought and reduces the complexity of political information (e.g.,
22
23 Conover and Feldman 1981; Jacoby 1995). Materialism-postmaterialism is another single
24
25 dimension that has been suggested (Inglehart 1997). It is unlikely, however, that a single
26
27 ideological dimension can organize political attitudes (Benoit and Laver 2006; Kinder 1998). A
28
29 multidimensional conception of ideology is needed for this purpose (Ashton et al. 2005),
30
31 especially if we wish to understand the organization of the variety of political attitudes and
32
33 values in the discourse of different countries (Gunter et al. 2007).
34
35
36
37

38
39 Many empirical studies have examined the political attitudes of the general public and the
40
41 way these attitudes relate to one another (e.g., Feldman 1988; Zaller 1992). There have been few
42
43 systematic attempts, however, to investigate what underlies the varied political attitudes of the
44
45 electorate (for exceptions, see Ashton et al. 2005; Peffley and Hurwitz 1985). Feldman (2003:
46
47 479) noted that “one potentially valuable approach to the attitude organization problem that has
48
49 not received sufficient attention ... is based on the value construct.” Many have assigned a
50
51 central role to values as organizers of political attitudes (e.g., Braithwaite 1997; Gunther and
52
53 Kuan 2007; Rokeach 1973; Schwartz 1994). However, empirical studies of the role of values are
54
55 few in number and these have largely focused on a single or limited set of values. They have
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 failed to consider how political attitudes systematically relate to the whole system of basic value
5
6 priorities (Feldman 2003). The validation of a theory that identifies a comprehensive set of basic
7
8 personal values and the near universal structure of relations among them (Schwartz 1992, 2006)
9
10 makes it possible to do this.
11
12

13
14 A recent study in Italy (Schwartz et al. 2010) examined the possibility that the
15
16 motivational structure of the 10 basic values in the Schwartz (1992) value theory constrains and
17
18 gives coherence to core political values. Their findings largely supported this possibility for
19
20 Italy. But are their findings generalizable beyond Italy to countries with different political
21
22 histories and systems? The reasoning we will present suggests that the motivational structure of
23
24 basic values does indeed give coherence to political values¹ across political contexts. Moreover,
25
26 we argue that particular political values express the same basic values across contexts.
27
28
29

30
31 We do expect some variation, however. This should occur if the political history of a
32
33 country has imbued a particular political value with a meaning different from that in most other
34
35 countries. For example, the experience of communist rule may have imbued ‘equality’ ‘free
36
37 enterprise’ with connotations for citizens in post-communist countries that differ from their
38
39 connotations in West European countries. The current study examines relations of basic personal
40
41 values to core political values in 15 countries. Thus, we test a set of theory-based hypotheses
42
43 about the way that basic personal values constrain and give coherence to political values in a
44
45 variety of political contexts. These hypotheses also specify likely variations due to the political
46
47 history of post-communist countries. We generate the set of hypotheses tested after first
48
49 explicating the core political values in this study and the relevant aspects of the theory of basic
50
51 personal values.
52
53
54
55
56
57

58
59 ¹ We will refer to ‘political values’ because that term is common in the political science literature. They might also
60
61 be called ‘political attitudes’ because they are generally conceptualized and measured as evaluations of politically
62
63 relevant objects.
64
65

Core Political Values

Political scientists refer to attitudes in the political domain such as egalitarianism, civil liberties, ethnocentrism, and limited government as ‘core political values’ (e.g., Goren 2005; Hurwitz and Peffley 1987). McCann (1997) defined core political values as overarching normative principles and belief assumptions about government, citizenship, and society. They help people to take positions in an otherwise confusing political environment, binding together more specific attitudes and beliefs (Converse 1964). Core political values underlie specific attitudes, preferences, and evaluations in the sphere of politics (Feldman 1988; Hurwitz and Peffley 1987). Political scientists typically measure core political values by asking people how much they agree or disagree with prescriptions for how government or society should function.

There is no clear consensus regarding the number and content of core political values in modern democracies. There is, nonetheless, considerable overlap in the political values identified by different theorists (e.g., Feldman 1988; McCann 1997; Heath, Jowell and Curtice 1985; Goren 2005; Jacoby 2006; Kinder and Sears 1985). Schwartz et al. (2010) selected six of the most commonly mentioned core political values for their study. They also added two political attitudes (toward military intervention and immigration) that were prominent in the 2006 Italian election. We included the six core values in all countries and added the other two in countries where our collaborators deemed them relevant. To simplify, we refer to eight core political values, though the last two are more specific political attitudes. We define these values below:

Traditional morality: society should protect traditional religious, moral, and family values.

Blind patriotism: people should support and never criticize their country.

Law and order: government should forbid disruptive activities and enforce obedience to law.

Free enterprise: government should not be involved in the economy.

1
2
3
4 *Equality*: society should distribute opportunities and resources equally.
5

6 *Civil liberties*: everyone should be free to act and think as they deem most appropriate.
7

8
9 *Foreign military intervention*: nations should use military means to deal with international
10
11 problems if necessary.
12

13
14 *Accepting immigrants*: foreign immigrants contribute positively to our country.
15

16 **Basic Personal Values** 17

18
19 Basic personal values are cognitive representations of desirable, trans-situational goals
20
21 (Rokeach 1973; Schwartz 1992). Basic personal values serve as standards for judging *all* kinds
22
23 of behavior, events, and people. They find expression in all domains of life and underlie all
24
25 attitudes and opinions, including political ideologies and attitudes (Rokeach 1973; Schwartz
26
27 1994, 2006). Basic personal values vary in terms of their importance as guiding principles. They
28
29 are more abstract and fundamental than core political values. We adopted the Schwartz (1992)
30
31 value theory to guide our research. This theory identifies 10 broad personal values, each defined
32
33 in terms of the distinct motivational goals it expresses:
34
35
36
37

38 *Power*: social status and prestige, control or dominance over people and resources.
39

40
41 *Achievement*: personal success through demonstrating competence according to social standards.
42

43
44 *Hedonism*: pleasure and sensuous gratification for oneself.
45

46
47 *Stimulation*: excitement, novelty, and challenge in life.
48

49
50 *Self-Direction*: independent thought and action—choosing, creating, exploring.
51

52
53 *Universalism*: understanding, appreciation, tolerance, and protection for the welfare of all people
54
55 and for nature.
56

57
58 *Benevolence*: preservation and enhancement of the welfare of people with whom one is in
59
60 frequent personal contact.
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 *Tradition*: respect, commitment and acceptance of the customs and ideas that traditional culture
5
6 or religion provide.
7

8
9 *Conformity*: restraint of actions, inclinations, and impulses likely to upset or harm others and
10
11 violate social expectations or norms.
12

13
14 *Security*: safety, harmony, and stability of society, of relationships, and of self.
15

16 The theory further specifies the circular structure of relations among the values shown in
17
18 Figure 1 and commonly found with the instrument we used. This structure is a motivational
19
20 continuum that reflects the compatibility and conflict among different values. The closer any two
21
22 values around the circle, the more compatible their motivations and therefore the more likely it is
23
24 that they can be attained through the same action or expressed through the same attitude. The
25
26 more distant any two values, the more conflicting their motivations, hence the less possible to
27
28 attain them through the same action or express them in the same attitude. This circular structure
29
30 of values means that any behavior or attitude that is especially congruent with one basic value
31
32 (e.g., military intervention with power) should also be congruent with the adjacent values in the
33
34 circle (security and achievement) but conflict with the opposing values (universalism,
35
36 benevolence, and self-direction). Thus, the whole integrated structure of values relates
37
38 systematically to other variables.
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 We note additional sources of the circular structure of basic personal values that aid in
46
47 developing hypotheses about the ways basic values constrain core political values. Values in the
48
49 bottom half of the circle (Figure 1) are based in the need to avoid or control anxiety and threat
50
51 and to protect the self (Schwartz 2006, 2010). Conservation values (bottom left) emphasize
52
53 avoiding conflict, unpredictability, and change by submission and passive acceptance of the
54
55 status quo. Self-enhancement values (bottom right) emphasize overcoming possible sources of
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 anxiety by gaining dominance or admiration. In contrast, values in the top half of the circle are
5
6 relatively anxiety free, expressing growth and self-expansion. Self-transcendence values (top
7
8 left) emphasize promoting the welfare of others. Openness to change values (top right)
9
10 emphasize autonomous, self-expressive experience.
11
12

13
14 Another way to think about the circle of basic personal values is to see them as arrayed
15
16 on the two dimensions shown in Figure 1. One dimension opposes openness to change vs.
17
18 conservation values. The former encourage independent thought, feeling, and action, and
19
20 receptiveness to change whereas the latter call for submissive self-restriction, preserving traditional
21
22 practices, and protecting stability. A second dimension opposes self-transcendence vs. self-
23
24 enhancement values. The former emphasize accepting others as equals and concern for their welfare
25
26 whereas the latter encourage pursuing one's own success and dominance over others. Hedonism
27
28 shares elements of both openness to change and self-enhancement values and falls between them.
29
30
31
32

33 **Hypotheses**

34
35
36 Following Schwartz et al. (2010), we assume that basic personal values organize and give
37
38 coherence to core political values. Core political values express basic values in the political
39
40 domain. People tend to favor ideologies or policies that can promote their important basic values
41
42 in any given societal context. They tend to oppose ideologies and policies that threaten or
43
44 frustrate attainment of their important basic values in that context. People who attribute high
45
46 priority to security and power values, for example, tend to favor nationalist policies because they
47
48 seemingly promise greater security and they express power goals. People who attribute high
49
50 priority to universalism values tend to oppose nationalist policies because nationalism gives less
51
52 weight to the equality and dignity of those who are not members of the nation.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 As noted, the theory of basic values specifies an integrated structure of motivationally
5
6 compatible and conflicting relations among the 10 values. This structure enables us to
7
8 hypothesize how basic personal values organize and constrain core political values in an
9
10 integrated manner. We propose that the same circular motivational structure that organizes
11
12 relations among basic personal values also organizes and gives coherence to core political
13
14 values. Thus, the motivational structure of basic personal values provides is the source of
15
16 coherence for core political values. Associations of core political values with one another and
17
18 with basic values should therefore reflect the motivational oppositions and compatibilities among
19
20 basic values. This implies that each political value should relate positively to a set of basic values
21
22 on one side of the motivational circle and negatively to a set of basic values on the opposite side.
23
24
25
26
27

28 We based the hypotheses that specify the basic personal values underlying each political
29
30 value on the hypotheses in Schwartz et al. (2010). However, we modified these hypotheses as
31
32 necessary if what is known about the political context in particular countries suggested a
33
34 variation in the meaning of a basic value or of a political value. We asked whether each political
35
36 value expresses or promotes attainment of the defining goals of each basic value or whether it
37
38 conflicts with the expression and preservation of these goals. Our conceptual analyses led to the
39
40 following hypotheses regarding the motivationally opposed values that correlate positively and
41
42 negatively with each political value.²
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Traditional morality:** positive correlations with tradition, conformity, and security
49
50 values because preserving traditional norms, beliefs and modes of behavior provides certainty
51
52 and avoids change; negative correlations with hedonism, stimulation, self-direction, and
53
54 universalism values because an emphasis on traditional morality opposes free, individual choice
55
56
57

58 ² We provide relatively brief rationales for each hypothesis. By considering the congruence of the core political
59
60 values with the definitions of the basic personal values and with their dynamic underpinnings described above, one
61
62 can elaborate these rationales more fully.
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 of how to think and behave and is intolerant of what is new and different; negative correlations
5
6 with power and achievement values because the rhetoric of traditional morality opposes putting
7
8 self-interest ahead of the interests of others.
9

10
11 For the post-communist countries, however, several reasons led us to hypothesize
12
13 positive rather than negative correlations with universalism values. The communist regimes
14
15 sought to suppress religious traditions and to substitute communism as an alternative ideological
16
17 basis for morality. This may have created a link between support for traditional morality and
18
19 opposition to communist rule. With the fall of communist regimes, support for traditional
20
21 morality may have become a way to express the motivational goal of universalism in the form of
22
23 tolerance for and appreciation of religion and other traditions (Buksinski 2003; Howard 2003).
24
25 Moreover, 'traditional' may be associated with concern for other (community, societal welfare)
26
27 and compatible with universalism values. In contrast, rejecting tradition in favor of modernizing
28
29 may be associated with concern for self.
30
31
32
33
34

35
36 **Blind patriotism:** positive correlations with tradition, conformity, and security values
37
38 because uncritical attachment to and identification with one's country provide both a sense of
39
40 certainty and of being part of something meaningful and lasting; negative correlations with self-
41
42 direction, universalism, stimulation, and hedonism because blind patriotism is intolerant of out-
43
44 groups and conflicts with free, individual self-expression.
45
46
47

48
49 **Law and order:** positive correlations with security, conformity, and tradition values
50
51 because law and order protect against threatening, anxiety-arousing disruption of the social
52
53 order; negative correlations with self-direction, universalism, stimulation, and hedonism because
54
55 emphasizing law and order restricts individuals' freedom to pursue unique or unusual and
56
57 potentially disruptive interests, adventures and pleasures and it discourages tolerance of different
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 ways of living. Evidence that universalism values have a more conservative meaning in post-
5
6 communist countries (Bardi and Schwartz 1996; Cieciuch and Schwartz 2012) suggests that law
7
8 and order may not correlate negatively with universalism values in these countries.
9

10
11 **Foreign military intervention:** positive correlations with security, conformity, tradition,
12
13 and power because such military action protects against external sources of danger and change
14
15 and does so through dominating power; negative correlations with universalism and benevolence
16
17 because they favor finding non-aggressive ways to handle problems and oppose actions that may
18
19 harm others, and with self-direction because it emphasizes the importance of independence
20
21 hence of non-interference in the affairs of others. In the post-communist countries, however, we
22
23 expect no associations of security, conformity, and tradition with support for intervening
24
25 militarily in foreign countries. We reason that the relatively recent military intervention by the
26
27 former Soviet Union in these countries will have undermined the usual link between the
28
29 conservation values and viewing military action as a source of protection.
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Free enterprise:** positive correlations with achievement and power because economic
37
38 individualism allows unfettered pursuit of own success and wealth; negative correlations with
39
40 universalism and benevolence because free enterprise allows exploitation of others and removes
41
42 governmental regulation that can protect the weak. We also expect positive correlations of free
43
44 enterprise with security, conformity, and tradition values in the non-communist countries. There,
45
46 conservative political rhetoric favors free enterprise and defines government involvement in
47
48 business and public welfare as interference in the lives of citizens (e.g., Benoit and Laver 2006;
49
50 Hughes 2008). We expect negative correlations with security, conformity, and tradition values in
51
52 post-communist countries because those who value security and preserving the status quo are
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 likely to be more disillusioned with the insecurity and constant change that growth of
5
6 entrepreneurial capitalism has brought about (Poznanski 2001).
7
8

9 **Equality:** positive correlations with universalism and benevolence because equality
10 expresses concern for others' welfare; negative correlations with power and achievement
11 because equality conflicts with enabling individuals to pursue their own interests even at the
12 expense of others. In post-communist countries, we also expect a positive correlation of equality
13
14 with security, conformity, and tradition. Under communist rule, providing economic and social
15 security through equality served as a key justification for the regime. Those who cherish
16 conservation values are more likely to accept prevailing regimes and their justification (Roccas
17 et al. 2010). The rapid expansion of free enterprise and capitalism in the post-communist
18 countries has reduced socio-economic equality (Mitra and Rusl 2007). Therefore, those high in
19 the three conservation values, who linked equality more with communism, may evaluate the core
20 political value of equality more positively than others do.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 **Civil liberties:** positive correlations with self-direction, universalism, and benevolence
36 because favoring individuals' freedom of thought and action requires high tolerance and low
37 anxiety regarding all ideas and concern for others; negative correlations with power, security,
38 conformity, and tradition because freedom for everyone to act and think as they deem
39 appropriate risks unexpected threatening ideas and behavior that violate norms and traditions.
40 We make no prediction for security, conformity, and tradition in post-communist countries. The
41 welcome, sharp rise in the level of personal freedom in these countries is probably still too recent
42 even for people who emphasize these values to disapprove of civil liberties (Rose, 1997).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Accepting immigrants:** positive correlations with universalism, benevolence, self-
56 direction, and stimulation because accepting immigrants expresses concern for their welfare and
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 may expose people to new and exciting ideas and behavior; negative correlations with security,
5
6 tradition, and conformity because new immigrants may be perceived as dangerous to personal
7
8 security and as challenging traditional ideas, norms, practices.³
9

10
11 Table 1 summarizes the hypothesized associations. The variations from the overall
12
13 patterns expected in the post-communist countries are indicated in parentheses.
14

15
16 Table 1 about here
17

18
19 The correlations that we hypothesize between the basic personal values and core political
20
21 values all reflect the circular motivational structure of compatible and conflicting basic values.
22
23 Hence, confirming these hypotheses would support the claim that the coherent motivational
24
25 structure that organizes basic values also organizes relations among core political values. If a set
26
27 of core political values shares similar patterns of correlation with basic values, they should show
28
29 substantial positive relations among themselves. Thus, the shared grounding of law and order,
30
31 blind patriotism, and traditional morality in the three conservation values versus the three
32
33 openness to change values and universalism (without universalism in the post-communist
34
35 countries) should link them together. The hypothesized patterns of correlation also imply a
36
37 negative link of these three core political values with accepting immigrants, which is grounded in
38
39 the opposite set of basic values.
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 The hypothesized patterns of correlation further suggest that the opposition between
47
48 universalism and power values, in particular, underlies and unites the core political values of
49
50 equality and civil liberties and links them negatively with free enterprise. This implies that the
51
52
53
54
55

56
57 ³ We excluded from consideration the three countries that had negative net migration during the years preceding the
58 study (Brazil, Poland, and Ukraine) because the questions about accepting immigrants may not have been
59 meaningful there. We also excluded Israel, as noted earlier in the text.
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 primary motivational conflict that guides these political values is concern for others' interests
5
6 versus self-interest.
7

8
9 The positive grounding of the attitude toward foreign military intervention in the three
10 conservation values gives rise to positive links with law and order, blind patriotism, and
11 traditional morality that share this grounding and a negative link with accepting immigrants that
12 is grounded negatively in conservation values. The grounding of foreign military intervention in
13 power versus universalism and benevolence values should link it to free enterprise. We expect no
14 positive correlations of foreign military intervention with the conservation values in the post-
15 communist countries. Its links with law and order, blind patriotism, and traditional morality
16 should therefore be somewhat different in those countries. Its relations with the other core
17 political values should be largely determined by its grounding in power versus universalism.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **Method**

32 **Samples and Procedures**

33
34 We recruited adults who were eligible to vote in 15 countries: Australia, Brazil, Chile,
35 Germany, Greece, Finland, Israel, Italy, Poland, Slovakia, Spain, Turkey, Ukraine, United
36 Kingdom, and United States. Except in Australia, the United Kingdom, Germany, and Turkey,
37 respondents were recruited personally by university students and completed a self-report
38 questionnaire individually. Responses were in writing in 11 countries, online in Australia,
39 Finland, and the United Kingdom, and by telephone interview in Germany. The Australian
40 sample came from a database of community participants administered through a university.
41 Convenience samples were obtained in all countries but Germany (representative national
42 sample) and Turkey (representative sample of the Istanbul province). Table 2 describes the
43 characteristics of the samples in each country.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 2 about here

Measures

Basic Personal Values. The Portrait Values Questionnaire (PVQ; Schwartz 2006) measured basic values. The PVQ includes 40 short verbal portraits of different people matched to the respondents' gender, each describing a person's goals, aspirations, or wishes that point implicitly to the importance of a value. For example, "It is important to him to listen to people who are different from him. Even when he disagrees with them, he still wants to understand them" describes a person who endorses universalism values. Three to six items measure each value. For each portrait, respondents indicate how similar the person is to themselves on a scale ranging from "not like me at all"—1 to "very much like me—6". Respondents' own values are inferred from the implicit values of the people they describe as similar to themselves.

Multimethod-multitrait analyses of the 10 values measured with the PVQ and with the Schwartz Value Survey (Schwartz 1992) confirm the convergent and discriminant validity of the PVQ indexes (Schwartz 2006). Internal reliabilities of the basic personal values are necessarily low because the few items that measure each one are intended to cover the conceptual breadth of the value rather than a core idea (e.g., tradition includes both humility and faith). The mean alpha reliability coefficients across the 15 countries in this study ranged from .57 (tradition) to .80 (achievement), with an overall mean of .69 ($SD = .07$). Despite some low reliabilities, there is substantial evidence that the PVQ indexes are valid.⁴ Test-retest correlations ranged from .65 (benevolence) to .75 (achievement and hedonism).

⁴ For example, in studies across different countries, basic values, measured with the PVQ, related as hypothesized with human rights behavior, interpersonal violence, attitudes toward war, the Big 5 personality variables, social dominance orientation, right-wing authoritarianism, age, gender, and education (Burr 2006; Cohrs et al. 2005, 2007; Knafo, Daniel and Khoury-Kassabri 2008; Schwartz and Rubel 2005; Wach and Hammer 2003). Even tradition, the value with the lowest reliability, correlated with several of these variables as hypothesized.

1
2
3
4 **Core Political Values.** We adopted or modified items that Schwartz et al. (2010) used,
5
6 many of which they took from Feldman (1988), McCann (1997), Jacoby (2006), Gunther and
7
8 Kuan (2007), and Schatz et al. (1999), to measure the eight core political values. Our Israeli
9
10 collaborator did not include accepting immigrants or military intervention. Immigration items
11
12 have a different meaning in Israel than elsewhere, given an official policy and public discourse
13
14 that encourages Jewish immigration from around the world. Moreover, the constant state of
15
16 military threat in Israel and the frequent engagement in wars give a different meaning to the
17
18 military intervention items. In order to prevent an acquiescence response bias, we formulated
19
20 two or three positively worded and two negatively worded items for each core political value.
21
22 Responses were: completely disagree—1, agree a little—2, agree somewhat—3, agree a great
23
24 deal—4, completely agree—5.
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 To assess whether the items in the scale yielded distinct factors for each political value,
32
33 we performed a Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) in each country, using maximum-
34
35 likelihood estimation in the MPLUS program (Muthén and Muthén, 1998). A model with
36
37 oblique factors for each political value yielded an unsatisfactory fit in all countries. The poor fit
38
39 was largely due to the use of reverse-worded items; many of the negatively worded items
40
41 showed particularly problematic psychometric properties.⁵ This is a common problem with self-
42
43 report scales that include reverse-worded items (e.g., Barnette 2000; Spector et al.1997),
44
45 especially in cross-cultural research (Wong et al. 2003). Adding a methods factor in the CFA
46
47 models to represent the direction of the wording did not solve the problem. We therefore dropped
48
49 all negatively worded items and ran a model with only the positively worded items.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 ⁵ Across countries, the majority of high modification indexes referred to cross-loadings of negatively worded items
58 or to correlated uniquenesses among items worded in the same direction. Numerous negatively worded items had
59 standardized loadings < .3 on their presumed factor and/or reduced the internal reliability of the summed scale of
60 their political value.
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Table 3 presents the fit indexes for the model with positively worded items. The RMSEA
5 and SRMR indexes show an adequate fit in all countries. The CFI is acceptable in 11 countries
6 but only $> .8$ in Poland, Slovakia, Ukraine, and the United States. Given the adequate RMSEA
7 and SRMR indexes in the latter countries, the loss of information if we dropped them, and the
8 sensitivity of CFI to large numbers of variables,⁶ we retained all of the countries in the study.
9
10 Across countries, only 7% of item loadings were $< .4$ and 3% were $< .3$, with the latter not
11 concentrated in any one country. Because dropping any of these items in a country would result
12 in dropping the whole factor, we did not do so. Table 4 lists the 18 items retained for use in this
13 study and notes the core political value that each one measures.
14
15

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Tables 3 and 4 about here

Results

Basic Personal Values as Organizers of Core Political Values

Our general hypothesis proposed that the circular motivational structure that organizes basic personal values also organizes and gives coherence to core political values. The hypothesis for each political value specified one set of basic values expected to relate positively to the political value and another, motivationally opposed set, expected to relate negatively. In most instances, we hypothesized that basic personal values relate to political values in the same way across the 15 countries we studied, despite their varied political contexts. We also specified likely variations due to the political history of post-communist countries that may have affected the meaning of some basic or core political values. Confirmation of the specific hypotheses (summarized in Table 1) would support the view that the motivational circle that organizes basic values organizes relations among core political values.

⁶Kenny and McCoach (2003) note that even in correctly specified models CFI tends to worsen as the number of variables in a model grows large.

Table 5 reports Pearson correlations between the core political and basic personal values across countries, weighting each sample equally. To correct for individual differences in scale use, we centered each person's basic values on his or her own mean value rating (cf. Schwartz 2006). We report correlations separately for the 12 non-communist (N = 5566 to 6692) and three post-communist countries (N = 1919). Given the large sample sizes, we set significance levels of .01 (post-communist) and .001 (non-communist). Table 5 also lists the proportion of countries with hypothesized correlations in the expected direction. For associations that were not hypothesized, it lists the proportion of countries with correlations in the same direction in parentheses.⁷

Table 5 about here

All 55 hypothesized associations for the 12 non-communist countries were significant ($p < .001$, 2-tailed). Of these associations, 50 were in the hypothesized direction in at least 10 countries and 49 were $r > .10$. Of the 25 associations that were not hypothesized, six were significant, in the same direction in at least 10 countries, and correlated $r > .10$.

For the three post-communist countries, 43 of the 44 hypothesized associations⁸ were significant ($p < .01$, 2-tailed). Of these associations, 36 were in the hypothesized direction in all three post-communist countries and 36 correlated $r > .10$ and were in the hypothesized direction in at least two countries. Seven of the 26 associations that were not hypothesized were also significant, in the same direction in at least two of three countries, and correlated $r > .10$.

Of special interest are the cases with different hypotheses for the post-communist and the other countries. All but three of these hypotheses were confirmed. Universalism values correlated positively with traditional morality in the post-communist countries but negatively in

⁷ The correlations for each country are available from the first author.

⁸ We do not include hypotheses for accepting immigrants in this count because we did not test them in Poland and Ukraine (see footnote 3). In Slovakia, all seven hypotheses for accepting immigrants were supported.

1
2
3
4 the non-communist countries. Universalism values correlated negatively with law and order in
5
6 the non-communist countries but were not correlated in the post-communist countries. Security,
7
8 conformity, and tradition values correlated positively with military intervention in the post-
9
10 communist countries but did not correlate in the non-communist countries. Security, conformity,
11
12 and tradition values correlated negatively with free enterprise in the post-communist countries
13
14 but positively in the non-communist countries. Finally, security, conformity, and tradition
15
16 correlated positively with equality in the post-communist countries but, contrary to our
17
18 expectation of no association, these conservation values correlated negatively with equality in
19
20 the non-communist countries.
21
22
23
24

25
26 To provide a sense of the importance of basic values relative to other predictors, we
27
28 compared the variance that they explained in each core political value with the variance
29
30 explained by four socio-demographic variables: age, education, gender, and income. The third
31
32 from last row of Table 5 gives the mean variance in each core political value that the 10 basic
33
34 values explained, calculated by averaging across regressions in each sample. The explained
35
36 variance ranged from 17% (military intervention) to 39% (traditional morality) in the non-
37
38 communist countries and from 6% (free enterprise) to 30% (traditional morality) in the post-
39
40 communist countries. The second from last row gives the average variance explained by the
41
42 socio-demographic variables alone. The last row, in parentheses, gives the average variance
43
44 explained by these variables that was *not* mediated by the basic values (i.e., the incremental R^2
45
46 when adding the socio-demographic variables to the regressions after the basic values). Basic
47
48 values accounted for substantially more variance than the socio-demographic variables did in
49
50 every core political value and basic values mediated most of the variance explained by the latter.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 We based all of the hypotheses on the compatibilities and conflicts among basic values
5
6 expressed in the circular motivational continuum. Thus, the full set of correlation findings offers
7
8 substantial support for the claim that the motivational structure that organizes basic values also
9
10 organizes relations among core political values. To capture the comprehensive structure of
11
12 relations among the core political and basic personal values, however, one must consider all of
13
14 them together. We did this with multidimensional scaling analyses (MDS) that generated two-
15
16 dimensional projections of the relations among the values of two types. If the motivational
17
18 structure of basic values does structure relations among the core political values, we expect the
19
20 following: The 10 basic values should be arrayed in the space in the order postulated by the basic
21
22 values theory (Figure 1), and the eight core political values should be arrayed in the same space
23
24 in an order that reflects their hypothesized relations with the basic values.
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 As input to the MDS analyses, we generated matrixes of Pearson correlations among the
32
33 10 basic personal values and the eight core political values. We generated one matrix for each
34
35 country and pooled matrixes for the 12 non-communist and for three post-communist countries,
36
37 weighting each country equally. The PROXSCAL program in SPSS 18.0 then performed ordinal
38
39 MDS analyses on the matrixes, using Euclidean distances. We treated the projection for the 12
40
41 non-communist countries as the target for Procrustes rotations of each single country projection.
42
43 This enabled us to assess the extent to which the associations in each country differ from those in
44
45 the overall non-communist pattern and to identify specific deviations. For the post-communist
46
47 countries, we examined whether the variations in associations that we hypothesized appeared in
48
49 their two dimensional MDS projections.
50
51
52
53
54

55 Figure 2 presents the overall MDS projection for the 12 non-communist countries. Note
56
57 first that the 10 basic personal values retain their theorized motivational order going clockwise
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 around the circle from self-direction to universalism. Inclusion of the core political values in the
5
6 analysis did not change the motivational structure of basic personal values. This suggests that
7
8 relations of core political values to one another and to basic personal values are compatible with
9
10 the motivational structure that organizes basic values.
11
12

13
14 Figure 2 about here

15
16 We then compared the separate, Procrustes rotated projection for each of the 12 countries
17
18 with the overall projection. Tucker's phi coefficient, which correlates the coordinates of the
19
20 values in each country sample with the overall sample coordinates, measured similarity. A phi
21
22 coefficient of .95 is commonly taken as indicating virtually complete identity (van de Vijver and
23
24 Leung 1997). Table 6 shows that Tucker's phi was at least .95 on both dimensions for all but the
25
26 Turkish sample. Hence, we can take the overall projection as a good indicator of the findings in
27
28 the various samples. Examination of the coordinates for Turkey revealed that the coordinates of
29
30 accepting immigrants were the main source of deviation from the overall pattern.⁹
31
32
33

34
35
36 Table 6 about here

37
38 We next consider what the locations in the overall MDS projection and the correlations
39
40 tell us about the structuring of the eight core political values. The locations of the political values
41
42 in the space reveal their relations both to one another and to the basic values. Traditional
43
44 morality, blind patriotism, and law and order cluster together at the bottom of Figure 2. Much the
45
46 same basic values predicted them all (Table 5). Their spatial location and their correlations with
47
48 basic values suggest that the opposition between the three conservation values that predict these
49
50 three core political values positively and the three openness to change values (including
51
52 hedonism) that predict them negatively structures these political values. Their shared negative
53
54 association with universalism values also points to a common underlying motivation.
55
56
57
58

59
60 ⁹ The coordinates for the overall MDS and for each country are available from the first author.
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 The locations of accepting immigration and civil liberties in Figure 2 (top left) reveal that
5 their motivational underpinnings oppose those of traditional morality, blind patriotism, and law
6 and order. They correlate most negatively with the three conservation values and most positively
7 with universalism, self-direction, and benevolence. Civil liberties expresses more of an openness
8 motivation whereas accepting immigration expresses more concern for the welfare of others.
9

10
11 The locations of the core political values of equality (top left) and free enterprise (bottom
12 right) in Figure 2 indicate that concern for all others is the main motivational basis of the former
13 and self-interest the main motivational basis of the latter. The relative importance given to power
14 versus universalism values largely shapes individuals' stands on these two core political values.
15
16 In addition, free enterprise expresses the motivational opposition between conservation and self-
17 direction values.
18
19

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Lastly, the location of foreign military intervention in Figure 2 (bottom right) suggests that it is linked to free enterprise by their shared motivation to control and dominate versus to promote others' welfare. This location reflects their positive correlations with power and negative correlations with universalism. Like law and order, blind patriotism, and traditional morality, foreign military intervention is grounded in the three self-protective, conservation values. Like them, and in contrast to accepting immigrants and civil liberties, it conflicts with universalism and self-direction values that foster tolerance for others who are different.

Figure 3 presents the overall MDS projection for the three post-communist countries. It includes the 10 basic values and seven political values that were meaningful in all three countries (excluding accepting immigrants). Here too, the 10 basic personal values retain their theorized motivational order going clockwise around the circle from self-direction to universalism.

Figure 3 about here

1
2
3
4 Comparing the array of values in Figure 3 with Figure 2, we see evidence for each of the
5
6 hypothesized differences between post-communist and non-communist countries. The distances
7
8 between the core political values and the basic values reflect the different relations. For every
9
10 hypothesized difference, the political value is closer to the basic values in the set of countries for
11
12 which the predicted association was more positive. Thus, free enterprise is substantially closer to
13
14 the three conservation values in the non-communist countries (Figure 2), where we predicted a
15
16 positive association, than in the post-communist countries (Figure 3), where we predicted a
17
18 negative association. And traditional morality is closer to universalism in the post-communist
19
20 countries (Figure 3), where we predicted a positive association than in the non-communist
21
22 countries (Figure 2), where we predicted a negative association. For law and order, military
23
24 intervention, equality, and civil liberties, the expected differences in distances are also present.
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Comparing the Procrustes rotated projection for each post-communist country with the
32
33 overall projection for the 12 non-communist countries identified the specific deviations in each
34
35 country. Tucker's phi coefficients less than .95 indicated the values whose locations deviated
36
37 from the overall projection. All were values for which we had hypothesized differences. In
38
39 Slovakia, there was substantial deviation for equality and lesser deviations for universalism, civil
40
41 liberties, and traditional morality. In Ukraine, there were substantial deviations for traditional
42
43 morality and civil liberties and lesser deviations for equality, universalism, and law and order. In
44
45 Poland, there were substantial deviations for free enterprise, universalism, equality, and
46
47 traditional morality.
48
49
50
51
52

53 Discussion

54 Structuring of Core Political Values by Basic Personal Values

55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 We examined associations between eight political values, drawn from the political
5 science literature and from contemporary political discourse, and 10 basic personal values.
6
7 Drawing on the assumption that political values express basic values in the political domain and
8
9 on the meanings of the political and basic values, we proposed a set of associations between
10
11 them. These associations also implied how the political values cluster together. We predicted
12
13 somewhat different associations for non-communist and post-communist countries because of
14
15 postulated differences between the meanings of some values in these political contexts. For the
16
17 non-communist countries, all 55 hypothesized associations were significant. For the post-
18
19 communist countries, 43 of the 44 hypothesized associations were significant and one was zero.
20
21
22
23
24

25
26 The MDS graphic projections of relations among basic values and core political values
27
28 (Figures 2 and 3) and the pattern of correlations between them (Table 5) revealed their shared
29
30 motivational structure. The findings suggest that the coherent structure of motivations that
31
32 organizes basic values also structures core political values. The motivational opposition of
33
34 security, conformity, and tradition values to self-direction, stimulation, and hedonism values
35
36 underlies the political values of law and order, blind patriotism, and traditional morality,
37
38 producing coherence among them. In the non-communist countries, giving low priority to
39
40 universalism values also underlies these political values and leads to their mutually positive
41
42 associations. Accepting immigrants expresses the opposite set of basic value priorities. It is
43
44 grounded in motivations opposite to law and order, blind patriotism, and traditional morality and
45
46 correlates strongly negatively with them.
47
48
49
50
51

52
53 Structuring by the conservation versus openness values implies that the motivations that
54
55 underlie law and order, blind patriotism, and traditional morality are anxiety, fear of uncertainty,
56
57 and change, protecting the self, and preventing loss (Schwartz 2006). The negative association of
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 these political values with universalism values implies lack of concern for the welfare of those
5
6 outside the ingroup. The contents of these political values express just such motivations. They
7
8 refer to protecting oneself and one's extended ingroup from disorder and danger due to crime,
9
10 disruptive and unconventional minorities, and new life styles and beliefs, and to believing one's
11
12 country protects against threats and can do no wrong.
13
14

15
16 The motivational underpinnings of accepting immigrants are the reverse of the three
17
18 political values with which it correlates negatively. Accepting immigrants expresses low fear of
19
20 uncertainty and a view of change and diversity as potential sources of gain, growth, and self-
21
22 expansion. It is also grounded in concern for the welfare of all others (Schwartz, 2006). The
23
24 items that measure accepting immigrants clearly express its value-based motivations. They assert
25
26 that immigrants (implicitly, people whose different life styles and unpredictable behavior may
27
28 introduce change) are a source of benefit rather than threat to society.
29
30
31

32
33 The findings suggest that the political values of equality, civil liberties and—in reverse
34
35 direction—free enterprise express the motivational opposition of universalism and benevolence
36
37 versus power and achievement values. The main motivational focus of these political values is
38
39 concern for others' versus own interests. The strong associations with universalism signify
40
41 concern for others outside one's ingroup. The associations with power indicate that these
42
43 political values contrast readiness to exploit others for one's own benefit with sacrifice of own
44
45 interests to benefit others. Expressing their underlying motivations, the equality items refer to
46
47 giving benefits to 'everyone', 'rich and poor', and 'all citizens', although this may reduce own
48
49 benefits. The free enterprise items express an opposing goal: reducing restraints on individuals'
50
51 pursuit of self-interest even if some suffer. The civil liberties items express not only concern for
52
53 others but the opposition between self-direction and tradition values. They emphasize respect for
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 and protection of individual uniqueness, implicitly including those whose beliefs and life-styles
5
6 violate norms or traditions.
7

8
9 The analyses indicate that foreign military intervention expresses a combination of the
10 motivations of security and power values versus universalism values. This suggests that support
11 for foreign military intervention is anxiety-based and focused on self-protection and prevention
12 of loss. It further suggests that the pursuit of self-interest serves to justify undertaking military
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20 action even if it may disregard or harm others' well-being.

21 **Differences between Non-communist and Post-communist Countries**

22
23 In generating the hypotheses, we identified aspects of the political context in the post-
24 communist countries that suggest variations in the meaning of some basic or political values that
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

50
51 In the non-communist countries, military intervention correlated positively with
52 conservation values, presumably because people believe it provides security. We reasoned that
53 the relatively recent military intervention by the former Soviet Union in the post-communist
54 countries attenuated this belief. Correlations near zero in the post-communist countries support

1
2
3
4 this idea. In the non-communist countries, free enterprise correlated positively with conservation
5 values, presumably reflecting the conservative rhetoric about limiting government. However, we
6
7 predicted and found a negative correlation in the post-communist countries. This supports the
8
9 reasoning that those who cherish conservation values experience the insecurity caused by the
10
11 rampant free enterprise in those countries as especially threatening and upsetting.
12
13
14

15
16 We expected and found that, only in the post-communist countries, did conservation
17
18 correlate positively with equality. We suggested that, especially among those who cherish
19
20 conservation values and identify more with prevailing regimes, equality had become linked to
21
22 security because communist regimes justified themselves as providing economic and social
23
24 security through equality. Faced with increased insecurity and socio-economic inequality,
25
26 following the fall of communism, those high in conservation values endorsed equality more as a
27
28 way to restore security. Conservation and civil liberties values were not correlated in the post-
29
30 communist, in contrast to the non-communist, countries. This may reflect an interesting trade-
31
32 off. Those who cherish conservation values may have felt threatened by the counter-normative,
33
34 novel ideas and behavior that accompanied the increase in civil liberties, but even they may have
35
36 welcomed the sharp initial increase in personal freedoms (Rose, 1997).
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Unexpected Findings**

44
45 There were 13 significant non-predicted correlations $>.10/$. However, none contradicted
46
47 the hypotheses because they were similar to the correlations predicted for values adjacent in the
48
49 motivational circle. For example, three non-predicted correlations for benevolence values
50
51 corresponded to the predicted correlations for universalism and three for hedonism corresponded
52
53 to those predicted for achievement. All non-predicted correlations were compatible with the
54
55 reasoning underlying the hypotheses because adjacent values share motivational orientations.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 The patterns of correlation were almost identical among all the non-communist countries
5
6 except Turkey, according to the phi coefficients. Close examination revealed that the main
7
8 source of deviation was the correlations between accepting immigrants and basic values. This
9
10 may reflect the distinctive situation of immigration in Turkey in recent decades compared with
11
12 the other non-communist countries. Once a country of emigration, Turkey has become a magnet
13
14 for illegal immigrants, asylum seekers, irregular migrants from former Soviet Bloc countries, and
15
16 a transit country to the European Union for irregular migrants from Afghanistan, Bangladesh,
17
18 Iraq, Iran, Pakistan, and Syria (Kirisci, 2003). A shifting government immigration policy
19
20 combined with the complexities of diverse, illegal, and irregular immigration may make it
21
22 difficult for Turks to form consistent, value-based attitudes toward immigration.
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Limitations and Future Directions**

29
30
31 The scales that initially operationalized the political values in this study-included reverse
32
33 scored items. It was necessary to drop all of these items in order to attain acceptable indexes,
34
35 leaving only two or three items per political value. Although the RMSEA and SRMR indexes
36
37 were adequate, the CFI was problematic in three countries. Future research should include a
38
39 larger number of items to measure each core political value, probably with no reverse items.
40
41
42

43 Ideally, one would address the issues of this study with representative national samples
44
45 rather than the convenience samples obtained in all but Germany and Turkey. In most countries,
46
47 our samples were more educated, wealthier, and urban than the general population. Because this
48
49 limited the variance of the socio-demographic variables, we may have underestimated their
50
51 impact on the political values, as compared with the impact of the basic values. To assess this
52
53 possibility, we compared the variance accounted for by the socio-demographic variables in
54
55 Germany and Turkey and in the other 10 non-communist countries. For four of the eight core
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 political values, the socio-demographic variables did account for more variance in the German
5
6 and Turkish samples than in the average of the other samples. Even in these cases, however,
7
8 basic personal values accounted for substantially more variance. Moreover, basic values
9
10 mediated the socio-economic effects just as strongly in the other samples as in Germany and
11
12 Turkey. Hence, the lack of representativeness in the samples need not change our conclusions.
13
14

15
16 Studying possible moderators of the relations between basic personal values and core
17
18 political values is worthwhile, though beyond the scope of the current article. There is initial
19
20 evidence that education enhances these associations (Schwartz et al. 2010) and that political
21
22 expertise promotes reliance on core values and beliefs to derive issue preferences, at least under
23
24 some conditions (Goren 2000). Extending this study to countries with different political systems
25
26 (e.g., Singapore, China, and Morocco) is another future direction. The meaning of some core
27
28 political values may vary in ways that suggest different hypothesized relations with basic values.
29
30

31
32 The political values we studied were among the most prominent core values in the
33
34 political science literature. Future research should study how the motivational structure of basic
35
36 personal values constrains and gives coherence to other political values such as positions on the
37
38 environment, welfare, and defense. Research should also study political attitudes uniquely
39
40 relevant to the discourse in different countries. Linking such attitudes to basic personal values
41
42 will help to clarify their motivational significance and their relations to core political values.
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Conclusion**

49
50 The current study demonstrated that theoretically grounded associations among basic
51
52 personal values and core political values generalize across 12 non-communist countries. It also
53
54 revealed that many of these associations held in three post-communist countries but that some
55
56 did not. The variations in the post-communist countries were largely predicted based on the
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 specific meanings that life under communist regimes may have given to universalism, free
5
6 enterprise, civil liberties, and equality values.
7

8
9 The current study helps to clarify the nature of political choice. Basic personal values
10
11 underlie core political values and, through them, they influence voting behavior. Here, basic
12
13 values largely mediated the effects of four socio-demographic variables that reflect people's
14
15 social locations. This strengthens the assumption that individual differences in values play a
16
17 critical role in political choice. Investigating basic personal values can provide another benefit to
18
19 students of politics. Individuals' basic value priorities exhibit greater stability than their political
20
21 attitudes, values, and opinions, and they are less sensitive to ongoing social, political, and
22
23 economic developments. As a result, by assessing changes in basic values, one can better
24
25 determine which changes in the political atmosphere are fundamental and longer-term.
26
27
28
29

30
31 An important contribution of this research is the insight it provides into the organization
32
33 of political thought. A coherent, overarching structure of conflicting and compatible motivations
34
35 apparently organizes diverse political values. The circular motivational continuum that organizes
36
37 relations among basic personal values also structures relations among core political values. The
38
39 motivational underpinnings of core political values explain how they are interrelated.
40
41
42

43 The current findings might give politicians hints about how to frame political issues to
44
45 shape public opinion on political issues. Politicians might communicate more effectively with
46
47 the public and with their own constituents by grounding their messages about specific core
48
49 political values in the motivational bases we identified as supporting or conflicting with them.
50
51 Although people rarely recognize how their basic personal values affect their political views, our
52
53 findings reinforce the view that individuals' political ideologies are firmly rooted in their basic
54
55 values (Feldman 2003; Schwartz 1994).
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Acknowledgement: This paper was partly supported by the HSE Basic Research Program (International Laboratory of Socio-cultural Research)

Ethics: This research complies with the laws of the countries in which it was conducted.

References

- Ashton, M. C., Danso, H. A., Maio, G. R., Esses, V. M., Bond, M. H., & Keung, D. K. Y. (2005). Two dimensions of political attitudes and their individual difference correlates: A cross-cultural perspective. In R. M. Sorrentino, D. Cohen, J. M. Olson, & M. Zanna (Eds.), *Culture social behavior: The Ontario Symposium* (Vol. 10, pp.1-29). London: Erlbaum.
- Bardi, A., & Schwartz, S.H. (1996). Relations among socio-political values in Eastern Europe: Effects of the communist experience? *Political Psychology, 17*, 525-549.
- Barnette, J.J. (2000). Effects of stem and Likert response option reversals on survey internal consistency: If you feel the need, there is a better alternative to using those negatively worded stems. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 60*, 361-370.
- Benoit, K., & Laver, M. (2006). *Party policy in modern democracies*. New York: Routledge
- Braithwaite, V. (1997). Harmony and security value orientations in political evaluation. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 23*, 401-414.
- Buksinski, T. (2003). *Liberalisation and transformation of morality in post-Communist countries: Polish philosophical studies, IV*. Washington D.C.: The Council for Research in Values & Philosophy.
- Burr, A. (2006). *What's important when: Personal values in the transition from work to retirement*. Unpublished Master's Thesis. Concordia College, Canada.
- Cieciuch, J., & Schwartz, S. H. (2012). The number of distinct basic values and their structure assessed by PVQ-40. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 1-8*.
- Cohrs, J. C., Maes, J., Moschner, B., & Kielmann, S. (2007). Determinants of human rights attitudes and behavior: A comparison and integration of psychological perspectives. *Political Psychology, 28*, 441-469.

- 1
2
3
4 Cohrs, J. C., Moschner, B., Maes, J., & Kielmann, S. (2005). The motivational bases of right-wing
5
6 authoritarianism and social dominance orientation: Relations to values and attitudes in the aftermath
7
8 of September 11, 2001. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *31*, 1-10.
9
- 10
11 Conover, P. J., & Feldman, S. (1981). Origins and meaning of liberal/conservative self-identifications.
12
13 *American Journal of Political Science*, *25*, 617-645.
14
- 15
16 Converse, P. E. (1964). The nature of belief systems in mass publics. In D. E. Apter (Ed.), *Ideology and*
17
18 *discontent* (pp. 206–261). New York: Free Press.
19
- 20
21 Feldman, S. (1988). Structure and consistency in public opinion: The role of core beliefs and values.
22
23 *American Journal of Political Science*, *32*, 416–440.
24
- 25
26 Feldman, S. (2003). Values, ideology, and structure of political attitudes. In D. O. Sears, L. Huddy, & R.
27
28 Jervis (Eds.), *Oxford handbook of political psychology* (pp. 477-508). New York: Oxford University
29
30 Press.
31
- 32
33 Goren, P. (2000). Political expertise and principled political thought. *Political Research Quarterly*, *53*,
34
35 117-36.
36
- 37
38 Goren, P. (2005). Party identification and core political values. *American Journal of Political Science*, *49*,
39
40 881–896.
41
- 42
43 Gunther, R., & Kuan, H. C. (2007). Value cleavages and partisan conflict. In R. Gunther, J. R. Montero &
44
45 H. J. Puhle (Eds.), *Electoral intermediation, values, and political support in old and new*
46
47 *democracies: Europe, East Asia, and the Americas in comparative perspective* (pp. 255-320).
48
49 Oxford: Oxford University Press.
50
- 51
52 Gunther, R., Montero, J. R., & Puhle, H. J. (2007). *Op. cit.*
53
- 54
55 Heath, A., Jowell, R., & Curtice, J. (1985). *How Britain votes*. Oxford: Pergamum.
56
- 57
58 Howard, M. M. (2003). *The weakness of civil society in post-Communist Europe*. New York: Cambridge
59
60 University Press.
61
- 62
63 Hughes, C. (2008). Civil liberties in Australia: A review article. *Australian Journal of Public*
64
65 *Administration*, *27*, 72-76

- 1
2
3
4 Hurwitz J., & Peffley, M. (1987). How are foreign policy attitudes structured? A hierarchal model.
5
6 *American Political Science Review*, 81, 1099-1120.
7
8
9 Inglehart, R. (1997). *Modernization and postmodernization*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
10
11 Jacoby, W. G. (2006). Value choices and American public opinion. *American Journal of Political*
12
13 *Science*, 50, 706–723.
14
15 Kenny, D. A., & McCoach, D. B. (2003) Effect of the number of variables on measures of fit in structural
16
17 equation modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 10, 333-351.
18
19
20 Kinder, D. R. (1998). Opinion and action in the realm of politics. In D. Gilbert, S. T. Fiske, & G. Lindzey
21
22 (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology* (pp. 778-867). New York: Oxford University Press.
23
24 Kinder, D. R., & Sears, D. O. (1985). Public opinion and political action. In G. Lindzey & E. Aronson
25
26 (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology, Vol. II, 3rd Edition* (pp.659-741). NY: Random House.
27
28 Kirisci, K. (2003). *Turkey: A transformation from emigration to immigration*. Washington, DC:
29
30 Migration Policy Institute
31
32
33 Knafo A., Daniel E., & Khoury-Kassabri, M. (2008). Values as protective factors against violent behavior
34
35 in Jewish and Arab high schools in Israel. *Child Development*, 79, 652-67.
36
37
38 McCann, J. A. (1997). Electoral choices and core value change: The 1992 presidential campaign.
39
40 *American Journal of Political Science*, 41, 564-583.
41
42 Mitra, P., & Ruslan, Y. (2007). Increasing inequality in transition economies: Is there more to come? In
43
44 Bourguignon, F. & Pleskovic, B. (Eds.), *Annual World Bank Conference on Development*
45
46 *Economics, Regional: Beyond Transition* (pp. 59-102). Washington, D.C.: The International Bank
47
48 for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank.
49
50
51 Muthén, L., & Muthén, B. (1998). *Mplus User's Guide*. Los Angeles: Muthén & Muthén.
52
53 Peffley, M. A., & Hurwitz, J. (1985). A hierarchical model of attitude constraint. *American Journal of*
54
55 *Political Science*, 29, 871-890.
56
57
58 Poznanski, K. Z. (2001). Building capitalism with communist tools: Eastern Europe's defective transition.
59
60 *East European Politics and Societies*, 15, 320.
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 Roccas, S., Schwartz, S. H., & Amit, A. (2010). Personal value priorities and national identification.
5
6 *Political Psychology, 31*, 393-419.
7
8
9 Rokeach, M. (1973). *The nature of human values*. New York: Free Press.
10
11 Rose, R. (1997). How patient are people in post-communist societies? *World Affairs, 159*, 130-144.
12
13 Schatz, R.T., Staub, E., & Lavine, H. (1999). On the varieties of national attachment: Blind versus
14
15 constructive patriotism. *Political Psychology, 20*, 151-174.
16
17 Schwartz, S. H. (1992). Universals in the content and structure of values: Theoretical advances and
18
19 empirical tests in 20 countries. In M. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol.
20
21 25, pp. 1-65). New York: Academic Press.
22
23
24 Schwartz, S. H. (1994). Are there universal aspects in the content and structure of values? *Journal of*
25
26 *Social Issues, 50*, 19-45.
27
28
29 Schwartz, S. H. (2006). Les valeurs de base de la personne: Théorie, mesures et applications [Basic
30
31 human values: Theory, measurement, and applications]. *Revue Française de Sociologie, 47*, 249-
32
33 288.
34
35
36 Schwartz, S. H. (2010). Basic values: How they motivate and inhibit prosocial behavior. In M. Mikulincer
37
38 & P. Shaver (Eds.), *Prosocial motives, emotions, and behavior: The better angels of our nature*
39
40 (221-241). Washington: American Psychological Association Press.
41
42
43 Schwartz, S. H., Caprara, G. V., & Vecchione, M. (2010). Basic personal values, core political values,
44
45 and voting: A longitudinal study. *Political Psychology, 31*, 421-452.
46
47
48 Schwartz, S. H., & Rubel, T. (2005). Sex differences in value priorities: Cross-cultural and multi-method
49
50 studies. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 89*, 1010-1028.
51
52
53 Spector, P. E., Van Katwyk, P. T., Brannick, M. T., & Chen, P. Y. (1997). When two factors don't reflect
54
55 two constructs: How item characteristics can produce artifactual factors. *Journal of Management, 23*,
56
57 659-677.
58
59
60 van de Vijver, F.J.R., & Leung, K. (1997). *Methods and data analysis for cross-cultural research*.
61
62
63
64
65 Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

1
2
3
4 Wach, M., & Hammer, B. (2003). *La structure des valeurs: Est-elle universelle?* (Is the structure of
5
6 values universal?). Paris: L'Harmattan.

7
8
9 Wong, N., Rindfleisch, A., & Borroughs, J. E. (2003). Do reverse-worded items confound measures in
10
11 cross-cultural consumer research? The case of the material values scale. *Journal of Consumer*
12
13 *Research*, 30, 72-91.

14
15 Zaller, J. R. (1992). *The nature and origins of mass opinion*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 The motivational continuum of 10 basic personal values with two summary dimensions and underlying orientations

Fig. 2 Multidimensional analysis of eight core political values and 10 basic personal values for 12 Non-communist Countries (Stress 1 =.062; Dispersion Accounted for= .996; Tucker's Coefficient of Congruence .998)


Note: Standardized Basic Values POWz= Power, ACHz=Achievement, HEDz=Hedonism, STIz=Stimulation, SDIRz=Self-Direction, UNIz=Universalism, BENz=Benevolence, TRAz=Tradition, CONz=Conformity, SECz=Security; Standardized Core Political Values TradMor=Traditional Morality, LwOrdr=Law & Order, BlndPat=Blind Patriotism, MltInt=Military Intervention, FreEnt=Free Enterprise, CvlLib=Civil Liberties, AcptIm=Accepting Immigrants, Equal=Equality.


Fig. 3 Multidimensional analysis of seven core political values and 10 basic personal values for three post-communist countries (Stress 1 =.118; Dispersion Accounted for= .986; Tucker's Coefficient of Congruence .993)

Note: Standardized Basic Values POWz= Power, ACHz=Achievement, HEDz=Hedonism, STIz=Stimulation, SDIRz=Self-Direction, UNIz=Universalism, BENz=Benevolence, TRAz=Tradition, CONz=Conformity, SECz=Security; Standardized Core Political Values TradMor=Traditional Morality, LwOrdr=Law & Order, BlndPat=Blind Patriotism, MltInt=Military Intervention, FreEnt=Free Enterprise, CvlLib=Civil Liberties, AcptIm=Accepting Immigrants, Equal=Equality

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Figure








Table 1 Hypothesized associations between basic personal values and core political values^a

	<i>Core Political Values</i>							
<i>Basic Values</i>	Traditional Morality	Blind Patriotism	Law & Order	Military Intervention	Free Enterprise	Equality	Civil Liberties	Accepting Immigrants
Security	+	+	+	+ (0)	+ (-)	(+)	- (0)	-
Conformity	+	+	+	+ (0)	+ (-)	(+)	- (0)	-
Tradition	+	+	+	+ (0)	+ (-)	(+)	- (0)	-
Benevolence				-	-	+	+	+
Universalism	- (+)	-	- (0)	-	-	+	+	+
Self-Direction	-	-	-	-			+	+
Stimulation	-	-	-					+
Hedonism	-	-	-					
Achievement	-				+	-		
Power	-			+	+	-	-	

^aThe variations from the overall patterns that are expected in the post-communist countries are indicated in parentheses. Where no association is hypothesized, the cell is left blank or a zero is included in the parentheses.

Table 2 Description of the samples in the study

Country	N	% Female	Mean age (sd)	Mean years of education (sd) ^a	Mean household income (sd) ^b
Australia	285	54%	36.1 (13.9)	16.6 (3.6)	3.7 (2.1)
Brazil	999	56%	34.1 (9.0)	16.6 (3.8)	1.8 (1.0)
Chile	414	50%	43.3 (13.4)	15.9 (4.2)	3.8 (2.1)
Finland	449	68%	40.1 (13.2)	16.2 (4.3)	4.0 (2.0)
Germany	1056	46%	53.7 (16.4)	14.6 (4.4)	4.0 (2.1)
Greece	375	48%	41.9 (12.1)	15.3 (3.5)	3.3 (1.7)
Israel	478	57%	38.6 (12.7)	15.6 (3.0)	3.8 (1.8)
Italy	564	56%	38.7 (13.9)	15.3 (3.7)	3.9 (1.6)
Poland	709	56%	36.6 (13.0)	15.1 (3.0)	3.8 (1.8)
Slovakia	487	51%	47.7 (14.6)	14.4 (3.3)	4.7 (1.8)
Spain	420	54%	38.0 (14.9)	16.4 ^c (4.2)	3.8 (1.3)
Turkey	514	46%	37.7 (13.2)	8.3 (4.1)	4.6 (1.7)
Ukraine	740	48%	41.1 (12.6)	14.0 (3.3)	4.3 (1.8)
United Kingdom	471	64%	36.7 (12.1)	15.8 ^c (4.3)	2.7 (1.6)
United States	544	56%	32.6 (14.4)	14.0 (2.2)	4.4 (1.9)

^a Includes compulsory years of schooling

^b Income was measured with the following scale: 1 = very much above the average of your country, 2 = above the average, 3 = a little above average, 4 = about average, 5 = a little below the average, 6 = below the average, 7 = very much below the average.

^c Estimates based on highest level of educational attainment.

Table 3 Goodness of fit indexes for the final core political values scale

<i>Country of Sample (N)</i>	<i>Goodness of Fit Indexes</i>				
	$\chi^2(df)$	<i>p</i>	<i>CFI</i>	<i>SRMR</i>	<i>RMSEA</i>
Australia (<i>n</i> = 285)	225.72 (134)	<.001	.947	.047	.041 (.028, .053)
Brazil (<i>n</i> = 999)	267.59 (134)	<.001	.951	.038	.033 (.027, .039)
Chile (<i>n</i> = 415)	184.62 (134)	<.001	.960	.044	.030 (.018, .040)
Finland (<i>n</i> = 449)	267.87 (134)	<.000	.913	.046	.047 (.039, .055)
Germany (<i>n</i> = 1127)	304.50 (134)	<.001	.944	.035	.034 (.029, .039)
Greece (<i>n</i> = 375)	248.60 (134)	<.001	.916	.054	.049 (.039, .058)
Israel (<i>n</i> = 478)	192.02 (83)	<.001	.900	.050	.052 (.043, .062)
Italy (<i>n</i> = 564)	229.98 (134)	<.001	.955	.040	.036 (.028, .043)
Poland (<i>n</i> = 709)	315.03 (134)	<.001	.859	.053	.044 (.038, .051)
Slovakia (<i>n</i> = 487)	283.33 (134)	<.001	.806	.057	.048 (.040, .056)
Spain (<i>n</i> = 404)	184.57 (134)	<.001	.962	.038	.030 (.018, .040)
Turkey (<i>n</i> = 514) ¹	197.64 (134)	<.001	.953	.041	.030 (.021, .039)
Ukraine (<i>n</i> = 740)	306.69 (134)	<.001	.863	.048	.042 (.036, .048)
UK (<i>n</i> = 471)	208.85 (134)	<.001	.960	.041	.030 (.021, .037)
USA (<i>n</i> = 544)	287.42 (134)	<.001	.862	.059	.048 (.040, .056)

CFI = Comparative Fit Index; SRMR = Standardized Root Mean Square Residuals; RMSEA

= Root Mean Square Error of Approximation.

Table 4 Items used to measure seven core political values used in the study

<i>Value</i>	<i>#</i>	<i>Item</i>
TM1	1	It is extremely important to protect our traditional religious and moral values.
TM3	3	Modern, permissive lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society
TM5	5	This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties
LO1	10	The government should forbid all protests that might turn violent
LO3	12	To guarantee the security of citizens, the government should restrict civil liberties
LO5	14	Police should have more powers to protect citizens.
FE1	15	All public enterprises should be removed from government control and made private
FE3	17	The freer the market is from government interference the better
EQ1	19	If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems
EQ3	21	Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has equal opportunities to succeed
BP1	23	It is unpatriotic to criticize this country.
BP3	25	I would support my country, right or wrong.
CL1	27	The most important thing for our country is to defend civil liberties
CL3	29	Individuals should be free to be and to believe whatever they want
MI1	31	Going to war is sometimes the only solution to international problems
MI3	33	Our country should join other democratic nations in sending troops to fight dangerous regimes
AI1	35	People who come to live here from other countries generally make our country a better place to live
AI3	37	People who come to live here from other countries make our country's cultural life richer

TM = Traditional Morality, LO = Law & Order, BP = Blind Patriotism, MI = Military

Intervention, FE = Free Enterprise, CL= Civil Liberties, AI = Accepting Immigrants, EQ =

Equality.

Table 5 Associations between basic personal values and core political values in Non-Communist and Post-Communist countries: Pearson correlations and proportion of countries in predicted direction^a

<i>Basic Values</i>	<i>Core Political Values</i>														
	Traditional Morality		Blind Patriotism		Law & Order		Military Intervention		Free Enterprise		Equality		Civil Liberties		Accepting Immigrants ^d
	Non-Com	Post-Com	Non-Com	Post-Com	Non-Com	Post-Com	Non-Com ^b	Post-Com	Non-Com	Post-Com	Non-Com	Post-Com	Non-Com ^c	Post-Com	
Security	.33** 12/12	.23** 3/3	.28** 12/12	.11** 2/3	.34** 12/12	.20** 3/3	.18** 11/11	-.01 (2/3)	.16** 12/12	-.12** 3/3	-.14** (11/12)	.13** 3/3	-.21** 11/11	.03 (1/3)	-.22** 11/11
Conformity	.35** 12/12	.28** 3/3	.31** 12/12	.14** 3/3	.33** 12/12	.22** 3/3	.18** 11/11	-.04 (3/3)	.17** 12/12	-.14** 3/3	-.11** (11/11)	.17** 3/3	-.20** 11/11	.02 (2/3)	-.17** 10/11
Tradition	.45** 12/12	.33** 3/3	.34** 12/12	.17** 3/3	.34** 12/12	.22** 3/3	.18** 11/11	.01 (2/3)	.17** 11/12	-.09** 2/3	-.09** (11/12)	.13** 3/3	-.23** 11/11	-.05 (2/3)	-.16** 10/11
Benevolence	-.10** (11/12)	.17** (3/3)	-.16** (12/12)	-.01 (2/3)	-.16** (12/12)	-.01 (2/3)	-.16** 11/11	-.14** 3/3	-.13** 11/12	-.10** 3/3	.15** 11/12	.16** 3/3	.11** 9/11	.07** 2/3	.17** 11/11
Universalism	-.21**	.25**	-.30**	.00	-.30**	-.02	-.26**	-.25**	-.28**	-.12**	.34**	.31**	.30**	.22**	.30**

	12/12	3/3	12/12	2/3	12/12	(2/3)	11/11	3/3	12/12	3/3	12/12	3/3	11/11	3/3	11/11
Self- Direction	-.34** 12/12	-.12** 2/3	-.34** 12/12	-.18** 3/3	-.33** 12/12	-.21** 3/3	-.18** 11/11	-.10** 3/3	-.21** (12/12)	.04 (2/3)	.10** (9/12)	-.05 (2/3)	.18** 10/11	.08** 3/3	.15** 10/11
Stimulation	-.23** 12/12	-.26** 3/3	-.15** 12/12	-.08* 2/3	-.18** 12/12	-.17** 3/3	-.08** (11/11)	.05 (3/3)	-.05** (9/12)	.15** (3/3)	.04* (9/12)	-.14** (3/3)	.11** (10/11)	-.02 (2/3)	.10** 9/11
Hedonism	-.22** 12/12	-.34** 3/3	-.11** 11/12	-.07* 2/3	-.13** 12/12	-.11** 3/3	-.08** (11/11)	.14** (3/3)	-.02 (8/12)	.16** (3/3)	.02 (7/12)	-.24** (3/3)	.09** (10/11)	-.10** (2/3)	.02 (7/11)
Achievement	-.09** 11/12	-.31** 3/3	.00 (6/12)	-.05 (2/3)	-.01 (5/12)	-.09** (3/3)	.05** (8/11)	.14** (3/3)	.06** 10/12	.13** 3/3	-.10** 11/12	-.23** 3/3	-.02 (6/11)	-.09** (2/3)	-.06** (8/11)
Power	-.04* 9/12	-.30** 3/3	-.03 (7/12)	-.08* (3/3)	-.00 (6/12)	-.10** (3/3)	.11** 9/11	.19** 3/3	.11** 10/11	.11** 3/3	-.20** 12/12	-.29** 3/3	-.08** 7/11	-.16** 3/3	-.09** (10/11)
<i>% Variance Explained</i> Basic values	39%	30%	33%	10%	36%	16%	17%	10%	18%	6%	21%	22%	22%	13%	19%
Background ^c (not mediated by basic values)	10% (2%)	10% (1%)	10% (3%)	2% (1%)	10% (3%)	7% (3%)	5% (2%)	3% (1%)	6% (2%)	2% (1%)	3% (1%)	5% (1%)	3% (1%)	1% (0%)	5% (2%)

** $p < .001$, * $p < .01$

^aWhere there was no hypothesis, the proportion of countries with correlations in the same direction is shown in parentheses.

^bExcludes Israel, see text

^cExcludes Germany, see text

^dExcludes Brazil, Israel, Poland, and Ukraine, see text

^eBackground variables include age, gender, education, and income

Table 6 Phi coefficients comparing the coordinates of the values in the Procrustes rotated MDS projections for each country sample with the coordinates in the overall sample for the 12 Non-communist countries

Country Sample	1st Dimension	2nd Dimension
<i>Non-communist Countries</i>		
Australia	0.99	0.98
Brazil ^A	0.99	0.97
Chile	0.99	0.98
Finland	0.99	0.99
Germany ^B	0.95	0.96
Great Britain	0.98	0.98
Greece	0.99	0.99
Israel ^C	0.99	0.98
Italy	0.99	0.99
Spain	0.98	0.97
Turkey	0.91	0.94
USA	0.97	0.98
<i>Post-Communist Countries</i>		
Poland ^A	0.89	0.94
Slovakia	0.88	0.96
Ukraine ^A	0.91	0.96

^aExcludes accepting immigrants, see text

^bExcludes civil liberties, see text

^cExcludes accepting immigrants and military intervention, see text